



HIGH COURT OF AUSTRALIA

NOTICE OF FILING

This document was filed electronically in the High Court of Australia on 06 Jun 2024 and has been accepted for filing under the *High Court Rules 2004*. Details of filing and important additional information are provided below.

Details of Filing

File Number: B12/2024
File Title: Palmer Leisure Coolum Pty Ltd ACN 146 828 122 v. Magistra
Registry: Brisbane
Document filed: Disposition
Filing party: HCA
Date filed: 06 Jun 2024

Important Information

This Notice has been inserted as the cover page of the document which has been accepted for filing electronically. It is now taken to be part of that document for the purposes of the proceeding in the Court and contains important information for all parties to that proceeding. It must be included in the document served on each of those parties and whenever the document is reproduced for use by the Court.

PALMER LEISURE COOLUM PTY LTD (ACN 146 828 122)

v

MAGISTRATES COURT OF QUEENSLAND & ORS

PALMER

v

MAGISTRATES COURT OF QUEENSLAND & ORS

[2024] HCASL 159

B12/2024

B13/2024

- 1 The applicants seek special leave to appeal from a decision of the Court of Appeal of the Supreme Court of Queensland (Dalton and Boddice JJA and Burns J), dismissing appeals from a decision made by the primary judge (Callaghan J) in two proceedings (BS6224/21 and BS6350/21). In those proceedings, the applicants sought a permanent stay of two prosecutions in the Magistrates Court on the ground that they each constituted an abuse of process. The applicants also sought summary judgment. Additionally, the second and third respondents sought a permanent stay of the applicants' proceedings in the Supreme Court of Queensland on the ground that those proceedings constituted an abuse of process. The primary judge granted the respondents a permanent stay of the applicants' proceedings in the Supreme Court of Queensland.
- 2 To the extent that any question of law of public importance is raised by the applications, the proposed appeals do not have sufficient prospects of success to warrant a grant of special leave to appeal and, accordingly, it is not in the interests of the administration of justice for this Court to consider the judgment of the Court of Appeal to which the applications relate.
- 3 Special leave to appeal is refused with costs.

Gageler CJ
Gordon J
Edelman J
Steward J
Gleeson J
Jagot J
Beech-Jones J

6 June 2024