



ASIC
Australian Securities &
Investments Commission

REGULATORY GUIDE 9

Takeover bids

March 2026

About this guide

This guide is for listed and unlisted entities, their advisers, and investors involved in a takeover bid. It:

- discusses ASIC's regulatory role in relation to takeover bids and how we interpret and administer the requirements of the takeover provisions in Ch 6 of the Corporations Act; and
- explains how we exercise our discretionary powers in relation to takeover bids, including the power to exempt from, or modify, the takeover provisions.

About ASIC regulatory documents

In administering legislation ASIC issues the following types of regulatory documents.

Consultation papers: seek feedback from stakeholders on matters ASIC is considering, such as proposed relief or proposed regulatory guidance.

Regulatory guides: give guidance to regulated entities by:

- explaining when and how ASIC will exercise specific powers under legislation (primarily the Corporations Act)
- explaining how ASIC interprets the law
- describing the principles underlying ASIC's approach
- giving practical guidance (e.g. describing the steps of a process such as applying for a licence or giving practical examples of how regulated entities may decide to meet their obligations).

Information sheets: provide concise guidance on a specific process or compliance issue or an overview of detailed guidance.

Reports: describe ASIC compliance or relief activity or the results of a research project.

Document history

This draft guide was issued in March 2026 and is based on legislation and regulations as at the date of issue.

Previous versions:

- Superseded Regulatory Guide 9, issued June 2013, reissued December 2016, updated (minor) July 2020, reissued September 2020 and March 2024

Disclaimer

This guide does not constitute legal advice. We encourage you to seek your own professional advice to find out how the Corporations Act and other applicable laws apply to you, as it is your responsibility to determine your obligations.

Examples in this guide are purely for illustration; they are not exhaustive and are not intended to impose or imply particular rules or requirements.

DRAFT

Contents

A	Overview	5
	ASIC's role in takeover bids	5
	Summary of this guide	7
B	Takeover offers	10
	General requirements for takeover offers	10
	Bid class securities issued after the s633(2) date	10
	Offers of scrip	12
	Offers to foreign holders	12
	Small parcels	14
	Consideration payable subject to providing documentation	17
C	The minimum bid price	20
	The minimum bid price rule	20
	Applying the minimum bid price rule	21
	Cash consideration	24
	Non-cash consideration: Quoted securities	25
	Non-cash consideration: Unquoted securities	31
	Relief from complying with the minimum bid price rule	33
D	Conditional offers	37
	Notices relating to conditions in an off-market bid	37
	The statutory quotation condition	38
	Conditions relating to prescribed events in s652C	38
	Section 652C conditions at the close of the offer	39
E	Collateral benefits	41
	The prohibition on collateral benefits	41
	When the prohibition applies	43
	Relief from the collateral benefits prohibition	44
F	The bidder's statement	45
	General principles	45
	The bidder's intentions	47
	Funding arrangements	48
	Scrip or managed investment products provided other than by a bidder or a controlled entity	53
	Disclosing the value of consideration for the purposes of the minimum bid price rule	54
	Relevant interests and dealings of foreign associates and trustees	56
	Information not available at lodgement	57
	Changes to a bidder's statement between lodgement and dispatch	60
	Extension of time for sending the bidder's statement	63
G	The target's statement	64
	General principles	64
	Changes to a target's statement between lodgement and dispatch	66
	Timing for lodgement and dispatch of target's statements	67
H	Consents	71
	The consent requirement	71
	Consent to quote officials and publications	71
	Consent to use geological reports or trading data	72
	Consent to use a lodged statement	74

DRAFT

I	Supplementary statements	76
	Supplementary statements when the target is listed	76
J	Variation of offers	78
	Extending the offer period under an off-market bid	78
	Closing time for an automatically extended offer period	79
	Withdrawal rights	80
	Variation to allow conditional increase in consideration	83
	Adding scrip consideration to an off-market bid	84
	Varying off-market offers before dispatch.....	85
	Approving notices of variation	86
	Dispatching notices of variation	87
K	Acceptances	90
	Acceptances received by the bidder for securities registered in a clearing and settlement facility	90
	Acceptance facilities	92
L	Joint bids and schemes	98
	Issues associated with joint bids and schemes	98
	Entering into joint arrangements.....	99
	Joint arrangements and s609(7).....	103
M	Non-compliant bids	105
	Issues arising from a non-compliant bid	105
	Addressing a non-compliant bid	105
	Appendix	107
	Key terms	109
	Related information	113

DRAFT

A Overview

Key points

Chapter 6 of the *Corporations Act 2001* (Corporations Act) sets out the rules and procedures applying to a takeover bid which are designed to promote the principles underlying the takeover provisions set out in s602.

ASIC regularly reviews takeover documents and monitors conduct in relation to takeover bids.

We also provide exemptions from, and modifications to, the provisions on takeover bids, and have a role in Takeovers Panel proceedings.

ASIC's role in takeover bids

- RG 9.1 Our regulatory role in the administration and conduct of takeover bids primarily involves:
- (a) the review and monitoring of documentation, disclosures and conduct in relation to bids to ensure compliance with the takeover provisions and the purposes underlying Ch 6;
 - (b) providing regulatory guidance and relief that improves commercial certainty and balances the protections of Ch 6 with the objective of facilitating takeover transactions; and
 - (c) in appropriate cases, taking enforcement action to protect the interests of investors and promote their confident and informed participation in the takeover process and financial markets generally.

Note: In this guide, references to sections (s), chapters (Ch), divisions (Div) and parts (Pt) are to the Corporations Act, unless otherwise specified. References to regulations (regs) are to the *Corporations Regulations 2001* (Corporations Regulations), unless otherwise specified.

- RG 9.2 ASIC's regulatory surveillance, relief, and day-to-day administration and enforcement role, with respect to takeovers is complemented by the role of the Takeovers Panel as the main forum for resolving disputes concerning a bid.

Review and inquiry

- RG 9.3 Under the takeover bid procedure, a series of documents must be lodged with ASIC. We conduct regular reviews of these documents, together with other available information about a takeover bid, to ensure compliance with Ch 6. Our review may consider the terms, conditions and structure of the bid as well as the disclosures made in the documents. ASIC has a general power

DRAFT

to refuse to register or receive a document submitted for lodgement that does not comply with relevant requirements: see s1274(8).

- RG 9.4 In addition to a review, we may make inquiries in relation to a takeover to ensure that relevant parties are complying with their obligations. We may initiate inquiries if we become aware of a potential issue as a result of our ongoing monitoring of the takeover or a complaint made to us by an interested party.
- RG 9.5 Our inquiries may relate to conduct occurring at any point in the takeover process. For example, we may raise concerns about public announcements or comments made to the media after a bid is publicly announced but before any takeover documents are lodged.

Our approach

- RG 9.6 When conducting a review or making inquiries, in addition to considering contraventions of the Corporations Act, we also consider whether the relevant document or conduct may tend to undermine or detract from the purposes of Ch 6 set out in s602.
- RG 9.7 If our review or inquiries identify matters of concern, we may take further action to correct the issue. We will often seek to resolve issues through discussions with the relevant party and by requesting that appropriate corrective action is taken. In the interests of facilitating a timely and effective outcome, our initial approaches may be informal. We may also use our compulsory powers to obtain information.
- RG 9.8 If we are unable to resolve our concerns, we may take other action, including applying to the Takeovers Panel for a declaration of unacceptable circumstances or to the court for orders under s1324 or 1325A.
- RG 9.9 Although we aim to act as soon as we become aware of a possible issue, it is the responsibility of each participant in the takeover process to comply with the relevant requirements of Ch 6 and the principles in s602. The fact that we have not commented on documents lodged with us, or conduct known to us, does not indicate approval of the documents or conduct. As a regulatory body, we may take action in relation to contraventions of Ch 6 or other matters of concern at any point before, during or after a transaction.
- RG 9.10 Where we do take action, including making inquiries in response to issues raised with us by interested parties, we do so having formed an independent view on relevant matters from a regulatory perspective, even if this means that, on occasion, our views on a particular matter may coincide with one or more parties in dispute.

Exemptions and declarations

- RG 9.11 ASIC has a discretionary power under s655A(1) to grant relief from the requirements of Ch 6. This power enables us to exempt a person, or a class of persons, from the takeover provisions or to declare that the takeover provisions apply as if specified provisions were omitted, modified or varied.
- RG 9.12 We consider individual requests to exercise our power to grant relief in particular cases. In deciding whether to grant relief, we consider the purposes of Ch 6 set out in s602: see s655A(2).
- RG 9.13 We have also issued a number of legislative instruments that modify the operation of Ch 6 in relation to all takeover bids. The terms and underlying rationale of our [legislative instruments](#) are further discussed in this regulatory guide.
- RG 9.14 In addition to our general exemption and modification power, we have a number of more specific approval and consent powers in relation to takeovers: see s619(3)(a), item 14(b) of 611, and 652B.

Note: The exercise of other discretionary powers by ASIC may also be relevant to a takeover bid—for example, when the consideration offered under a bid includes listed securities and we have made a determination under s713(6). We also make market integrity rules under s798G which govern matters such as the conduct of market bids and trading during a bid.

- RG 9.15 An application for relief should be submitted through the [ASIC Regulatory Portal](#). Fees will apply to an application. We have provided details about payment options in the portal. For more information, see [How to apply for relief](#) on the ASIC website.

Applications to the Takeovers Panel

- RG 9.16 ASIC has standing to apply to the Takeovers Panel for a declaration of unacceptable circumstances in relation to the affairs of any company or listed registered scheme. Where another party makes an application, we must be invited to make submissions on the matter and on any orders that the Panel proposes to make: see s657A(4) and 657D(1).
- RG 9.17 We may make an application to the Takeovers Panel seeking appropriate orders if we consider that unacceptable circumstances exist in relation to a takeover bid. Where possible, we will often attempt to have our concerns addressed by the relevant party before making an application.

Summary of this guide

- RG 9.18 Table 1 summarises the issues we have addressed in this guide by reference to common stages of a takeover bid.

DRAFT

RG 9.19 Unless otherwise indicated, our guidance applies to takeover bids for listed registered schemes. Adjustments that take account of the different features of managed investment schemes are set out in s604.

Table 1: Summary of our guidance on takeover bids

Topic	What our guidance covers	Reference
Formulating takeover offers		
Takeover offers	We discuss a number of matters relevant to a bidder in structuring its bid and formulating the terms of its offers, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> determining classes of securities in the target and which securities the offer may relate to; and dealing with small holdings, foreign holders, and holders who do not provide transfer documents. 	Section B
Minimum bid price	We discuss relief from the requirement that a bidder offers a minimum level of consideration under a takeover bid. We also provide guidance on how to value the minimum consideration.	Section C
Conditional offers	We discuss some of the issues raised by conditional offers: the notification requirements, our approach to maximum acceptance conditions and the technical relief we have given for the 'prescribed' and 'statutory' conditions.	Section D
Collateral benefits	We discuss our approach to the prohibition on collateral benefits that are likely to induce holders to accept the bid, and the circumstances in which we may give relief.	Section E
Joint bids and schemes	We discuss the relief we may provide to facilitate bids and schemes of arrangement that are proposed jointly.	Section L
Disclosure to target holders		
Bidder's statement	We discuss some of the information that should be included in the bidder's statement and the disclosure relief that may be available. We also discuss our relief: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> to use a replacement bidder's statement; and extending the time for dispatching the bidder's statement to holders. 	Section F
Target's statement	We discuss the relief we may give to extend the time for dispatching the target's statement to holders, and to allow receivers and managers to assume the directors' obligations. We also discuss our relief to use a replacement target's statement.	Section G

DRAFT

Topic	What our guidance covers	Reference
Consents	<p>We discuss our relief from the consent requirement when quoting in the bidder's and target's statements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • books and journals; • public officials; • previous geological reports; • trading data; and • statements made by others in documents given to a securities exchange or lodged with ASIC. 	Section H
Supplementary statements	We discuss when sending, or not sending, supplementary statements may be misleading.	Section I
Conduct of the bid during the offer period		
Variation of offers	<p>We discuss our approach to administering the provisions regulating the variation of takeover offers, including when a conditional bid can be extended and withdrawal rights must be offered.</p> <p>We also discuss the relief we provide, or have provided, for automatic extensions, accelerated payment, conditional increases in consideration, adding scrip consideration, varying offers before dispatch and approving notices of variation.</p>	Section J
Acceptances	We discuss our modification in relation to the timing of acceptances for holdings registered in a clearing and settlement facility such as the Clearing House Electronic Subregister System (CHES). We also discuss the terms and requirements of our relief for acceptance facilities and automatic extensions when acceptances are released from a facility during the final seven days of a bid.	Section K
Joint bids and schemes	We discuss the relief we may provide to facilitate joint bids and schemes	Section L
Non-compliant bids	We discuss the relief we may provide when the provisions disqualifying the bidder from the takeover bid and other exceptions in items 1–4 of s611 are breached.	Section M

B Takeover offers

Key points

A takeover bid may only be made for a class of securities. It must relate to securities in existence on a specified date. We may grant relief to extend the bid to bid class securities issued during the course of the bid.

We may approve the appointment of a person to act as a nominee for specified foreign holders under a scrip bid: see s619(3).

We have also modified Ch 6 to:

- allow bidders to offer cash to holders who would otherwise receive a small parcel of securities under their takeover offers;
- restrict the application of s618(2) to address the potential abuse of the provision in a proportional bid; and
- ensure that, if the necessary transfer documents are not provided with an acceptance of a conditional offer, the time for payment under the terms of the bid also takes into account whether the bid is declared unconditional.

General requirements for takeover offers

- RG 9.20 Although a bidder is generally free to devise the terms of its offers, Div 1 of Pt 6.4 sets out some general requirements that a bidder must adhere to in formulating its bid.
- RG 9.21 These requirements establish, among other things, that:
- (a) an off-market bid must be either full or proportional; and
 - (b) all offers must be the same and remain open until the end of a specified offer period, unless withdrawn.
- RG 9.22 We discuss some of the issues associated with the minimum consideration that a bidder may be required to offer in Section C and the conditions that may attach to a takeover offer in Section D.

Bid class securities issued after the s633(2) date

- RG 9.23 Under s617(1), off-market bids must relate to securities that exist, or will exist, as at the date set by the bidder under s633(2). This is the date for determining to whom offers will be sent. It must be between the date that the bidder gives the bidder's statement (or a separate written notice) to the target and the date of the first offer (inclusive): see s633(3).

DRAFT

- RG 9.24 Section 617(2), as modified by section 5(a) of [ASIC Corporations \(Takeover Bids\) Instrument 2023/683](#), allows a bid to extend to securities that come to be in the bid class during the offer period because of the conversion or exercise of rights attached to ‘other securities or derivatives’. The relief only applies where the other securities or derivatives exist, or will exist, as at the date set by the bidder under s633(2). If the bid extends to securities issued during the course of the bid under s617(2), the bidder’s statement must include a statement to this effect: see s636(1)(j).
- RG 9.25 These provisions were introduced to better facilitate the acquisition of securities under a takeover bid, including securities that come into existence on the conversion of convertible securities or derivatives.

ASIC modification: Exercise or conversion before the offer period begins

- RG 9.26 Although a bid may extend to any bid class, securities issued on the conversion of, or the exercise of rights attached to, other securities or derivatives existing *as at the date set by the bidder under s633(2)*, the bid will only extend to the newly issued bid class securities if they come to be in the bid class *during the offer period*.
- RG 9.27 Section 5(a) of [ASIC Instrument 2023/683](#) modifies s617(2) so that the bid extends to bid class securities issued on conversion or exercise from the date set by the bidder under s633(2) until the end of the offer period. In effect, this extends the operation of s617(2) to bid class securities that come into existence *before* the beginning of the offer period. We have also substituted s636(1)(j) in paragraph 6(d) of ASIC Instrument 2023/683, making a corresponding modification.

ASIC modification: Extension to derivatives

- RG 9.28 A bidder may wish to extend its offer to securities that come to be in the bid class during the offer period because of the conversion of performance rights or other financial instruments. However, certain rights and entitlements may not meet the definition of ‘securities’ under s92(3) and may therefore fall outside the scope of s617(2).
- RG 9.29 Section 5(a) of ASIC Instrument 2023/683 also extends the scope of notional s617(2) to derivatives, such as certain performance rights. In effect, this allows a bidder to choose to extend its offer to securities that come to be in the bid class due to a conversion or exercise of rights of a derivative. We have also made consequential modifications to s633(1)–(2) (items 6 and 12), s636(1)(j), s641(1)–(1A), s648B, s648C, s650D(1)(c)(ii), s650D(3), s661A(4)(c) and s661B(1)(c)(ii) to ensure those sections operate in respect of derivatives in the same manner as they operate over securities under s617(2).

When notional s617(2) does not apply

- RG 9.30 Notional section 617(2) does not apply to bid class securities issued after the date set by the bidder under s633(2):
- (a) on the conversion of, or exercise of rights attached to, other securities or derivatives where those other securities or derivatives are issued after the date set by the bidder under s633(2); or
 - (b) otherwise—for example, under a dividend or distribution reinvestment plan, bonus share plan or employee share scheme.
- RG 9.31 In these circumstances, notional s617(2) does not apply because the bid class securities are not issued on the conversion of, or the exercise of rights attached to, other securities or derivatives that exist, or will exist, as at the date set by the bidder under s633(2).

Offers of scrip

- RG 9.32 We have prevented offers of proprietary company scrip consideration to retail investors and, where the consideration offered is public company scrip to be held under mandatory custodial arrangements, limited the ability to convert to a proprietary company if there are more than 50 non-employee beneficial owners: see [ASIC Corporations \(Stub Equity in Control Transactions\) Instrument 2020/734](#).

Offers to foreign holders

- RG 9.33 As far as practicable, all offers under a takeover bid must be the same because holders should have an equal opportunity to participate in the benefits of the bid: see s619(1) and 602(c).
- RG 9.34 However, where the bid consideration includes scrip, the bidder may be constrained by foreign laws and regulations from making an offer of securities to a foreign holder. Alternatively, it may be highly impractical to comply with foreign regulations.
- RG 9.35 Section 619(3) allows the bidder to include terms in its bid that establish a ‘nominee procedure’ so that foreign holders can receive cash instead of the securities offered under the bid. A foreign holder for this purpose is a holder whose address on the register is a place outside Australia and the external territories: see s9.
- RG 9.36 Under the nominee procedure, the bidder must appoint a nominee, approved by us, to sell the securities or rights that would otherwise be transferred to

accepting foreign holders, and to distribute the proceeds to those holders net of expenses.

Note: [ASIC Corporations \(On-Sale Disclosure Relief for Scrip Bids and Schemes of Arrangement\) Instrument 2023/686](#) provides on-sale relief for the securities transferred to the nominee.

ASIC modification: Specifying foreign holders to which the procedure applies

- RG 9.37 Section 619(3) may be read to require that, if a bidder uses the nominee procedure, it must use it for *all* foreign holders.
- RG 9.38 Section 5(3) of [ASIC Instrument 2023/683](#) modifies s619(3) to clarify that the bidder does not have to use the nominee procedure for all foreign holders of target securities. The modification provides that the issuer may specify in the bidder's statement the foreign holders to whom the nominee procedure applies—for example, by reference to the place of the foreign holder's registered address.
- RG 9.39 The nominee procedure is an exception to the usual rule that all the offers under a takeover bid must be the same. Its premise is that the takeover bid should be considered compliant even if foreign holders do not receive an offer of securities, but instead receive cash realised from the sale of the securities. The policy behind s619(1) requires the issuer to offer the securities to each foreign holder where it is not constrained by regulation from doing so.
- RG 9.40 Accordingly, the bidder may risk an application to the Takeovers Panel for a declaration of unacceptable circumstances where the nominee procedure is used for a foreign holder, and the bidder is not legally or practically constrained from making the offer to the holder.

ASIC approval of nominees

- RG 9.41 We may approve nominees for foreign holders under notional s619(3) in relation to scrip bids.
- RG 9.42 We consider that a person will generally only be suitable as a nominee under notional s619(3) if that person is:
- (a) an Australian financial services (AFS) licensee authorised to provide financial services in relation to the relevant class of securities; or
 - (b) a nominee subsidiary of a person referred to in RG 9.42(a).
- RG 9.43 Before approving a person as a nominee, we will also consider whether they are in a position to fulfil their responsibilities under notional s619(3). For example, we may not approve a person's appointment as a nominee if we are:
- (a) investigating the person; or
 - (b) taking enforcement action against the person.

Small parcels

RG 9.44 The rules of some declared financial markets define a ‘marketable parcel’ or ‘minimum parcel’ of securities (or similar term) for the purpose of regulating dealings through the relevant market and/or associated clearing and settlement facilities. Where a holding of securities does not meet the definition of ‘marketable parcel’ or ‘minimum parcel’, we refer to it in this section as a ‘small parcel’.

Note: Under the current definition of ‘marketable parcel’ in the [ASX Operating Rules Procedures](#) (PDF 601 KB), a ‘small parcel’ of quoted ordinary shares is a parcel of less than \$500 in value based on the daily closing price of the shares.

RG 9.45 In some cases, the rules restrict dealings that result in a small parcel holding: see, for example, [ASX Settlement Operating Rule 8.10.2](#). See also [ASX Listing Rule 8.10.1\(h\)](#), which contemplates that a listed entity may act in certain circumstances to prevent a transfer of its securities that results in a small parcel holding.

RG 9.46 Restrictions of this kind aim to reduce the number of small parcel holdings on a listed entity’s register created through securities trading. This is because of the disproportionate administrative costs to the entity associated with maintaining a register with a large number of small parcel holders relative to the prevailing value of the capital contributed by these holders.

ASIC modification: Small parcels offered as consideration under a bid

RG 9.47 When a bidder offers quoted scrip as consideration in an off-market bid, the number of securities they are required to provide to some target holders may be a small parcel. The takeover may therefore increase the number of small parcel holdings on the bidder’s register.

RG 9.48 Section 5(c) of [ASIC Instrument 2023/683](#) inserts notional s619(4) that allows a bidder to deal with offers of small parcels of securities in the following two ways:

- (a) if a nominee is appointed under notional s619(3)—the nominee may sell the small parcel of securities offered as consideration and distribute the proceeds to the holders in accordance with s619; or
- (b) in other circumstances—the bidder may offer cash (based on the highest closing price of the securities on any declared financial market on which the securities are quoted during a specified reference period) to the holders.

RG 9.49 Given the costs associated with appointing a nominee, notional s619(4) does not require a bidder to appoint a nominee to sell the small parcel of securities and forward the cash proceeds to the holder. However, if a bidder intends to appoint a nominee and use the procedure in notional s619(3), this procedure must also be used when dealing with small parcels.

DRAFT

- RG 9.50 Under the definition of ‘small parcel’ in notional s619(5), as inserted by section 5(c) of [ASIC Instrument 2023/683](#), the number of quoted securities that constitute a small parcel is determined by applying, where applicable, the definition in the rules of the relevant declared financial market (e.g. the definition of a ‘marketable parcel’ in the [ASX Operating Rules Procedures](#) (PDF 601 KB)) but using the highest closing price published by the operator of any declared financial market on which the securities are quoted during the period beginning on the first day of the bid period, and ending on the earlier of:
- (a) five trading days before the first date on which the bidder must pay or provide bid consideration to a target holder under the terms of the offers; and
 - (b) the end of the bid period.

- RG 9.51 If the securities are quoted on more than one declared financial market containing a relevant definition of a ‘marketable’ or ‘minimum’ parcel then the bidder can elect which definition applies by specifying this in its bidder’s statement. If the securities are quoted on one or more declared financial markets, the rules of which do not contain a definition, then the relevant definition is a parcel of \$500 value.

ASIC modification: Acceptances of a proportional takeover bid leaving a small parcel

- RG 9.52 If accepting a proportional takeover bid would leave a shareholder with a small parcel, s618(2) provides that the offer extends to the whole parcel.
- RG 9.53 This provision is an exception to the equality principle in s602(c). It prevents target security holders from being left with a parcel of shares that is disproportionately costly to dispose of compared with its value. While this benefits the target holders, it may also benefit the target because, although no new holdings are created by the acceptance of a proportional bid, it removes from the target’s register holders who may otherwise be left with small parcel holdings and retain those holdings due to the relative cost of disposal. This may reduce the overall administrative operating costs of the target.
- RG 9.54 There is, however, potential for security holders in a proportional bid to abuse s618(2)—for example, by:
- (a) splitting large holdings into smaller parcels and then seeking to accept the bid for all of their securities; or
 - (b) repeatedly purchasing and accepting into the bid holdings of a sufficiently small size that they attract the operation of s618(2).
- RG 9.55 These practices create uncertainty for the bidder and the market, undermine the proportional bid mechanism and are contrary to the efficient market and equality principles set out in s602(a) and (c).

- RG 9.56 Section 5(b) of [ASIC Instrument 2023/683](#) modifies s618(2) so that it does not apply to parcels of securities (whether held beneficially or otherwise) that have come into existence, or increased in size, because of a transaction (including the creation of one or more trusts) entered into after the proportional bid was publicly proposed.
- RG 9.57 The modification ensures that the small parcel exception is available only to holders who will not benefit from any transaction or modification to their holding effected at a time when the potential advantage of reliance on s618(2) may be apparent. It thereby prevents target security holders from abusing the provision, while preserving its operation in the case of holders who have not modified their holdings in response to the bid.
- RG 9.58 Our modification also clarifies that the small parcel exception applies where the residual parcel is not a ‘marketable’ or ‘minimum’ parcel (or, if neither term is defined in the rules of the relevant declared financial market, a parcel of at least \$500 in value) using:
- (a) if, on the most recent day before the date of acceptance that bid class securities were traded on a declared financial market, the securities were only traded on one declared financial market—the closing price of the securities on that prescribed financial market on that day; or
 - (b) if bid class securities were traded on more than one declared financial market on that day—the closing price of the securities on any of the declared financial markets on that day: see the definition of ‘small parcel’ in notional s619(5) as inserted by ASIC Instrument 2023/683.

Note: In some circumstances, relief from the equal treatment obligation of a responsible entity of a listed registered scheme under s601FC(1)(d) may also be required.

Acceptances by trustees and nominees

- RG 9.59 In some cases, an acceptance for a parcel of target securities by a person as trustee, nominee or otherwise on account of another person under s653B(1)(b) may attract the operation of notional s618(2). An acceptance of this kind will relate to a parcel of securities forming part of a larger registered holding.

Note: If a trustee or nominee’s entire holding in the target is held on behalf of a single beneficiary, there will generally be no need to rely on s653B(1)(b) to accept in respect of the beneficiary’s interest.

- RG 9.60 Notional s618(2B), as inserted by ASIC Instrument 2023/683, restricts reliance on notional s618(2) when there is a change to a parcel for which an offer is deemed to have been made under s653B(1)(b)—for example, increases or transfers of a person’s beneficial interests in securities that form part of a larger registered holding. In this way, notional s619(2B) seeks to deter target holders from taking advantage of notional s618(2) by splitting holdings through the creation of trusts or similar arrangements.

- RG 9.61 A trustee or nominee who wishes to have the benefit of the notional s618(2B) exception in respect of a parcel accepted into the bid out of a larger holding under s653B(1)(b) must provide the bidder with a notice, stating:
- (a) either that the parcel is held by the holder in their own right or, if the parcel is held by the holder for a beneficiary, the name and address of each beneficiary;
 - (b) if the parcel is held by the holder in their own right, the date of the transaction through which the holder acquired a legal interest in the parcel; and
 - (c) if the parcel is held for one or more beneficiaries, the date on which each beneficiary acquired a beneficial interest in that parcel (or, if unknown, the date that the beneficiary is reasonably believed to have acquired a beneficial interest in that parcel): see notional s618(2C) as inserted by [ASIC Instrument 2023/683](#).
- RG 9.62 If a legal or beneficial interest in a parcel is acquired on the same date that the proportional bid is publicly proposed, the notice must specify the time of day that the interest was acquired: see notional s618(2C)(c).
- RG 9.63 The notice requirement will only apply in very limited circumstances—that is, where:
- (a) the acceptance relies on s653B(1)(b) (i.e. the notification requirements do not apply to acceptances in respect of a person’s entire registered holding);
 - (b) the acceptance relates to a parcel that is sufficiently small that the remainder of the parcel is a small parcel; and
 - (c) the accepting holder seeks to rely on notional s618(2) to have the bid extended to the entire parcel to which the acceptance relates.
- RG 9.64 A trustee or nominee who is content to accept only in respect of the bid proportion may accept in the usual way without giving the notice.

Consideration payable subject to providing documentation

- RG 9.65 Section 620(2) requires the offer under an off-market bid to provide that the bidder must pay the consideration under the bid within a specified period. Different periods apply if the bidder is not given, with the acceptance, documentation that is sufficient to enable the bidder to become the holder of the relevant securities:
- (a) When a bidder is given the necessary transfer documents after the acceptance of the offer but *before* the offer period ends, s620(2)(b) requires the bidder to pay the consideration within one month of receiving the documents.

- (b) When a bidder is given the necessary transfer documents after the acceptance of the offer and *after* the offer period ends, s620(2)(c) requires the bidder to pay the consideration within 21 days of receiving the necessary transfer documents.

RG 9.66 The periods specified in s620(2)(b) and (c) run from the time that the bidder receives the transfer documents, even if the bid remains subject to a defeating condition. This is in contrast to s620(2)(a)(i).

ASIC modification: Timing of payment in conditional offers

RG 9.67 As reflected in s620(2), the period for a bidder to pay consideration should run from the time that the necessary transfer documents are given to the bidder.

RG 9.68 However, for an offer that is subject to a defeating condition, the period for a bidder to pay consideration should also be referable to the time that the takeover contract becomes unconditional. At this time, the contract is binding and will not be rescinded: see the definition of ‘defeating condition’ in s9. This is consistent with s620(2)(a)(i).

RG 9.69 Section 5(d) of [ASIC Instrument 2023/683](#) modifies s620(2)(b) so that the bidder must provide in its offer that, if the bidder is given the necessary transfer documents after acceptance by the holder and before the end of the bid period, the bidder is to pay the consideration:

- (a) if the offer is subject to a defeating condition when the bidder is given the necessary transfer documents—by the earlier of one month after the takeover contract becomes unconditional or 21 days after the end of the offer period; and
- (b) if the offer is unconditional—by the earlier of one month after the bidder is given the necessary transfer documents or 21 days after the end of the offer period.

RG 9.70 Section 5(d) of ASIC Instrument 2023/683 also modifies s620(2)(c) so that the bidder is to pay the consideration within 21 days after the takeover contract becomes unconditional if:

- (a) the bidder is given the necessary transfer documents after both the acceptance of the offer and the end of the offer period; and
- (b) when the bidder is given the necessary transfer documents, the offer is subject to a condition that relates to the occurrence of an event referred to in s652C(1) or (2).

RG 9.71 We have modified s620(2)(c) because a bidder may free its offer from a condition relating to the events referred to in s652C(1) or (2) not later than three business days after the end of the offer period: s650F(1)(a), and see RG 9.173–RG 9.176 on s650G. We discuss the quotation condition imposed by s625(3) at RG 9.166.

ASIC modification: Accelerated payment of bid consideration

RG 9.72 Section 5(b) of [ASIC Instrument 2023/683](#) inserts notional s620(2A) so that a bidder may offer to provide or pay the bid consideration within a shorter period than is otherwise required by s620(2). Generally, the bidder's rationale for offering accelerated payment terms is to make accepting the bid more attractive to holders.

RG 9.73 A bidder promising to pay bid consideration within an accelerated period should have a legitimate basis to believe its promise can be fulfilled. ASIC expects bidders to closely engage with their agents to ensure promises to pay bid consideration on an accelerated basis will be achieved.

Note: The Takeovers Panel Guidance Note 14 *Funding Arrangements* ([GN 14](#)) notes that it may give rise to unacceptable circumstances if the bidder proposes to pay accepting shareholders faster than originally proposed before funding arrangements are certain.

DRAFT

C The minimum bid price

Key points

The 'minimum bid price rule' requires a bidder to offer a minimum level of consideration to holders under a takeover bid and applies to all forms of consideration offered.

We have modified the time at which a bidder must value quoted securities under the minimum bid price rule and have provided relief to:

- allow adjustments accounting for certain corporate actions of the target; and
- facilitate offers to bidder group entities and their nominees.

We may also consider individual relief where:

- the market price does not reflect the true value of quoted securities offered as consideration;
- the bidder has made a previous takeover bid; or
- pre-bid purchase consideration was offered by a person who became an associate of the bidder after the pre-bid purchase.

The minimum bid price rule

- RG 9.74 The 'minimum bid price rule' in s621(3) provides that a bidder making a takeover bid must offer consideration at least equal to the maximum consideration that the bidder or an associate provided, or agreed to provide, for a bid class security under any purchase or agreement during the four months before the date of the bid (pre-bid purchase).

Unacceptable circumstances

- RG 9.75 In reviewing the terms of a takeover bid and a bidder's pre-bid conduct, we will consider the requirements and underlying policy of the minimum bid price rule as well as the principles and purposes of Ch 6 in s602.
- RG 9.76 Even if the minimum bid price rule does not prevent a bidder from offering certain consideration a bidder should ensure that the terms of their bid, having regard to the circumstances of any pre-bid acquisitions or arrangements, are not contrary to the policy of the provision and/or the equality principle in s602(c). A bidder proceeding with a bid on terms contrary to the underlying policy of the minimum bid price rule may risk a declaration of unacceptable circumstances.

- RG 9.77 For example, we may take regulatory action, including applying to the Takeovers Panel for a declaration, where:
- (a) the bidder acquires bid class securities by acquiring a body which holds the securities, or by acquiring a ‘mixed lot’ which includes the securities, during the four months before the date of the bid and fails to take this into account as a pre-bid purchase; or
 - (b) the bidder temporarily inflates the value of securities offered as bid consideration at the valuation time by taking or announcing a corporate action (such as a buyback) and fails to adjust its bid consideration to account for the imminent decrease in the value of the securities once the effect on price of the corporate action has passed; or
 - (c) after the relevant pre-bid purchase there is an increase in the underlying value of bid class securities as a result of a corporate action (such as a share consolidation) and the bidder does not adjust its bid consideration consistently (see RG 9.143).

Applying the minimum bid price rule

RG 9.78 The minimum bid price rule applies to all forms of consideration offered. Section 621(3) does not require the bid consideration to be of the same kind as that given in the four months before the bid, but it does require that it be of equal value. For example, the bidder may give cash as pre-bid purchase consideration and then offer securities as bid consideration.

RG 9.79 For the purposes of the minimum bid price rule the consideration offered or provided for a security is:

- (a) the amount of a cash sum;
- (b) the value of non-cash consideration; or
- (c) for mixed consideration, the cash sum and the value of the other consideration: see s621(4).

RG 9.80 In applying the rule, a bidder must value and compare both the bid consideration offered and the maximum consideration given in connection with any pre-bid purchases. This may mean that a bidder is required to value consideration agreed or given under different pre-bid purchases to identify the maximum pre-bid consideration.

Note: A pre-bid purchase extends to an arrangement or understanding: see the definition of ‘agreement’ in s9.

RG 9.81 If the bidder offers alternative forms of bid consideration, all forms of consideration must be at least equal to the pre-bid maximum consideration.

DRAFT

This is because where multiple forms of consideration are on offer, each form separately constitutes ‘consideration offered for securities in the bid class’ to which the rule applies. The purpose of the minimum bid price rule is to place limits on the ability of a bidder to acquire securities under a bid at a price that is below that of the highest pre-bid purchase. It would be inconsistent with this objective to allow a bidder to acquire securities at a lower price merely because an alternative form of consideration available equals or exceeds the value of the pre-bid purchase.

- RG 9.82 Section 621(4) requires that the value of consideration (other than cash) be determined at the time the relevant takeover offer, purchase or agreement is made. We have modified this requirement, in s5(c) of [ASIC Corporations \(Minimum Bid Price\) Instrument 2015/1068](#), as it applies to valuing quoted securities offered under a bid to facilitate practical compliance with the rule.
- RG 9.83 Table 2 summarises the valuation approaches that should be used to compare pre-bid purchase and bid consideration for the purposes of the minimum bid price rule—taking into account both our guidance in this section and the relief we have given.

Table 2: Summary of valuation approaches for the minimum bid price rule

Consideration type	Value of consideration given or agreed under pre-bid purchase or agreement	Value of consideration offered under bid
Cash (Australian dollar cash sum)	Amount of the cash sum given or agreed: see RG 9.91–RG 9.93.	Amount of the cash sum offered under the bid: see RG 9.91–RG 9.93.
Foreign currency amount	Foreign cash amount converted to Australian dollars using the exchange rate at the time of the pre-bid purchase: see RG 9.94–RG 9.96.	Foreign cash amount converted to Australian dollars using the exchange rate as at the date of the bid: see RG 9.94–RG 9.96.
Quoted securities (declared financial market)	<p>Volume weighted average market price in ordinary trading over the two days before the date of the pre-bid purchase: see RG 9.97–RG 9.101.</p> <p><i>Or</i></p> <p>Valuation in accordance with individual relief: see RG 9.117.</p>	<p>Volume weighted average market price in ordinary trading over the two full trading days before any chosen valuation time that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • is within the five business days before the first offer is made to a holder; and • ensures the two-day valuation period does not start until at least five full trading days after the bidder’s statement was given to the target and each market operator: see RG 9.103–RG 9.110. <p><i>Or</i></p> <p>Valuation in accordance with individual relief: see RG 9.117.</p>

DRAFT

Consideration type	Value of consideration given or agreed under pre-bid purchase or agreement	Value of consideration offered under bid
Quoted securities (approved foreign market)	Volume weighted average market price in ordinary trading over the two days before the date of the pre-bid purchase converted to Australian dollars using the exchange rate at the time of the pre-bid purchase: see RG 9.97–RG 9.101 and RG 9.111–RG 9.115. <i>Or</i> Valuation in accordance with individual relief: see RG 9.117.	Volume weighted average market price in ordinary trading over the two full trading days before the chosen valuation time (selected in accordance with the above) converted to Australian dollars using the exchange rate as at the chosen valuation time: see RG 9.103–RG 9.115. <i>Or</i> Valuation in accordance with individual relief: see RG 9.117.
Unquoted securities (including securities quoted on a non-approved market)	Value as at the time of the pre-bid purchase based on an independent expert report: see RG 9.128–RG 9.140. <i>Or</i> If quoted on a non-approved foreign exchange—valuation in accordance with individual relief: see RG 9.116.	Value as at the time of the bid based on an independent expert report: see RG 9.128–RG 9.140. <i>Or</i> If quoted on a non-approved foreign exchange—valuation in accordance with individual relief: see RG 9.116.

Price variation agreements

RG 9.84 When determining the maximum pre-bid consideration for the purposes of the minimum bid price rule, a bidder must take into account each instance where, under any purchase or agreement:

- (a) consideration was agreed to be provided for a bid class security; or
- (b) consideration was in fact provided for a bid class security.

RG 9.85 In some cases, a pre-bid purchase may involve the bidder entering into an agreement that provides that:

- (a) a specified price is payable for the securities; and
- (b) that price may be varied in the future in accordance with the terms of the agreement (price variation agreement).

Note: Some price variation agreements, such as escalation agreements, are prohibited: see s622.

RG 9.86 Under s621(5) a bidder may disregard any variation under a price variation agreement when working out the price a bidder agreed to pay for the securities for the purposes of the minimum bid price rule. This means that, in essence, a bidder only needs to account for the specified price that is payable under the agreement.

RG 9.87 However, on its terms s621(5) is limited to identifying the price that a bidder *agreed* to provide, and does not affect the need to separately account for and value any consideration that was actually provided for securities (whether

pursuant to the terms of a previously agreed price variation agreement or otherwise). Where an actual purchase occurs, the equality principle requires that the bidder offer bid consideration that is at least equal in value to the purchase consideration given. If this were not the case, the bidder could use a price variation agreement as a device to minimise bid consideration required by s621(3).

Public proposals and the minimum bid price rule

- RG 9.88 Under s631 a person who publicly proposes to make a takeover bid must make offers under that bid within two months of the proposal on terms and conditions that are the same or not substantially less favourable than proposed: see s631(1).
- RG 9.89 This obligation is independent of the minimum bid price rule. As such, if a bidder publicly proposes that it will offer a particular number of securities or cash amount per bid class security as bid consideration, it cannot reduce this number—even if the bidder could comply with the minimum bid price rule by offering less consideration (e.g. because the value of the bidder’s securities has increased).
- RG 9.90 The minimum bid price rule should therefore be taken into account before a bidder publicly proposes to make a takeover bid. A public proposal must not be reckless or misleading: see s631(2) and s1041H.

Cash consideration

- RG 9.91 A cash sum given as consideration has a fixed value for the purposes of the minimum bid price rule: see s621(4)(a).

On-market acquisitions

- RG 9.92 Where a bidder acquires a pre-bid stake through on-market purchases of bid class securities, each individual trade is treated as a pre-bid purchase for the cash consideration provided in connection with that trade. As such, the highest price paid in connection with any individual on-market trade must be ascertained in order to determine the pre-bid maximum consideration.
- RG 9.93 It is not sufficient for a bidder to use an average price of multiple on-market trades in seeking to determine the maximum consideration provided for the purposes of the minimum bid price rule. This is so even if the bidder has received an accumulation confirmation from a market participant (as permitted under, for example, Rule 3.4.2 of the [ASIC Market Integrity Rules \(Securities Markets\) 2017](#)).

Foreign cash amounts

- RG 9.94 For the purposes of the minimum bid price rule, we take the view that a ‘cash sum’ is an amount denominated in Australian dollars and does not include a sum of foreign currency.
- RG 9.95 Foreign cash, when considered from the perspective of Australian investors, does not have a fixed value given that it has to be exchanged at a variable rate.
- RG 9.96 For the purposes of calculating the minimum bid price, foreign cash consideration should therefore be treated as ‘non-cash consideration’ and valued in the same way as foreign securities—that is, it should be converted to Australian dollars at the exchange rate prevailing at the time of the pre-bid purchase or takeover offers being made.

Note: Treating foreign cash as a ‘cash sum’ may also give rise to unacceptable circumstances: see Takeovers Panel Guidance Note 6 *Minimum bid price* ([GN 6](#)).

Non-cash consideration: Quoted securities

- RG 9.97 It is important that a valuation as close as practical to the true value of non-cash consideration forms the basis of the comparison between the consideration offered in connection with any pre-bid purchase and the consideration offered under the bid.
- RG 9.98 Similarly, given the nature of the comparison involved, if the bidder provides the same securities as pre-bid purchase and bid consideration, the bidder must value the consideration consistently—using the same method to ascertain the value as at the time of each relevant offer, purchase or agreement.

Valuing quoted securities

- RG 9.99 Normally, when valuing quoted securities that are provided, or are agreed to be provided, as consideration for a pre-bid purchase, or offered as bid consideration, the bidder should adopt the volume weighted average market price in the ordinary course of trading during the two full trading days before the relevant offer, purchase or agreement.
- RG 9.100 This method should be used because the Corporations Act treats the market price as the normal measure of value: see s636(1)(h)(ii) which requires the bidder’s statement to include the market price of quoted securities as pre-bid consideration. An expert’s valuation is not required if the bidder adopts the market price.
- RG 9.101 Using the volume weighted average market price across two trading days reduces the risk of distortion because of short-term price volatility.

- RG 9.102 In appropriate cases we may give individual relief to allow a bidder to adopt a valuation based on the market price over a period longer than two trading days, or based on another method of valuation: see RG 9.117–RG 9.120.

ASIC modification: Timing of valuation of quoted securities offered as bid consideration

- RG 9.103 Where non-cash consideration is offered under a bid, s621(4) requires the bidder to value the bid consideration for the purposes of the minimum bid price rule as at the time the takeover offer is made—that is, the time that the bidder sends the first copy of its bidder’s statement and offer document to a holder under item 6 of s633. This coincides with the end of the four-month ‘look-back’ period under the rule: see s621(3) and the definition of ‘date of a takeover bid’ in s9.
- RG 9.104 The requirements of s621(4) reflect a policy that a bidder should use an up-to-date value when determining whether the minimum bid price rule has been satisfied.
- RG 9.105 However, these requirements may mean that the bidder does not have enough time to comply with the rule and the procedural step in item 6 of s633(1). This is because following lodgement of the bidder’s statement the bidder may need to:
- (a) increase the number of quoted securities offered to ensure the bid complies with the minimum bid price rule (e.g. because the value of the quoted securities offered has materially decreased since lodgement);
 - (b) obtain internal approvals to amend the offer terms and bidder’s statement (including lodging a replacement bidder’s statement);
 - (c) obtain relief to dispatch the bidder’s statement on terms that differ from those in the original bidder’s statement (see RG 9.382–RG 9.387); and
 - (d) print copies of the bidder’s statement and offer documents to send to holders.
- RG 9.106 Notional s621(4A), as inserted by s5(c) of [ASIC Instrument 2015/1068](#), modifies the operation of the minimum bid price rule to allow a bidder to value quoted securities that it offers as bid consideration up to five business days before it sends its first offer. The value must be determined using the volumed weighted average market price of the quoted securities in the ordinary course of trading during the two full trading days before a time chosen by the bidder (chosen valuation time) provided that:
- (a) the chosen valuation time is within the five business days before the date the first offer is sent under the bid—this is to ensure the valuation time is as close as practicable to the date of the bid; and
 - (b) the period of trading on which the valuation is based does not start until at least five trading days have elapsed after the date the bidder sent a

copy of the bidder's statement to the target and each approved financial market (as defined in notional s621(4B)) on which the securities are quoted—this is to ensure that there is time for the market price to reflect the impact of the takeover bid.

- RG 9.107 Our relief gives the bidder time to value the quoted securities offered, increase the bid consideration if necessary, seek relevant approvals and update and print the bidder's statement.
- RG 9.108 In order to rely on this relief, a bidder must include in the bidder's statement dispatched to target holders:
- (a) the value of the quoted securities ascertained using the method set out in notional s621(4A)(a); and
 - (b) details of the valuation method required to be applied, including the trading days on which the valuation is based.

Note: For more information about what should be included in the bidder's statement, see RG 9.244.

Pre-bid purchases after chosen valuation time

- RG 9.109 If the bidder makes a pre-bid purchase after the chosen valuation time under our relief but before the date of the first offer, the pre-bid purchase consideration must not exceed the value of bid consideration ascertained as at the chosen valuation time. The minimum bid price rule applies to pre-bid purchases after the chosen valuation time because it covers the period until the date of the first offer. The bidder's statement should be updated to reflect any pre-bid purchases made after the bidder's statement is lodged and before the date of the first offer: see RG 9.255–RG 9.258.
- RG 9.110 In addition, if the consideration for a pre-bid purchase after the valuation time did exceed the value of the bid consideration, the bid consideration may be automatically varied: see s651A. Section 651A applies to cash purchases outside an off-market bid during the bid period.

Valuing securities quoted on approved foreign markets

- RG 9.111 Our policy on the appropriate approach to valuing quoted securities applies to securities quoted on an approved foreign market: see RG 9.99–RG 9.101.
- RG 9.112 As with securities quoted on an Australian exchange offered as bid consideration, the value of securities quoted on a foreign exchange is normally measured by reference to the market price. Accordingly, our relief to facilitate the valuation of quoted securities in notional s621(3) to (4A) as inserted by [ASIC Instrument 2015/1068](#) extends to securities quoted on an approved foreign market.

Note: Approved foreign markets meet our criteria in Regulatory Guide 72 *Foreign securities: Disclosure relief* ([RG 72](#)). The market price of a security quoted on an exchange that meets these criteria is more likely to be reliable.

- RG 9.113 In valuing foreign quoted securities, a bidder should adopt the volume weighted average market price of the securities in the ordinary course of trading during the two full trading days before the pre-bid purchase or chosen valuation time. In general, the ‘ordinary course of trading’ concept may be able to be applied in respect of most foreign exchanges.
- RG 9.114 For the purposes of the comparison required by the minimum bid price rule, the bidder should convert the value of securities quoted on a foreign market to Australian dollars at the prevailing exchange rate as at:
- (a) for securities provided under a pre-bid purchase—the time of the relevant agreement or purchase; and
 - (b) for securities offered as bid consideration—the chosen valuation time.
- RG 9.115 Foreign securities should be valued using the method described in RG 9.99 only if the market price is reliable. Further, where the bidder’s securities are quoted on more than one financial market, bidders should use the market price from the financial market that most accurately reflects the market price for the securities. It may give rise to unacceptable circumstances if a bidder ‘forum-shops’ a value for its securities by, for example, seeking to utilise the market price on an approved foreign market where the securities were relatively thinly traded and where the trading prices on another approved market better reflected a more accurate market price for comparative purposes.
- RG 9.116 The relief in notional s621(4A) as inserted by [ASIC Instrument 2015/1068](#) only applies to securities quoted on an approved financial market (as defined in notional s621(4B)). However, we may give individual relief on terms similar to those in the instrument to a bidder offering securities quoted on a non-approved foreign financial market in order to allow the bidder to value the securities at an earlier valuation time.

ASIC relief: Other valuation methods for quoted securities

- RG 9.117 We may give individual relief allowing a bidder to adopt a valuation of quoted securities based on:
- (a) the market price of the securities over a period longer than two trading days; or
 - (b) another method of valuation.
- RG 9.118 Relief in either case is required because:
- (a) if the bidder chooses a market price calculated across a period longer than two trading days:
 - (i) it will not be able to avail itself of the relief in notional s621(4A) as inserted by ASIC Instrument 2015/1068; and

- (ii) there is more uncertainty as to whether the bidder has valued the securities as at the time of the offer as required by s621(4) (see RG 9.103–RG 9.104); and
- (b) where the bidder seeks to use a valuation method other than the market price it will be departing from the normal measure of value for quoted securities.

RG 9.119 We will generally give this relief where it is likely that use of the nominated trading period or valuation method produces a value closer to the true value. We expect the relief will be exceptional.

Note: For example, relief of this kind may be appropriate where the quoted securities are traded very thinly.

RG 9.120 If the bidder adopts a valuation based on a method other than the market price, the bidder should appoint an expert to give an opinion on the value to the holders of target securities, which should be disclosed in the bidder's statement. The expert's opinion should adequately explain the basis of the valuation and the reasons for adopting a value different from the market price. Any relief will be subject to the disclosure requirements set out at RG 9.244.

Market irregularities

RG 9.121 A market irregularity resulting in a material decrease in the market price of quoted securities is a particular circumstance where we may give individual relief to enable the bidder to adopt a valuation based on the market price over a period longer than two days or a valuation methodology other than the market price.

RG 9.122 During a market irregularity, the market price does not reflect true supply and demand. As a result, it may not reflect the underlying value of the bid consideration, which is the basis for the comparison with maximum consideration under a pre-bid purchase.

RG 9.123 In applying for relief, the bidder may give us the opinion of a securities market professional (that is not associated with the bidder) to assist in showing a market irregularity.

RG 9.124 We expect that this relief will only be available in exceptional cases. A decrease in the market price of securities offered by a bidder as bid consideration is, to an extent, a normal result of announcing or making a takeover offer. The bidder bears the risk of such a decrease.

Changes in the value of quoted securities

RG 9.125 Changes in the market price of quoted securities offered as bid consideration may mean that a bidder needs to adjust the number of securities offered for

each bid class security where quoted securities were also provided or agreed to be provided as pre-bid purchase consideration. This is because, for the purposes of the minimum bid price rule, the value of the bid consideration must remain equal to, or greater than, the value of the pre-bid purchase. Changes in the value of the quoted securities between the pre-bid purchase and the valuation time for the bid consideration will be relevant. Table 2 sets out the time at which quoted securities offered as bid consideration are to be valued.

RG 9.126 The potential impact of changes in the value of quoted securities in terms of the minimum bid price rule is set out in Example 1.

Example 1: Movement in price of quoted securities given as pre-bid consideration

A listed company, BidCo Ltd, is planning to make a takeover bid for TargetCo Ltd. It purchases a pre-bid stake in TargetCo from a substantial holder at a price of three new BidCo shares for every TargetCo share purchased. Based on the volume weighted average market price over the two days before the purchase, BidCo's shares have a value of \$1 per share.

BidCo announces a scrip bid for TargetCo at the same three-for-one ratio it purchased the pre-bid stake. At the date of the announcement the bidder's shares are trading at \$1 per share. However, following the announcement, the bidder's shares fall in value. Seven full trading days after the announcement the two-day volume weighted average market price of BidCo shares has dropped to \$0.75 per share.

Although BidCo is proposing to offer the same number of shares per TargetCo share under the bid as it provided to the substantial holder for the pre-bid purchase, in order to comply with the minimum bid price rule it must increase the consideration offered under the bid before it can make offers. This is because the value of the pre-bid purchase was \$3 per TargetCo share at the time of the pre-bid purchase, compared to the current value of the bid consideration of \$2.25 per TargetCo share.

As BidCo is proposing to send its offers within the next three business days, BidCo can choose to use the \$0.75 two-day volume weighted average market price to value the BidCo shares it is offering under the bid for the purposes of the minimum bid price rule under our relief. In doing so, BidCo will have certainty that it can comply with the rule by increasing the consideration offered to four BidCo shares for each TargetCo share (with a total value of \$3).

RG 9.127 A bidder also needs to consider the disclosure that must be made in connection with the changes in the market value of scrip. Notional s621(4A) as inserted by [ASIC Instrument 2015/1068](#) identifies the valuation time for bid consideration as after the date on which the bidder lodges the bidder's statement. If the value of the bid consideration calculated in reliance on this

instrument changes materially from the value of the non-cash consideration disclosed in the bidder's statement, the bidder should revise the valuation as well as the bid consideration, if necessary, in a supplementary or replacement bidder's statement.

Note: See RG 9.265–RG 9.272 for further discussion of changes to a bidder's statement between lodgement and dispatch and requirements for replacement bidder's statements.

Non-cash consideration: Unquoted securities

- RG 9.128 Where a bidder has provided, or agreed to provide, unquoted securities in connection with a pre-bid purchase, or is offering unquoted securities as bid consideration, the bidder should obtain an independent expert report that determines that any valuation of the securities is fair and reasonable and providing reasons for that opinion.
- RG 9.129 The Corporations Act requires a bidder to obtain an independent expert report where a pre-bid purchase involves unquoted securities: see s636(1)(h)(iii) and s636(2). This requirement indicates that it is similarly appropriate to appoint an expert when the bidder is required to value unquoted securities offered as bid consideration for the purposes of the minimum bid price rule.
- RG 9.130 The expert appointed to value unquoted securities as pre-bid purchase or bid consideration must be independent. Section 648A(2) provides that the expert must be someone other than an associate of the bidder.
- RG 9.131 As with valuing quoted securities, if the bidder provides the same securities as pre-bid purchase and bid consideration the same valuation method should be used to value the securities: see RG 9.98.
- RG 9.132 The bidder and expert must have reasonable grounds for their view on the value or range of values. If the bidder does not have reasonable grounds for the value, it risks breaching s621(3). It may also risk a declaration of unacceptable circumstances by the Takeovers Panel.

ASIC relief: Valuation time of unquoted securities

- RG 9.133 A bidder should value unquoted securities offered as consideration for a pre-bid purchase as at the time of making or entering the pre-bid purchase or agreement. Unquoted securities offered as bid consideration must be valued as at the time of making the first offer: see s621(4).

Note: The bidder will also have to include a valuation of unquoted securities in the bidder's statement at the time of lodgement: see s636(1)(h)(iii).

- RG 9.134 The timing relief in notional 621(4A) as inserted by s5(c) of [ASIC Instrument 2015/1068](#) does not extend to unquoted securities because, in comparison to the market price of quoted securities, the value of unquoted securities is less likely to change in the period between lodgement of the bidder's statement and the time of the first offer.
- RG 9.135 We may give a bidder offering unquoted securities individual timing relief if:
- (a) there has been or is likely to be a change in the value of the unquoted securities offered; and
 - (b) the bidder requires additional time to value the securities and complete and print the bidder's statement.
- RG 9.136 Our relief will specify the additional time needed in each bidder's particular case. In deciding whether to give relief and in determining the additional time that the bidder needs we will consider the circumstances of the particular bid—including, for example, the number of holders. Any relief will be subject to the disclosure requirements set out at RG 9.244.
- RG 9.137 If there is a material change in the value of the unquoted securities late in the 14-to-28-day period under item 6 of s633(1), we may also give relief to extend the time for the bidder to send the bidder's statement: see RG 9.276–RG 9.280. This relief would give the bidder time to value, update and print the bidder's statement.

Applying a range of values

- RG 9.138 If the bidder provides a range of values of the unquoted securities, it is necessary to work out an appropriate point within the range for the purposes of comparing the maximum consideration under any pre-bid purchase and the bid consideration on offer.
- RG 9.139 The appropriate point to adopt in a range of values is the point that reflects the most likely value. Without exceptional circumstances, this will usually be the mid-point of the range (average or mean value). In exceptional cases, an alternate point within the range may be considered if the bidder considers that the mid-point of a range is not the most likely value—for example, where a greater weight is attached to one outcome than another, so that the probability distribution of values will be skewed.
- RG 9.140 The bidder should explain in the bidder's statement the basis for fixing the point in a range for the purposes of the minimum bid price rule.

Relief from complying with the minimum bid price rule

RG 9.141 We provide relief from the minimum bid price rule in certain circumstances. Notional s621(3A)–(3B) as inserted by [ASIC Instrument 2015/1068](#) provide relief:

- (a) where the value of bid class securities that are quoted on an approved financial market (as defined in notional s621(4B)) decreases (see RG 9.143–RG 9.145); and
- (b) for offers to group companies and nominees (see RG 9.157–RG 9.160).

RG 9.142 Individual relief from the minimum bid price rule may be considered in other circumstances: see RG 9.146–RG 9.156.

ASIC modification: Dividends and share splits affecting the value of bid class securities

RG 9.143 If the underlying value of the bid class securities changes following a pre-bid purchase because of a corporate action, the equality principle suggests that there should be a corresponding adjustment to the requirements of the minimum bid price rule to account for this change. It may be acceptable for the bidder to offer bid consideration below the maximum consideration the bidder provided or agreed to provide for the pre-bid purchase to the extent of the change.

Note: Similarly, if the value of bid class securities increases due to a corporate action it may be unacceptable not to take this into account: see RG 9.77(c).

RG 9.144 Notional s621(3A) as inserted by s5(c) of ASIC Instrument 2015/1068 modifies the minimum bid price rule to allow a bidder to offer bid consideration that is lower than the maximum consideration provided under a pre-bid purchase to the extent of a decrease in the underlying value of quoted bid class securities where:

- (a) the decrease resulted from:
 - (i) the target declaring a cash dividend; or
 - (ii) a share split under s254H; and
- (b) the ‘ex date’ for the corporate action is:
 - (i) after the relevant pre-bid purchase; and
 - (ii) on or before the date of the bid.

RG 9.145 The ‘ex date’ is the date on which the relevant securities exchange changes the basis of quotation for the securities to signify that trading in the securities no longer carries the entitlement to the corporate action.

DRAFT

ASIC relief: Other decreases in the value of bid class securities

- RG 9.146 We may also give individual relief from the minimum bid price rule to allow a bidder to take into account other decreases in the underlying value of bid class securities such as those arising from:
- (a) the issue or allotment of securities;
 - (b) the payment of a non-cash dividend; or
 - (c) a return of capital.
- RG 9.147 We will generally give relief only where there are clear changes in the underlying value of bid class securities—usually as a result of a corporate action. In making a pre-bid purchase, the bidder accepts the risk of market and business changes (and may protect itself by making its bid subject to appropriate preconditions and defeating conditions). These changes are different from decisions by the target to change its share capital or distribute profits.
- RG 9.148 An expert’s opinion about the impact of the change on the value of the bid class securities will generally assist an application for relief. We will insist on such an opinion where the impact of the corporate action is particularly complex.
- RG 9.149 The bidder should not take into account the taxation consequences for holders of a corporate action in working out what adjustment is appropriate.

ASIC relief: Pre-bid purchases made under a prior takeover bid

- RG 9.150 If a bidder makes offers under a new takeover bid within four months of the close of offers under a previous bid, any takeover contracts resulting from the acceptance of the first bid by target holders during the four-month ‘look-back period’ will be pre-bid purchases for the purposes of the minimum bid price rule. This is because the bid consideration for the earlier bid is consideration that the bidder agreed to provide to purchase bid class securities—even if the takeover contract was rescinded because a defeating condition was not satisfied: see s621(3) and paragraph (a) of definition of ‘defeating condition’ in s9.
- RG 9.151 In these circumstances we may give individual relief so that, in determining the maximum consideration provided for any pre-bid purchase ahead of the new bid, the bidder is able to exclude pre-bid purchases made by it under the previous takeover bid. If a pre-bid purchase was made under a takeover bid, all holders had a reasonable and equal opportunity to participate in any benefits accruing to the holders through the proposal: see s602(c). Each holder had the opportunity to accept the previous offer.

- RG 9.152 We will generally not give this relief where the first bid was an unconditional market or off-market bid and the bidder withdrew unaccepted offers (under either s652B or 652C). This is because where an unconditional offer is withdrawn holders who had already accepted the bidder's offer before the withdrawal may be better off than those who had not.

ASIC relief: Pre-bid purchase consideration provided by an associate

- RG 9.153 The equality principle demands that if an associate of a bidder makes a pre-bid purchase, the bidder should account for this pre-bid purchase in identifying the maximum consideration provided for the purposes of the minimum bid price rule. The bidder and its associates are likely to be acting together in purchasing bid class securities ahead of a takeover bid. Accordingly, s621(3) requires that a bidder include any pre-bid purchases by its associates to ensure that the bidder is not able to indirectly pay a premium over the amount offered to holders under the takeover bid when building a pre-bid stake.
- RG 9.154 This concern does not necessarily apply where the pre-bid purchaser and the bidder were not associates at the time of the pre-bid purchase, but later became associates. The purchaser may have been acting independently of the bidder in paying the pre-bid purchase consideration in these circumstances.
- RG 9.155 We may give individual relief to exclude pre-bid purchase consideration provided by a person who became an associate of the bidder after the pre-bid purchase.
- RG 9.156 A bidder applying for our relief must clearly establish that the purchaser and the bidder were independent at the time of the pre-bid purchase, although they became associated later. We will look closely at the particular circumstances before deciding to give relief.

ASIC modification: Offers to group companies and nominees

- RG 9.157 All offers under a bid must comply with the minimum bid price rule and must be the same: see s619(1) and s621(3). However, some target holders may be connected with the bidder in a way that means their interests are effectively aligned—including bodies corporate in the same group as the bidder and nominees and bare trustees holding target securities on behalf of the bidder. The minimum bid price rule, and the equality principle on which it is based, are not designed to protect the interests of the bidder.

DRAFT

- RG 9.158 Notional s621(3B) as inserted by s5(c) of [ASIC Instrument 2015/1068](#) allows a bidder to offer bid consideration that is less than the amount required by the minimum bid price rule to:
- (a) a body corporate that is in the same wholly owned group as the bidder (i.e. a holding company and its wholly owned companies, including any intermediate wholly owned companies in a corporate chain); and
 - (b) nominees and bare trustees of bid class securities for the bidder or a body mentioned in paragraph (a).
- RG 9.159 In order to rely on this relief with respect to an offer to be made to a group company (or its nominee), the group company must have given to the bidder written consent to receive the offer that acknowledges that the amount received is less than that required by the minimum bid price rule.
- RG 9.160 Consent of the offeree body corporate is required because its directors owe fiduciary duties of loyalty to it, although the interests of the group may be relevant in determining its interests. The consent requirement provides:
- (a) a trigger for the directors to consider the interests of the body corporate in contracting out of the protection afforded by the minimum bid price rule; and
 - (b) evidence that the body decided to contract out.

D Conditional offers

Key points

Offers under an off-market takeover bid may be subject to conditions—other than those prohibited under s626–629: s625(2). The bidder may free offers from conditions at certain times during the course of the bid: see s650F. When conditional offers are made, the bidder must provide a notice on the status of conditions near the end of the offer period.

We have modified s625(3) through [ASIC Instrument 2023/683](#) to ensure that the statutory quotation condition is not taken to be a defeating condition.

Maximum acceptance conditions and discriminatory conditions are prohibited to reduce uncertainty for target holders and prevent excessively generous and ‘first come, first served’ bids.

We have modified Ch 6 to clarify that defeating conditions do not permit bidders to withdraw unaccepted offers, and to clarify the time by which a bidder can free an offer from a defeating condition.

Notices relating to conditions in an off-market bid

- RG 9.161 Offers under an off-market takeover bid may be conditional: see s625(2). When offers are subject to defeating conditions, the bidder must give various notices relating to the status of these conditions throughout the offer period. The notices must be given to the relevant market operator if securities in the bid class are quoted, or lodged with us if the securities are unquoted. The notices must also be given to the target.

Extension of a conditional bid after the notice of status of conditions has been given

- RG 9.162 Given the prohibition in s650C(2), in most cases, a bid will only be extended after the s630(3) notice has been given if it is unconditional. Holders do not generally need further information about the status of a bid’s conditions after they have been notified that it is unconditional.
- RG 9.163 It is consistent with the underlying policy of s630(2) that ongoing disclosure about the status of the bid’s conditions should be provided if a conditional bid is extended, even if the status notice has previously been given. It is also consistent with the principles underlying Ch 6 set out in s602(a) and (b)—that is, that the takeover takes place in an efficient, competitive and informed market, and that security holders are given enough information to enable them to assess the merits of the takeover offer.

- RG 9.164 Equivalent disclosure of the status of conditions should therefore be given on the date that a notice would have been required if s630(2) had continued to apply at all relevant times, where:
- (a) a bid is extended after a notice of status of conditions has been given on a date determined under s630(3); and
 - (b) the offers are conditional at the time of the extension.
- RG 9.165 We may seek a declaration of unacceptable circumstances if we are concerned that target holders have not been given sufficient information about the status of bid conditions.

The statutory quotation condition

- RG 9.166 Where a bidder offers consideration that is, or includes, securities, and the bidder's statement or offer states or implies that the securities are to be quoted on a financial market, s625(3) imposes a condition on the offer that:
- (a) the bidder makes the application for admission to quotation within seven days of the start of the bid period; and
 - (b) permission for admission to quotation is granted no later than seven days after the end of the bid period.

Note: Securities are admitted to quotation when the relevant market operator has given unconditional permission for quotation: definition of 'admit to quotation' in s9. See also guidance in Section I of Regulatory Guide 254 *Offering securities under a disclosure document* ([RG 254](#)) and Section H of Regulatory Guide 228 *Prospectuses: Effective disclosure for retail investors* ([RG 228](#)) for further discussion of statements that may give an indication of listing.

- RG 9.167 The quotation condition is a statutory condition imposed by s625(3) and differs in this respect from other conditions that a bidder may choose to include in its offer.

ASIC modification: Statutory quotation condition not a defeating condition

- RG 9.168 Section 5(i) of [ASIC Instrument 2023/683](#) modifies s625(3) to clarify that the statutory quotation condition under s625(3)(c)(ii) is not a defeating condition. Without this relief, it is possible that the quotation condition may fall within the definition of 'defeating condition' in s9.

DRAFT

Conditions relating to prescribed events in s652C

- RG 9.169 Offers may be freed from a defeating condition using the process set out in s650F. For conditions relating to events of the kind referred to in s652C(1)

or (2), the bidder must give the target a notice declaring that the offers are free from the condition no later than three business days after the end of the offer period: see s650F(1)(a). For other conditions, the notice must be provided no less than seven days before the end of the offer period: see s650F(1)(b).

ASIC modification: Defeating condition does not allow withdrawal of offers

- RG 9.170 Section 7(c) of [ASIC Instrument 2023/683](#) substitutes s650F(1)(a) to correct a drafting error, which suggests that a defeating condition relating to the events listed in s652C allows a bidder to *withdraw* unaccepted offers.
- RG 9.171 A defeating condition does not allow the bidder to withdraw unaccepted offers. Offers may be withdrawn only with ASIC's written consent: see s652B. Without our consent to withdraw offers, a bid should run its full course.
- RG 9.172 The reference to withdrawing unaccepted offers in s650F(1)(a) is also inconsistent with the rest of the paragraph that provides for the condition to be freed up to three business days after the end of the offer period. Withdrawing unaccepted offers brings forward the end of the offer period. This accelerates the operation of s650G, rendering takeover contracts and acceptances in a conditional bid void. There is therefore no need to withdraw unaccepted offers after the end of the offer period because unaccepted offers will have lapsed by this time.

Section 652C conditions at the close of the offer

- RG 9.173 Under s650G, takeover contracts and acceptances are void if a defeating condition is not fulfilled at the end of the offer period and the bidder has not declared the offers to be free from that condition.
- RG 9.174 Section 650G(b) refers to the date applicable under s630(1) or (2) as the date by which the bidder must declare offers to be free from the condition—however, the paragraph is concerned with the notice under s650F(1) rather than s630.

ASIC modification: Applicable timing for freeing defeating conditions

- RG 9.175 Section 7(f) of ASIC Instrument 2023/683 substitutes s650G(b) to refer to the applicable date for giving a notice under s650F(1) when freeing offers from a defeating condition, rather than the notice of status of conditions under s630(1) or (2).

DRAFT

RG 9.176 Without our relief, a bidder would have to free offers from a defeating condition not less than seven days before the end of the offer period. This is inconsistent with s650F(1)(a), which allows a bidder to free offers from a defeating condition relating to circumstances in s652C(1) or (2) up to three business days after the end of the offer period. This three-day period allows a bidder sufficient time to consider all the circumstances up to the end of the offer period to decide whether to free offers from the defeating condition.

DRAFT

E Collateral benefits

Key points

Offering or giving collateral benefits to some target holders to induce them to accept a takeover offer or otherwise dispose of bid class securities is prohibited: see s623. This prohibition is a key application of the equality principle in s602(c). It also promotes the purposes in s602(a) and (d).

Any benefit that is likely to induce the acceptance of a takeover offer is prohibited. We look at the overall circumstances of the benefit when considering whether the benefit is likely to induce acceptance or disposal.

We have modified s623 through [ASIC Instrument 2023/683](#) so that the prohibition applies during the bid period rather than the offer period in a market bid.

We may grant relief in limited circumstances to allow particular arrangements that may otherwise contravene s623.

The prohibition on collateral benefits

RG 9.177 Section 623(1) prohibits certain collateral benefits from being offered or given to target holders outside the bid process. In particular, it prevents a bidder or an associate of the bidder giving, offering to give, or agreeing to give a benefit to a person if:

- (a) the benefit is likely to induce the person or an associate to:
 - (i) accept an offer under the bid; or
 - (ii) dispose of securities in the bid class; and
- (b) the benefit is not offered to all holders of securities in the bid class under the bid.

Unacceptable circumstances

RG 9.178 Even if offering or giving a collateral benefit does not contravene the prohibition in s623, the collateral benefit may still give rise to unacceptable circumstances—for example, because it offends the equality principle in s602(c). The application of the equality and other principles in s602 is not limited to any particular stage of the bid. A collateral benefit may therefore be unacceptable even if it is offered or given outside the periods during which s623(1) applies.

RG 9.179 In considering a collateral arrangement or transaction in the context of a bid, we will take into account both whether there is a contravention of s623 and

whether there may otherwise be unacceptable circumstances, having regard to the principles in s602.

Factors taken into account in considering inducement

RG 9.180 Table 3 discusses factors that we may consider when determining whether collateral arrangements or transactions constitute a benefit prohibited under s623(1).

Table 3: Factors that may suggest a benefit is likely to induce acceptance or disposal

Matter	Details
Link to acceptance or disposal	<p>When a benefit outside a bid is provided to a target holder in exchange for, or conditional on, the holder's acceptance of the bid or disposal of the securities, the benefit will generally amount to an inducement. It does not matter whether a link of this kind is implied, informal or arises from an undocumented understanding between the parties.</p> <p>The timing of the offering or giving of a benefit, or the acceptance or disposal, may also be indicative of a link.</p>
Materiality	<p>A benefit that is material and connected to a bid will be more likely to induce a holder.</p> <p>In considering materiality, we will generally consider the likely effect on the target holder of the benefit in the overall circumstances of the bid. If a benefit conferred outside a bid is material (in absolute or relative terms), compared with the benefits that will accrue to a holder under the bid, the benefit may have a strong tendency to induce acceptance.</p> <p>Conversely, a benefit that is of small value, or uncertain to materialise, may not be sufficient to induce.</p>
Context of the transaction or arrangement associated with the benefit	<p>We are likely to examine more closely the potential inducement effect of a benefit that cannot be explained by reference to normal commercial matters that are independent of the recipient's holding in the target.</p> <p>When an arrangement goes further than necessary to address the effect of a successful bid on the pre-existing interest, we consider the benefit will generally be more likely to constitute an inducement. In particular, we would be concerned with an arrangement entered into on uncommercial terms or that may involve a level of transfer pricing (e.g. the purchase of an asset from a target holder at an inflated value).</p>
Objective effect and circumstances of the benefit	<p>Objective indications of the purpose and effect of the transaction involving a benefit may also give rise to concern that the benefit is likely to induce acceptance or disposal, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • where the parties have entered into unnecessarily complex or circuitous arrangements or transactions—this may suggest a greater likelihood that a value transfer or other inducement inherent in the benefit has been masked; • where the overall arrangements are inconsistent with the stated purpose; and • where the bidder appears to have selectively entered into beneficial arrangements with certain holders, unnecessarily favouring those with larger holdings.
RG 9.181	<p>The test of 'inducement' is wider in scope than the <i>prima facie</i> 'net benefits' test referred to in Takeovers Panel Guidance Note 21 <i>Collateral benefits</i> (GN 21), which the Takeovers Panel may take into account in the context of considering whether to make a declaration of unacceptable circumstances: see paragraph 32 of GN 21. In our view, similar considerations to those</p>

DRAFT

taken into account when applying the ‘net benefits’ test may be relevant when considering whether there is inducement (e.g. in considering the materiality of the potential inducement). However, a collateral benefit that constitutes an inducement to accept a bid or dispose of bid class securities may be contrary to s623(1), even if it is not a net benefit or involves ‘arm’s length’ dealing.

When the prohibition applies

RG 9.182 The relevant period during which the prohibition on collateral benefits operates depends on whether the bid is a market bid or an off-market bid.

ASIC modification: Market bids

RG 9.183 Section 5(f) of [ASIC Instrument 2023/683](#) substitutes s623(1) so that, for a market bid, the prohibition applies during the ‘bid period’ rather than the ‘offer period’.

RG 9.184 We have made this modification because, without it, there would be a gap between the time at which the minimum bid price principle in s621(3)–(5) stops applying and the time that the prohibition on collateral benefits starts applying.

RG 9.185 The offer period for a bid is defined to mean the period for which offers under the takeover bid remain open: see s9. For a market bid, the bidder’s offer does not start until 15 days after the bidder announces the bid: see item 14 of s635(1). However, the reference period for the minimum bid price principle ends at the date of the announcement: see s621(3).

RG 9.186 The gap between the operation of s621(3)–(5) and 623 for a market bid means that, in theory, the bidder could offer more consideration in the interim than it offers under the takeover bid. There would be no automatic increase in the bid consideration under s651A, which is limited to off-market bids.

RG 9.187 This gap is filled if the prohibition on collateral benefits applies during the bid period rather than the offer period because, for a market bid, the bid period starts when the bid is announced to the securities exchange and ends at the end of the offer period: see s9.

RG 9.188 We consider that this extension is appropriate because the collateral benefits prohibition in s623 is intended to pick up where the minimum bid price principle in s621(3)–(5) leaves off. Both extend the equality principle in s602(c) beyond the consideration that the bidder offers under the takeover bid.

DRAFT

Relief from the collateral benefits prohibition

- RG 9.189 The scope of the collateral benefits prohibition means that:
- (a) only benefits that are likely to induce acceptance or disposal are prohibited (notional s623(1) as inserted by [ASIC Instrument 2023/683](#));
 - (b) offers under simultaneous takeover bids are excluded from the operation of the prohibition (s623(3)(c)); and
 - (c) the prohibition no longer applies to benefits offered or given pre-bid.
- RG 9.190 We will generally be very cautious in providing relief from s623(1). This is because a benefit that is likely to induce an acceptance, but which is not offered to all holders, will be likely to fall within the mischief of the prohibition and offend the equality principle in s602(c).

DRAFT

F The bidder's statement

Key points

The bidder's statement must provide target holders with sufficient information to make an informed decision about how to respond to the takeover offer.

The bidder's statement should provide meaningful disclosure about issues such as the bidder's intentions and the bidder's financing arrangements (for a cash bid). We have modified s636 through [ASIC Instrument 2023/683](#) to ensure that, when a bidder offers securities as consideration, even if the bidder is not the issuer, it must disclose information about the securities that would be required in a prospectus or Product Disclosure Statement (PDS).

We have provided relief so that a bidder can omit certain details in the lodged copy of the bidder's statement and provide up-to-date information in the copy sent to holders. We have also provided relief allowing a bidder to lodge a replacement bidder's statement.

General principles

- RG 9.191 The bidder's statement is the primary disclosure document issued by the bidder during the course of a takeover bid. In an off-market bid, it must include, or accompany, the offers: see item 1 of s633(1). In a market bid, it must be made available to the market on the day the bid is announced: item 3 of s635(1).
- RG 9.192 The bidder's statement should be lodged through the [ASIC Regulatory Portal](#). For more information, see [How you lodge fundraising and corporate finance documents](#) on the ASIC website.
- RG 9.193 The principal purpose of both the bidder's statement and target's statement is to provide target holders with sufficient information to make an informed decision in response to the offer under a bid. Together, these documents seek to ensure that:
- (a) target holders and directors (or, for a managed investment scheme, the responsible entity):
 - (i) know the identity of each person who has proposed to acquire a substantial interest as a result of the bid; and
 - (ii) are given enough information to enable them to assess the merits of the proposal (s602(b)); and
 - (b) the acquisition of control under the bid takes place in an efficient, competitive and informed market (s602(a)).

DRAFT

- RG 9.194 The disclosures made in the bidder's statement are underpinned by provisions which reinforce the need to ensure that the information provided to target holders is effective and reliable. In particular:
- (a) the bidder's statement must be approved by a resolution of the board (s637(1));
 - (b) the bidder must lodge a copy of the bidder's statement with ASIC (item 2 of s633(1) and item 5 of s635(1));
 - (c) statements made in, or accompanied by, the bidder's statement, or based on a statement by a person, require the relevant person's consent (s636(3) and (4));
 - (d) liability attaches to the bidder, its directors, persons named with consent and others for dispatching a bidder's statement that contains a misleading or deceptive statement, or omits required information or new material circumstances arising since lodgement (s670A and 670B);
 - (e) liable parties must inform the bidder throughout the bid period if there is a misleading or deceptive statement or omission, or if a new circumstance arises (s670C);
 - (f) a person is deemed to have made a misleading statement about a future matter in the bidder's statement if they do not have reasonable grounds for making the statement (s670A(2)); and
 - (g) the bidder's statement must include an independent expert report discussing any consideration other than cash or quoted securities given by the bidder for target securities in the four months before the date of the bid (s636(2)).

Content and presentation of the bidder's statement

- RG 9.195 Sections 636(1) (as modified by [ASIC Instrument 2023/683](#)) and (2) set out the information that a bidder's statement must contain. This includes specific matters relating to the bidder's intentions, dealings and interests in the target and the consideration offered under the bid. In particular:
- (a) where the consideration includes cash—the bidder must disclose details of its funding arrangements (s636(1)(f)); and
 - (b) where the consideration includes securities—the bidder must include the information that would be required for an offer of the securities under a prospectus or PDS (s636(1)(g) and (ga)).
- RG 9.196 In addition, the bidder's statement must include any other information known to the bidder that is material to a target holder's decision whether to accept the offer under the bid (other than information relating to the value of securities offered as consideration): see s636(1)(m).

Note: Information about the bidder's and the bidder's associates' deemed economic interests and offsetting short positions in relation to the target may be information that is material. For further information, see Regulatory Guide 5 *Relevant interests and deemed economic interests* ([RG 5](#)).

- RG 9.197 Other guidance we have published that may be relevant in the preparation of the bidder's statement is set out in the Appendix.

Ongoing disclosure requirements

- RG 9.198 The information in a bidder's statement must be updated in a supplementary bidder's statement by the bidder when it becomes aware of:
- (a) a misleading or deceptive statement or omission previously made; or
 - (b) a new circumstance arising that is material from the point of view of target holders: see s643(1).

This ongoing requirement means that target holders should continue to be appraised of all relevant information throughout the course of a bid.

Unacceptable circumstances

- RG 9.199 A failure to adequately comply with the disclosure requirements in s636 (including as modified by [ASIC Instrument 2023/683](#)), in addition to constituting a contravention, may offend the purposes in s602(a) and (b) and give rise to unacceptable circumstances: see also Takeovers Panel Guidance Note 18 *Takeover documents* ([GN 18](#)).

The bidder's intentions

- RG 9.200 Sections 636(1)(c) and (d) require the bidder to disclose details of its intentions regarding:
- (a) the continuation of the target's business;
 - (b) any major changes to be made to the target's business (or, for a managed investment scheme, the operation of the scheme), including any redeployment of the fixed assets of the target, or scheme property; and
 - (c) the future employment of the target's present employees (or the replacement of the responsible entity).
- RG 9.201 If a bidder has formed intentions about a matter in s636(1)(c) or (d), it must disclose these intentions. If a bidder has actions in mind, but has made no decision to bring about any particular state of affairs regarding a matter in s636(1)(c) or (d), then it must disclose the reasons why it has not made a decision on the matter.
- RG 9.202 If a bidder bases its intentions, or its reasons for making a bid, on an analysis of the costs and benefits of merging the activities of the bidder and the target, this should also be disclosed, including the means by which the merger may be achieved.

Funding arrangements

RG 9.203 If the consideration offered under a bid is cash, or includes a cash component, the bidder must include details of its funding arrangements in the bidder's statement under s636(1)(f).

Principles underlying the funding disclosure requirement

RG 9.204 The object of the requirement to disclose bid financing arrangements is to ensure that target security holders have sufficient information to enable them to assess the bidder's ability to pay for the securities it is offering to buy.

RG 9.205 As a fundamental disclosure requirement, s636(1)(f) is also an important part of maintaining an informed market. Inadequate disclosure of funding arrangements may be misleading or otherwise give rise to a false market in the target's (and possibly the bidder's) securities.

RG 9.206 The funding disclosure requirements therefore reinforce the purposes underlying Ch 6 of ensuring:

- (a) an efficient and informed market for control of the target (s602(a)); and
- (b) that target security holders and directors have sufficient information to assess the merits of the bid (s602(b)(iii)).

RG 9.207 The importance of a bid being adequately funded is also reflected in s631(2), which prohibits a person from publicly proposing to make a bid if the person is reckless about whether they will be able to perform their obligations if a substantial proportion of the offers under the bid are accepted.

What should be disclosed

RG 9.208 The principles underlying the funding disclosure requirement should be considered in determining the sufficiency of disclosures made under s636(1)(f) in any given case.

RG 9.209 To comply with its obligations, a bidder should ensure that the bidder's statement:

- (a) discloses the total amount necessary to pay for all the securities to which the bid relates or is likely to relate (including securities to which the bid may extend because of s617(2) or any ASIC relief);
- (b) discloses whether the bidder holds, or has access to, sufficient funds to pay for all the securities. If the funds available to the bidder are less than the amount necessary, this should be fully explained (RG 9.213–RG 9.219);
- (c) identifies how much of the cash will come from amounts held by the bidder (RG 9.220–RG 9.221);

- (d) if some or all of the cash consideration is not sourced from the bidder's funds—identifies the person or persons providing the funds and provides details of the arrangements under which those funds are to be provided (RG 9.222–RG 9.227); and
- (e) clearly discloses any restriction on the availability of funds under external funding arrangements (RG 9.228–RG 9.230).

Other material information about funding arrangements

RG 9.210 A bidder should also be mindful of the requirements of notional s636(1)(g), (ga) and (gaa) in a cash and scrip offer (see RG 9.231–RG 9.241) and s636(1)(m) to the extent that they may also require disclosure of information relating to financing arrangements that is material to a target holder's assessment of the offer. The information required under these provisions is additional to any information required under s636(1)(f).

Supplementary disclosure

RG 9.211 When there is a change to funding arrangements, or any development relating to the funding of the bid after the lodgement of the bidder's statement, the bidder may need to provide supplementary disclosure addressing the new circumstances, including the effect of the new circumstances on any of the matters listed at RG 9.209: see s643(1)(c).

RG 9.212 Supplementary disclosure will be required, for example, where:

- (a) the bidder arranges alternative or replacement funding;
- (b) the bidder becomes aware that a condition of a funding arrangement may not be satisfied, or funding may no longer be available because of a new circumstance;
- (c) the bidder improves its offer in a way that increases the amount required to fund its commitments under the bid; or
- (d) the amount of cash required to fund the bid otherwise increases (e.g. the bid is extended to newly issued bid class securities).

Disclosure when insufficient funds available for all securities

RG 9.213 A bidder who has not organised sufficient funds to pay for all of the securities that the bid relates to must disclose its basis for expecting to be able to pay for all of the securities for which it may receive acceptances, bearing in mind the requirements of s631(2)(b).

Bidder's basis for having sufficient funding

RG 9.214 The focus of s636(1)(f) is disclosure of a bidder's financing arrangements and, as such, the provision does not itself require the bidder to have

available, on the date that the bidder's statement is lodged with ASIC, the funds necessary to pay for all the securities to which the bid relates.

RG 9.215 However, s631(2)(b) requires that a person must not publicly propose to make a takeover bid if the person is reckless about whether they will be able to fulfil their obligations under the bid if a substantial proportion of the offers under the bid are accepted. In addition to a possible contravention of s631, if a bidder is unable to demonstrate sufficient funding on an ongoing basis, or a reasonable basis to expect to be able to fund its bid, this may also give rise to unacceptable circumstances.

RG 9.216 Given the prohibition in s631(2)(b), the disclosures made under s636(1)(f) (and, in some cases, other paragraphs of s636(1)) should be sufficient to demonstrate the bidder's basis for expecting to have the necessary funding to pay for the securities to which the bid relates. If the bidder's statement does not disclose a reasonable basis, this may indicate that:

- (a) the bidder is in contravention of s631(2)(b);
- (b) target holders do not have enough information to assess the bidder's ability to provide the consideration under the bid;
- (c) there is a false market in the target's and/or bidder's securities; and/or
- (d) the bid is being conducted in unacceptable circumstances ([GN 14](#)).

We may seek further information from a bidder about its arrangements and reasonable basis if these are not sufficiently evident from the disclosures made in the bidder's statement.

Bidder's basis founded on expectation of a holder's behaviour

RG 9.217 Where a bidder's basis, entirely or in part, for not needing to secure full funding is an expectation that it will not need to pay for certain securities to which the bid relates because acceptances will not be received for them, the bidder must disclose the basis for this expectation. Examples of such a basis may be:

- (a) that the bidder or one of its subsidiaries holds target securities for which it cannot accept the offer; or
- (b) that arrangements exist with certain target holders not to accept the bid nor to sell to persons who might accept. Since a bidder will almost certainly acquire a relevant interest in securities that are subject to such arrangements, the bidder should be conscious of the potential for a breach of s606, or the need for substantial holding disclosure under s671B, resulting from arrangements of this kind.

RG 9.218 Target holders who allow themselves to be identified as being bound not to dispose of their securities during the bid, and who subsequently sell into the bid or to another person, may be liable under s1041H and 1041I. Conduct of

this nature may also contravene s1041E and 1308 and give rise to unacceptable circumstances.

Note: For more information, see Regulatory Guide 25 *Takeovers: False and misleading statements* ([RG 25](#)).

- RG 9.219 Because a bidder may also be liable under these provisions, it should disclose in its bidder's statement any circumstance that is reasonably likely to obstruct the agreement not to sell into or outside the bid. For example, it should disclose, if applicable, the possibility of a mortgagee of the shares taking possession or exercising a power of sale.

Note: Under s631(2), a bidder must not propose to make a takeover bid if it is reckless about whether it will be able to perform its obligations relating to the bid if a substantial proportion of the offers under the bid are accepted.

Disclosure of bidder's own funding

- RG 9.220 The bidder's statement must set out details of the cash amounts held by the bidder for payment of the cash consideration offered: see s636(1)(f)(i).
- RG 9.221 The reference to 'cash amounts held by the bidder' does not include money that the bidder expects to receive from external sources to pay the consideration, but which have not been received at the relevant time that disclosure is required. Disclosure of the details of funding of this kind, such as funding from an anticipated capital raising, is instead required under s636(1)(f)(ii) and (iii) and must include details of the relevant arrangements under which the funds will be raised or otherwise made available: see RG 9.222–RG 9.230.

Disclosure of external funding sources

- RG 9.222 The bidder must disclose in the bidder's statement details of any arrangements under which the cash consideration is to be provided by persons other than the bidder. This may include financial institutions, related bodies corporate or shareholders of the bidder.
- RG 9.223 The bidder must disclose the identity of any persons who are to provide funds either directly or indirectly: see s636(1)(f)(ii). It is insufficient to disclose only that the bidder has directly secured funds which it anticipates will be available to satisfy its obligations under the bid. The bidder must disclose the ultimate source of the borrowings or other funding.
- RG 9.224 The bidder must also disclose if any borrowings are not for general purposes but are specific to the funding of the offer. For example, the depositors of banks need not be disclosed, whereas back-to-back funding arrangements or guarantees must be disclosed.

DRAFT

- RG 9.225 If more than one person is to contribute to funding for the bid, the proportion of funding to be provided by each person must be disclosed.
- RG 9.226 Details of the arrangements for the external financing must also be disclosed: see s636(1)(f)(iii). Target holders are entitled to be told the essential provisions of these arrangements. They must be told of the material details of any funding agreements that are relevant to the bidder being able to fund its offer. It is insufficient to simply state, for example, that a bidder ‘has a loan facility’, ‘subject to the usual condition precedents’, and to which ‘there are no unusual banking terms or conditions applicable’.
- RG 9.227 The level of detail about external funding arrangements necessary to ensure that target holders have sufficient information to assess the bidder’s ability to perform its obligations may vary with the identity of the funder and the nature of the arrangements. Greater levels of disclosure may be required if a bidder and financier are foreign or are likely to be unknown to target holders.

Disclosure of any restrictions on the availability of funds

- RG 9.228 Consistent with the objective of the funding disclosure requirement, the bidder’s disclosures must include, in particular, details of the relevant terms of its external funding arrangements that have the potential to restrict the availability of funds.
- RG 9.229 Examples of possible restrictions on the availability of funds include where:
- (a) a funding provider is able to demand repayment of funds after unusual events of default contained in the loan facility (e.g. the acquisition of securities by the bidder or the disposal of certain assets before the end of the offer period);
 - (b) the availability of a loan facility is subject to conditions precedent beyond the bidder’s control (including the settlement or execution of formal loan documentation); and
 - (c) a loan facility expires before the end of the offer period.
- RG 9.230 A condition contained in a loan facility which requires a defeating condition of the offer to be satisfied as a prerequisite to drawdown may become a restriction on the availability of funding if the bidder declares the offer to be free of the condition when:
- (a) the condition has not been satisfied; and
 - (b) the funding provider has not waived compliance.

The bidder should disclose this potential consequence in the bidder’s statement or a supplementary bidder’s statement.

Scrip or managed investment products provided other than by a bidder or a controlled entity

- RG 9.231 Section 636(1)(g) requires a bidder to include in the bidder's statement prospectus information about the securities offered under a takeover bid if the bidder is the issuer or the bidder controls the issuer of the scrip consideration. Section 636(1)(ga) similarly requires PDS information to be included in the bidder's statement about managed investment products offered as consideration.
- RG 9.232 However, if an issuer or responsible entity that the bidder does not control authorises the bidder to offer the securities or managed investment products, these provisions, as enacted, would not require the bidder to include prospectus or PDS information about the securities in the bidder's statement. This would mean that there is no disclosure requirement, for example, if a bidder offers securities in its holding company. It is common for a holding company to use a subsidiary as a single purpose bid vehicle.

ASIC modification: Bidder must disclose prospectus or PDS information about non-controlled issuer

- RG 9.233 Section 6(c) of [ASIC Instrument 2023/683](#) substitutes s636(1)(g) and (ga), and inserts notional s636(1)(gaa). The modification means that a bidder offering securities, managed investment products or foreign passport fund products as consideration under a bid must also disclose prospectus or PDS information in the bidder's statement if the securities issuer, responsible entity or notified foreign passport fund operator:
- (a) agrees to the bidder offering; or
 - (b) authorises, arranges for or permits the bidder to offer the securities, managed investment products or foreign passport fund products.
- RG 9.234 Under our modification, the bidder must disclose prospectus or PDS information even if the bidder does not control the issuer, responsible entity or notified foreign passport fund operator.
- RG 9.235 We have extended the operation of s636(1)(g) and (ga) because, when a bidder offers securities or managed investment products, target holders and directors should be given prospectus or PDS information to enable them to assess the merits of the bid: see s602(b)(iii).

Bidder and issuer knowledge

- RG 9.236 Under notional s636(1)(g)(iv) inserted by s6(c) of ASIC Instrument 2023/683, a bidder must disclose all material that would be required under s710–713 for a prospectus for an offer of the securities *by the issuer*, rather than by the bidder.

- RG 9.237 Our modification means that the bidder must obtain information from the issuer if:
- (a) the bidder does not have knowledge of the prospectus information; and
 - (b) the issuer authorised the bidder to offer the securities.
- RG 9.238 The policy underlying this requirement is that, if an issuer authorises the bidder to offer its securities as consideration, the bidder should be in a position to obtain from the issuer the information needed for prospectus disclosure.
- RG 9.239 This modification is required because, while s636(1)(g) imports the disclosure requirements in s710–713, the information that must be disclosed in a prospectus is limited under s710(1)(b) by reference to a person whose knowledge is relevant. Under s710(3)(a), a person’s knowledge is relevant if they are ‘the person offering the securities’.
- RG 9.240 In a fundraising, if a person who does not control the issuer that makes an offer of securities, the person who has the capacity to issue the securities if the offer is accepted is taken to be offering the securities: see paragraph (c) of the ‘offer’ definition in s9. The issuer is therefore a person whose knowledge is relevant for the purposes of s710(1)(b).
- RG 9.241 Without our modification, it is unclear whether the ‘offer’ definition has the same effect in a takeover bid. This is because s636(1)(g) otherwise requires disclosure of all material that would be required for a prospectus for an offer of those securities *by the bidder*. A bidder that does not control the issuer may not have knowledge of matters concerning the issuer. This may mean that the bidder would not be required to disclose all the information necessary for holders to make an informed decision.

Disclosing the value of consideration for the purposes of the minimum bid price rule

- RG 9.242 The bidder must value any consideration it provided, or agreed to provide, in connection with any agreement or purchase in the four months before the date of its bid, as well as the bid consideration on offer, in order to determine whether the bid complies with the minimum bid price rule: see Section C.
- RG 9.243 Information concerning the comparative value of any non-cash consideration is also relevant for target and bid class security holders seeking to confirm the bidder’s compliance with the minimum bid price rule and assess the merits of the bidder’s proposal. This is particularly so where unquoted securities are offered as consideration because the target and security holders cannot refer to a market price to value the consideration.

DRAFT

- RG 9.244 The bidder's statement should disclose both the value of the consideration offered under the bid and the consideration given under any pre-bid purchase. The disclosure should also set out details of the valuation method used for satisfying the purposes of the minimum bid price rule in accordance with Table 2. This would generally include:
- (a) in the case of quoted securities—details of the inputs used to calculate the relevant values such as the particular trading days on which the calculation is based and any exchange rate applied; and
 - (b) in the case of unquoted securities—a copy of the expert's report on which any valuation is based.
- RG 9.245 In addition to the underlying objective of Ch 6 set out in s602(b)(iii), this approach addresses the combination of:
- (a) the requirements in s636(1)(h) and 636(2) to include in the bidder's statement information concerning the value, per security, of any consideration given under a pre-bid purchase;
 - (b) the requirement in s636(1)(g) to include material that would be required for an offer of bid consideration securities under s710–713; and
 - (c) the pre-conditions to:
 - (i) receiving individual relief from the minimum bid price rule in accordance with the policy set out in Section C; or
 - (ii) relying on the relief in [ASIC Instrument 2015/1068](#).

Updating disclosure regarding the value of non-cash bid consideration in the bidder's statement

- RG 9.246 The bidder should update the information in the bidder's statement if the value of bid consideration subsequently changes materially from the value stated in the bidder's statement lodged with us: see RG 9.127.
- RG 9.247 To comply with the procedural steps under items 2 and 6 of s633(1), a bidder should:
- (a) lodge with us a bidder's statement disclosing a valuation of the non-cash bid consideration as at the time as close as practical to the lodgement time; and
 - (b) send to all holders:
 - (i) if the valuation is still current at the relevant valuation time—the bidder's statement; or
 - (ii) if the value has materially changed at the relevant valuation time—a replacement bidder's statement under [ASIC Corporations \(Replacement Bidder's and Target's Statements\) Instrument 2023/688](#) or a supplementary bidder's statement together with the original bidder's statement.

- RG 9.248 If a bidder needs to update its valuation, and this is the only material change to the bidder's disclosure that is required, it will generally be preferable for a bidder to send a single replacement bidder's statement rather than the original together with a separate supplementary statement. For this reason, if the only changes between the original and the replacement bidder's statement reflect the change in the value of the bid consideration, lodgement of the replacement bidder's statement will not reset the usual 14-day waiting period for dispatch: see ASIC Instrument 2023/688.
- RG 9.249 If the value of securities offered as bid consideration has fallen by the time the consideration needs to be valued for the purposes of the minimum bid price rule, the bidder may need to increase the bid consideration to comply with s621(3): see RG 9.125–RG 9.127. Relief may be given in these circumstances to enable dispatch of the offer documents on the altered terms: see RG 9.382–RG 9.387.

Relevant interests and dealings of foreign associates and trustees

- RG 9.250 A number of matters that must be disclosed in a bidder's statement, and must be considered in the formulation of a bid, relate to interests and dealings by persons other than the bidder. These include:
- (a) the requirement to disclose in the bidder's statement:
 - (i) particulars of the dealings by the bidder and its associates in bid class securities during the four months before the date of the bid (s636(1)(h));
 - (ii) particulars of the benefits the bidder or an associate provided, or agreed to provide, in the four months before the date of the bid that were likely to induce acceptance or disposal of bid class securities (s636(1)(i));
 - (iii) the number of bid class securities the bidder under an off-market bid has a relevant interest in immediately before the first offer is sent (s636(1)(k)(ii)); and
 - (iv) the voting power the bidder under an off-market bid has in the target (s636(1)(l));
 - (b) the requirement for the consideration offered under a bid to equal or exceed the maximum consideration the bidder or an associate provided, or agreed to provide, for a bid class security under any purchase or agreement during the four months before the date of the bid (s621(3)); and
 - (c) the requirement for a bidder for an unlisted target to disclose to the target (as soon as practicable and, in any event, within two business days) when its voting power in the target rises above 25%, 50%, 75% and 90% (s654C).

Information not available at lodgement

RG 9.251 A bidder should provide information that is up to date at the time it sends the bidder's statement and offer document to holders. However, in many cases, the bidder will need to lodge the bidder's statement with ASIC some time before its dispatch to target holders: s633(1).

ASIC modification: Information required in the bidder's statement lodged with ASIC

RG 9.252 [ASIC Instrument 2023/683](#) modifies s633 so that a bidder may, as set out in Table 4, omit or provide modified disclosure in the copies of the bidder's statement that the bidder lodges with ASIC, sends to the target and sends to any relevant financial market operator.

RG 9.253 If a bidder relies on this relief, the bidder must:

- (a) include the information specified in the third column of Table 4 in the copies of the bidder's statement sent to holders;
- (b) at the time that the bidder dispatches its first bidder's statement to holders, send a copy of the updated bidder's statement and offer documents to the target and each relevant financial market operator; and
- (c) attach a copy of the updated bidder's statement and offer documents to the notice of dispatch of offers the bidder lodges with ASIC under item 9 of the table in s633(1).

Table 4: Modified information requirements for the bidder's statement under ASIC Instrument 2023/683

Information requirement	Relief for bidder's statement lodged with ASIC	Requirement for bidder's statements sent to holders
Holders' personal details	The bidder may omit the name and address of holders.	In practice, details must be included for dispatch.
Bid timing, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the offer period, which must be stated in the offer under s624(1); and • the date for giving the notice of status of conditions required under s630(1) 	The bidder may omit the date of the proposed offer, or any other date that is related to, or dependent on, that date.	Dates must be included.
Details of the purchases and benefits given by the bidder and its associates in relation to bid class securities in the four months before the date of the bid: s636(1)(h) and (i)	The bidder may disclose this information for the four-month period before the date of lodgement.	Disclosure must be updated to include the information for the four months before the date that offers are first made.
The bidder's relevant interest in bid class securities and voting power in the target: s636(1)(k)(ii) and (l)	The bidder may disclose this information as current at the date of lodgement.	Disclosure must be updated as at the date that offers are first made.

DRAFT

Bid dates

RG 9.254 Offers under a takeover bid must remain open for the period stated in the offer: see s624(1). A conditional bid must specify a date (not more than 14 days and not less than seven days before the end of the offer period) on which to give notice on the status of a defeating condition: see s630(1). Our relief in [ASIC Instrument 2023/683](#) means a bidder does not have to specify these dates at the time of lodgement and therefore is not committed to a timetable that it may have difficulty in meeting.

Pre-bid consideration and benefits, relevant interests and voting power

RG 9.255 A bidder's statement must disclose details of the consideration or benefits given, or agreed to be given, by the bidder or an associate in relation to bid class securities in the four months before the date of the bid: see s636(1)(h) and (i). The date of the bid is the date on which offers are first made: s9.

RG 9.256 The bidder's statement for an off-market bid must also disclose:

- (a) the number of bid class securities in which the bidder has a relevant interest immediately before the first offer is sent (s636(1)(k)(ii)); and
- (b) the bidder's voting power in the company (s636(1)(l)).

RG 9.257 Our modification provides relief for a bidder wishing to enter into transactions or arrangements after lodgement which result in changes to the details that must be disclosed in the bidder's statement under the provisions detailed in RG 9.255–RG 9.256. Because information about the consideration, benefits, relevant interests and voting power may be affected by transactions between lodgement and the date of the bid (and may therefore be unavailable at the time of lodgement), the relief allows a bidder to disclose in the lodged copy of the bidder's statement:

- (a) details of the consideration and benefits for the four months before the date of lodgement; and
- (b) the relevant interest and voting power information as at the date of lodgement.

RG 9.258 Where this relief is relied on, the bidder's statement sent to holders must be updated so that information relevant to the period after lodgement is included.

Note: Failure to disclose details of certain transactions falling outside s636(1)(h) (e.g. purchases of non-bid class securities or derivatives) may give rise to unacceptable circumstances: see Takeovers Panel Guidance Note 20 *Equity derivatives* ([GN 20](#)) at paragraph 11.

Substantial holding disclosure

RG 9.259 Our relief does not affect the bidder's substantial holding notice obligations under s671B. If a bidder acquires further bid class securities after lodging its

bidder's statement, the bidder must still provide substantial holding information, where:

- (a) the bidder begins to have, or ceases to have, a substantial holding in the target company (s671B(1)(a));
- (b) the bidder has a substantial holding in the target company and the target company becomes listed on a declared financial market (s671B(1)(b));
- (c) the bidder has a substantial holding in the target company and there is a disclosable movement in its holding (s671B(1)(c)); or
- (d) the bidder makes the takeover bid for securities in the target company and the bid period for the bid starts (s671B(1)(d)).

Note: For the definition of 'disclosable movement', see s671BK of the Corporations Act.

Updated information to be current as at date of bid

RG 9.260 Our relief in [ASIC Instrument 2023/683](#) requires the updated disclosure in the bidder's statement dispatched to holders to be current as at the date that offers are first made, consistent with the requirements in s636(1).

RG 9.261 Although our relief in [ASIC Instrument 2015/1068](#) allows a reference period for valuing quoted securities under the minimum bid price rule in s621(3) to be chosen up to five days before offers are first made, in order to accommodate finalisation and printing of the bidder's statement, our relief in ASIC Instrument 2023/683 does not allow disclosure to be made as at an earlier date in a similar way.

Note: The relief in ASIC Instrument 2015/1068 is discussed at RG 9.106.

RG 9.262 This is because it is not normally onerous for the bidder to comply in practice with these disclosure requirements by, for example, ensuring that during the period required for printing:

- (a) it ceases increasing its voting power in the target and relevant interests in target securities; and
- (b) it ceases buying bid class securities on-market under item 2 of s611.

RG 9.263 Ordinarily, at the time the bidder is finalising its bidder's statement for printing, the bidder should have set the dates of its bid.

RG 9.264 However, in certain circumstances we may give individual relief from s636(1)(k)(ii) to allow disclosure of the bidder's voting power and relevant interests to be made at a date earlier than the date of the bid to allow finalisation and printing of the bidder's statement where the bidder seeks to continue to acquire bid class securities. Our relief will be conditional on disclosure of any further purchases during that period after the bidder's statement is finalised being made in another suitable manner in a timely way—usually a supplementary bidder's statement or substantial shareholder notice.

DRAFT

Changes to a bidder's statement between lodgement and dispatch

RG 9.265 It may be necessary or desirable for a bidder to amend its bidder's statement after it has been lodged with ASIC, but before it is dispatched to holders. The disclosure regime under Pt 6.5 provides for amendments to be made by way of a supplementary bidder's statement—however, the operation of the provisions may be unclear or less suited to updates before dispatch.

Note: Small typographical changes may be able to be made to a bidder's statement without a supplementary document: see the guidance about 'Changes to disclosure document following lodgement' in Section F of [RG 254](#).

RG 9.266 Where bid class securities are quoted and one or more supplementary bidder's statements are lodged with ASIC before the bidder's statement is dispatched to holders, the combined operation of item 6 of s633(1) (and item 6 of 635(1)), 646, 647(3)(b) and the definition of 'bidder's statement' in s9 is to require the bidder to send a copy of each supplementary statement to the operator of each declared financial market as soon as practicable and to dispatch the original bidder's statement, together with the supplementary statements, to holders.

RG 9.267 Where bid class securities are not quoted, and a supplementary bidder's statement is lodged with ASIC before the bidder's statement is dispatched to holders, it may be that the combined operation of item 6 of s633(1), 646 and 647(3)(c) means that the supplementary bidder's statement must be:

- (a) sent to holders before dispatching the original bidder's statement; and
- (b) dispatched again with the original bidder's statement.

ASIC modification: Supplementary bidder's statements for unquoted securities

RG 9.268 Section 5(j) of [ASIC Instrument 2023/688](#) substitutes s647(3) (excluding the note) to ensure that a bidder for securities that are not quoted does not need to dispatch a copy of a supplementary bidder's statement to holders 'as soon as practicable' if the statement is lodged with ASIC before dispatch of the original bidder's statement. The effect of our modification is that a bidder who lodges a supplementary bidder's statement in relation to an offer for unquoted securities before dispatch must either:

- (a) send the supplementary with the original bidder's statement at the time of dispatch (see the definition of 'bidder's statement' in s9 and s646); or
- (b) if the conditions for use of a replacement in ASIC Instrument 2023/688 are satisfied, dispatch a replacement bidder's statement.

Note: The obligations of bidders under s647(3)(a) and (b) are unaffected in the substituted s647(3) that s5(j) of ASIC Instrument 2023/688 inserts.

DRAFT

ASIC modification: Replacement bidder's statements

RG 9.269 [ASIC Instrument 2023/688](#) also modifies Ch 6 to enable a bidder to lodge with ASIC, and dispatch to holders, a replacement bidder's statement.

Note: The replacement bidder's statement must be lodged with ASIC and sent to the target and each relevant declared financial market before the latest time by which the bidder's statement may be dispatched under s633(1) or 635(1).

RG 9.270 The relief to dispatch a replacement bidder's statement in ASIC Instrument 2023/688 is available on the terms set out in Table 5.

Table 5: Terms of our relief for replacement bidder's statements

Term	Details
Lodgement and sending of replacement and marked-up replacement	<p>No later than the time allowed for sending the original bidder's statement, the bidder must lodge with us and send to the target (and, if the target is listed, the operator of the declared financial market):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • a copy of the replacement bidder's statement; and • a marked-up copy of the replacement bidder's statement showing the changes from the original bidder's statement.
Dispatch of replacement	<p>The bidder must dispatch to holders the replacement bidder's statement:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • in an off-market bid—14 to 28 days after the replacement bidder's statement and marked-up replacement is lodged with us and sent to the target (and, if applicable, the operator of the declared financial market), unless: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – the only change from the original bidder's statement is an update on the valuation of securities offered as bid consideration; – the target provides written consent following approval in any of the ways a target's statement may be approved, as specified in s639(1); or – ASIC agrees in writing to a shorter period of time: see RG 9.271–RG 9.272; and • in a market bid—within 14 days after the replacement bidder's statement and marked-up replacement is lodged with us and sent to the target (and, if applicable, the operator of the declared financial market).
Content of replacement	<p>The replacement bidder's statement must:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • be clearly identified as a replacement bidder's statement; • be dated the day it is lodged with us; • explain that it replaces the original bidder's statement and, where applicable, each supplementary bidder's statement lodged with us; • incorporate all the substantive information in the original bidder's statement and each supplementary bidder's statement that was lodged before, or at the same time as, the replacement; • give the date that the original bidder's statement and, where applicable, each supplementary bidder's statement was lodged with us; and • set out or reflect offers under the bid that are on the same terms as those set out in the original bidder's statement and offer document (if any) lodged with ASIC.

DRAFT

Replacement bidder's statements and the bid timetable

- RG 9.271 [ASIC Instrument 2023/688](#) provides that the timetables for market and off-market bids recommence after the replacement bidder's statement, and marked-up replacement has been lodged with ASIC and sent to the target and each relevant market operator, so that the target and others have the following periods of time to consider any changes made by the bidder:
- (a) in an off-market bid—at least 14 days and no more than 28 days, unless ASIC or the target agree in writing to a shorter period of time; or
 - (b) in a market bid—14 days.

Note: The 14-day period does not apply where the only change in the replacement is to reflect changes in the valuation of securities offered as bid consideration since the day that the bidder lodged the original bidder's statement.

- RG 9.272 We may consent in writing to shorten the period, after consulting with the target, if the changes to the bidder's statement are:
- (a) insubstantial; or
 - (b) the result of negotiations with the target.

Potential for misleading conduct

- RG 9.273 ASIC Instrument 2023/688 does not require the bidder to prepare a replacement bidder's statement whenever a supplementary statement is lodged with us. However, it should be noted that, in some cases, it may be misleading to holders if a bidder's statement and a comprehensive supplementary bidder's statement are dispatched to holders at the same time, or if a holder receives a supplementary statement before receiving the statement it is intended to supplement.

Omitting information that is unavailable when the bidder's statement is lodged

- RG 9.274 As discussed at RG 9.251–RG 9.263, [ASIC Instrument 2023/683](#) allows the bidder to omit from the version of the bidder's statement lodged with us certain information unavailable at the time of lodgement. It also allows the bidder to give standard information as at the date lodged, such as details of the bidder's relevant interests. The bidder must complete or update the information before it dispatches the bidder's statement to holders.
- RG 9.275 The requirements in s633A(3)(b) and (c) and s635A(3)(b) and (c) (inserted by ASIC Instrument 2023/688)—for example, to date the bidder's statement with the day on which the replacement statement is lodged—do not apply. This is because these are standard changes made under ASIC Instrument 2023/683, rather than under our relief in ASIC Instrument 2023/688 relating to replacement bidder's statements.

Extension of time for sending the bidder's statement

RG 9.276 In an off-market bid, the bidder must send the bidder's statement and offers to each target holder over no more than three days during the period of 14 to 28 days after the bidder's statement is sent to the target: item 6 of s633(1). A bidder must make its offers in this way within two months after publicly proposing the bid: see s631.

ASIC relief: Extended time for dispatch of bidder's statement

RG 9.277 We may give individual relief to extend the period for sending the bidder's statements to holders beyond 28 days after the bidder's statement is sent to the target if:

- (a) the bidder could not reasonably be expected to dispatch the bidder's statement within the time provided; or
- (b) it would be advantageous to holders if the bidder's statement were sent to them at a later time.

RG 9.278 We will generally only consider giving this relief if the time constraints and other conditions imposed by s631 will be met. However, where we have given the bidder an extension of the two-month period in s631, this relief may be given if the bid documents will be sent within the extended period.

RG 9.279 We may give this relief because, if the two-month deadline imposed by s631 will be met, holders under an off-market bid should not generally be adversely affected by an extension of time for dispatching the bidder's statement.

RG 9.280 If the delay in dispatching the bidder's statement to holders means the bidder must prepare a supplementary bidder's statement, our relief may be conditional on the bidder instead sending a replacement bidder's statement in reliance on [ASIC Instrument 2023/688](#).

DRAFT

G The target's statement

Key points

The objective of the target's statement is to ensure that target holders have the information they need to make an informed decision in response to a takeover bid. This includes the directors' recommendations.

We may give individual relief to extend the time in which a target must send its target's statement to target holders.

We may also give individual relief for a validly appointed receiver and manager to assume the target directors' responsibilities in relation to the target's statement.

General principles

- RG 9.281 The target's statement contains the target board or responsible entity's formal response to a bid. In an off-market bid, it must be sent to holders no later than 15 days after the target is notified of the dispatch of the offers: see item 11 of s633(1). In a market bid, it must be made available to the market within 14 days after the bid is announced: see item 10 of s635(1).
- RG 9.282 The target's statement should be lodged through the [ASIC Regulatory Portal](#). For more information, see [How you lodge fundraising and corporate finance documents](#) on the ASIC website.
- RG 9.283 Together with the bidder's statement, the objective of the target's statement is to provide target holders with sufficient information for them to make an informed decision in response to the bid—in accordance with the purposes of Ch 6 set out in s602(a) and (b). As with the bidder's statement, the disclosures made in the target's statement are reinforced by a number of statutory rights and requirements designed to enhance their effectiveness and reliability: see RG 9.193–RG 9.194.

Content and presentation of the target's statement

- RG 9.284 Section 638 governs the content requirements of the target's statement. It contains two basic elements:
- (a) the directors' recommendations; and
 - (b) the general disclosure test.

- RG 9.285 Under s638(3), the target’s statement must include a statement by each director of the target:
- (a) recommending that offers under the bid are accepted or not accepted, and giving reasons for the recommendation; or
 - (b) giving reasons why a recommendation is not made.

RG 9.286 The directors’ recommendations are important disclosures because it is common that some target holders will pay particular regard to the views of the board. The prospect of securing a favourable recommendation from target directors (i.e. a ‘friendly’ bid) will often be attractive to a bidder. Accordingly, it is essential that the disclosure surrounding the directors’ recommendations is fully explained and reasoned.

Note: See also Takeovers Panel Guidance Note 22 *Recommendations and undervalue statements* ([GN 22](#)).

RG 9.287 As with the general disclosure test applying to a prospectus in s710, the general disclosure test in s638(1) is designed to engender a focus on the information required by investors. In considering the content and presentation of the target’s statement, target directors and responsible entities should pay particular regard to our guidance in [RG 228](#) on how disclosure documents can be presented in a clear, concise and effective manner.

Note: See also [GN 18](#), paragraph 11.

RG 9.288 When preparing the target’s statement, target directors and responsible entities should also take into account previous public disclosures that have been made in other contexts—particularly where those disclosures may be misleading or may not include sufficient detail. This includes disclosures such as advertisements, media articles, broker reports and continuous disclosure announcements: see also [RG 254](#) at RG 254.331.

RG 9.289 Other guidance we have published that may be relevant in the preparation of the target’s statement is set out in the Appendix.

Ongoing disclosures

RG 9.290 As is the case with the bidder, the target must also ensure that the disclosure made in the target’s statement is kept up to date and accurate. Where additional or corrective disclosures are required, these should be made in a supplementary target’s statement: see [RG 25](#), RG 9.198 and Section J.

Unacceptable circumstances

RG 9.291 In addition to resulting in a contravention, a failure to adequately comply with the disclosure requirements in s638 may offend the principles in s602(a) and (b) and may give rise to unacceptable circumstances: see RG 9.199.

Changes to a target's statement between lodgement and dispatch

RG 9.292 It may be necessary or desirable for a target to amend its target's statement after it has been lodged with ASIC, but before it is dispatched to holders. The disclosure regime under Pt 6.5 provides for amendments to be made by way of a supplementary target's statement—however, the operation of the provisions may be unclear or less suited to updates before dispatch.

RG 9.293 Where bid class securities are not quoted, and a supplementary target's statement is lodged with ASIC before the target's statement is dispatched to holders, it may be that the combined operation of item 12 of s633(1), 646 and 647(3)(c) means that the supplementary target's statement must be:

- (a) sent to holders before dispatching the original target's statement; and
- (b) dispatched again with the original target's statement.

ASIC modification: Replacement target's statements

RG 9.294 [ASIC Instrument 2023/688](#) modifies Ch 6 to enable a target to lodge with ASIC, and dispatch to holders, a replacement target's statement.

Note: The replacement target's statement must be lodged with ASIC and sent to the bidder and each relevant declared financial market before the latest time by which the target's statement may be dispatched under s633(1) or 635(1).

RG 9.295 ASIC Instrument 2023/688 also modifies s647(3)(c) to ensure that a target for securities that are not quoted does not need to dispatch a copy of a supplementary target's statement to holders 'as soon as practical' if the statement is lodged with ASIC before dispatch of the original target's statement. The effect of our modification is that a target who lodges a supplementary target's statement in relation to an offer for unquoted securities before dispatch must either:

- (a) send the supplementary with the original target's statement at the time of dispatch (see the definition of 'bidder's statement' in s9 and s646); or
- (b) if the conditions for use of a replacement in ASIC Instrument 2023/688 are satisfied, dispatch a replacement target's statement.

Note: The obligations of targets under s647(3)(a) and (b) are unaffected by the modification.

RG 9.296 The relief to dispatch a replacement target's statement in ASIC Instrument 2023/688 is available on the terms set out in Table 6.

DRAFT

Table 6: Terms of our relief for replacement target's statements

Term	Details
Lodgement and sending of replacement and marked-up replacement	<p>No later than the time allowed for sending the original target's statement, the target must lodge with us and send to the bidder (and, if the target is listed, the operator of the declared financial market):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • a copy of the replacement target's statement; and • a marked-up copy of the replacement bidder's statement showing the changes from the original target's statement.
Dispatch of replacement	<p>The target must dispatch to holders the replacement target's statement:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • in an off-market bid: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – no earlier than the day on which the target sends the target's statement to the bidder; and – no later than 15 days after the target receives a notice from the bidder that all offers have been sent. • in a market bid—within 14 days after the bid announcement or, if applicable, lodgement of a replacement bidder's statement.
Content of replacement	<p>The replacement target's statement must:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • be clearly identified as a replacement target's statement; • be dated the day it is lodged with us; • explain that it replaces the original target's statement and, where applicable, each supplementary target's statement lodged with us; • incorporate all the substantive information in the original target's statement and each supplementary target's statement that was lodged before, or at the same time as, the replacement; • give the date that the original target's statement and, where applicable, each supplementary target's statement was lodged with us.

Timing for lodgement and dispatch of target's statements

RG 9.297 Under the takeover bid procedure set out in s633 and 635, the target's statement must be lodged with ASIC, and sent to the bidder, the relevant market operators and the target holders. Table 7 sets out the timing for these steps in off-market bids and market bids.

Table 7: Timing for lodgement and dispatch of target's statements

Action	Off-market bid	Market bid
Lodgement with ASIC Sending to bidder and relevant market operators	A date no later than 15 days after receiving notice that all the bidder's offers have been sent	Within 14 days after the bid announcement or, if applicable, lodgement of a replacement bidder's statement
Dispatch to target holders	A date no earlier than the above date, and no later than 15 days after receiving notice that all the bidder's offers have been sent	Within 14 days after the bid announcement or, if applicable, lodgement of a replacement bidder's statement

ASIC modification: Clarification for dispatch in market bids

RG 9.298 Section 635 requires the target to lodge and dispatch its target's statement within 14 days after the announcement of the market bid. If the bidder lodges a replacement bidder's statement, [ASIC Instrument 2023/688](#) clarifies that the target must dispatch its target's statement within 14 days after that lodgement, rather than 14 days after the original announcement of the bid.

ASIC relief: Extended time for dispatch

RG 9.299 In some cases, a target may have difficulty in meeting the timetable for dispatch of the target's statement to holders. A common reason given by a target for the delay in preparing the target's statement is the lack of ready access to information that may be material to a holder's decision to accept an offer under the bid.

Note: For example, delays may result from the need to compile up-to-date information on mining tenements or the business of subsidiaries located outside Australia.

RG 9.300 We may give individual relief to extend the time in which the target must send its target's statement to holders under a bid. We will generally consider giving this relief if:

- (a) the factors that have led to delay in preparing the target's statement are beyond the target's control;
- (b) the target's statement is sent to holders more than 10 business days before the offers are scheduled to close; and
- (c) if the target is listed—the target informs the market of the extension of time and, where appropriate, recommends that holders do not accept offers or make any final decisions in relation to the bid until they have read the target's statement.

RG 9.301 In addition to requiring the target to advise the market of the extension of time, we may also require the target to immediately inform the market of

significant information that is already in the target's possession and that holders require in deciding whether to accept offers under the bid.

RG 9.302 We will generally not give this relief if we consider that the target already has all the material information that a holder needs to assess the merits of a bid.

RG 9.303 We will generally aim to give an extension that is only as long as is reasonably necessary in the circumstances. In many cases, the target will be in a position to complete the preparation of the target's statement before the deadline for dispatch and will need only a short extension on account of the logistics involved in dispatch (e.g. the time required for printing the target's statement).

RG 9.304 Where it appears that a longer extension is justified, we may also consider additional relief to extend the relevant deadline for the target to lodge the target's statement with ASIC and send it to the bidder and any relevant market operator. Any extension of the deadline for lodgement with ASIC and service on the target and relevant market operators may be for a shorter period than the time we allow for dispatch of the target's statement to holders because:

- (a) the lodgement and service requirements do not involve the same level of logistics as dispatch; and
- (b) target holders and the market should have access to the target's statement at the earliest time possible.

RG 9.305 Where relief is sought to extend time for the dispatch of a target's statement in a market bid we may also make a consequential amendment to the time that the bidder must make offers under the bid. This is because item 14 of s635(1) requires a bidder to make offers on the day after the 14-day period for lodgement and dispatch of the target's statement ends. This amendment preserves the procedural order of the market bid as set out in s635(1) by ensuring that formal offers under the bid are not made until the target's statement has been dispatched. It does not affect the bidder's ability to rely on item 2 of s611 to acquire securities on-market before the making of the offer.

Where dispatch cannot occur less than 10 days before the close of offers

RG 9.306 If the target cannot reasonably be expected to dispatch its target's statement to holders more than 10 business days before the close of the bid:

- (a) the target may ask the bidder to extend its bid; or
- (b) the target may apply for the relief discussed at RG 9.307 to dispatch an incomplete target's statement.

Note: If a bidder does not agree to extend the bid in these circumstances, we may refer the matter to the Takeovers Panel for a finding of unacceptable circumstances under s657A.

RG 9.307 In limited circumstances, we may grant relief to allow a target to dispatch an incomplete target's statement to holders. For example, we may grant relief on condition that the target:

- (a) advises its holders of the nature, extent and significance of the relevant deficiencies in the target's statement; and
- (b) undertakes to provide a supplementary target's statement which remedies all the deficiencies mentioned in RG 9.307(a) as soon as practicable.

Note: Where the target has commissioned an expert report, the target should avoid releasing the expert's conclusion in advance of the final report: see guidance on 'Release of conclusions of expert reports' in Section D of Regulatory Guide 112 *Independence of experts* ([RG 112](#)).

H Consents

Key points

Takeover documents may only include a statement attributed to a person, or based on a statement by another person, with that person's consent.

We have provided relief allowing bidders and targets to include certain statements without consent. Our relief applies to statements:

- made by official persons or made in official documents;
- published in books, journals or comparable publications;
- contained in a previous publicly available geological report;
- consisting of factual trading data; or
- made in documents lodged with ASIC or a securities exchange.

The consent requirement

- RG 9.308 A bidder's statement or target's statement may only include, or be accompanied by, a statement by a person, or a statement based on a statement by a person, with that person's consent: see s636(3) and 638(5).
- RG 9.309 A bidder or target is required to obtain the consent of the person who makes a statement before using it in the bidder's or target's statement so that the person can:
- (a) control or limit their liability; and
 - (b) control the overall effect of the statement.
- RG 9.310 For further discussion on the consent requirement, including the kinds of statements that attract the consent requirement and what a person must do to comply: see Regulatory Guide 55 *Statements in disclosure documents and PDSs: Consent to quote* ([RG 55](#)).

Consent to quote officials and publications

- RG 9.311 A bidder or target may wish to include certain statements that are not specific to the bid, bidder, target or their businesses. This may be useful in meeting the requirement that the bidder's or target's statement contains all information that holders would reasonably require to make an informed decision about whether to accept the offer.

DRAFT

ASIC modification: Consent to quote officials and publications

- RG 9.312 [ASIC Corporations \(Consents to Statements\) Instrument 2016/72](#) modifies s636 and 638 so that the bidder's or target's statement may include a statement by an official person or author without their consent and without stating that they have given their consent.
- RG 9.313 The modification allows the inclusion of a statement that:
- (a) fairly represents a statement by an official person; or
 - (b) is a correct and fair copy of, or extract from:
 - (i) a public official document; or
 - (ii) a statement that has already been published in a book, journal or comparable publication.
- RG 9.314 However, the relief does not apply if the original statement was made in connection with:
- (a) the takeover bid;
 - (b) the bidder or target; or
 - (c) any business, property or person that is the subject of the bidder's or target's statement.
- RG 9.315 Our relief also does not protect a bidder or target from liability if it uses a statement and knows that the statement is misleading or presents the statement in a misleading or deceptive way.

Consent to use geological reports or trading data

- RG 9.316 Disclosures in the bidder's or target's statement relating to the acquisition or exploitation of mining tenements will often contain a technical assessment or valuation of the tenements. This is generally prepared in accordance with the JORC Code and/or the VALMIN Code by a member of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy (AusIMM) or the Australian Institute of Geoscientists (AIG).

Note: The JORC Code is incorporated into the ASX Listing Rules and applies when reporting exploration targets and results and mineral resources and reserves: see ASX Listing Rule 5.6 and ASX Listing Rules Appendix 5A. AusIMM and AIG have adopted the VALMIN Code for independent expert reports concerning mineral and petroleum assets and securities.

- RG 9.317 Bidder's and target's statements will also frequently contain trading data relating to trading on a declared financial market or foreign exchange which is sourced from a market operator or other data provider (e.g. Bloomberg, Reuters or IRESS).

ASIC modification: Geological reports and trading data

- RG 9.318 [ASIC Instrument 2016/72](#) provides relief from the consent requirement in s636(3) and 638(5) in limited circumstances for:
- (a) the citation of a previous geological report in a current geologist's report, dealing with the estimation, assessment or evaluation of minerals, in a bidder's or target's statement; and
 - (b) the use of trading data relating to trading on a declared financial market or an approved financial market (as defined in notional s636(3D) as inserted by ASIC Instrument 2016/72) in a bidder's or target's statement.
- RG 9.319 ASIC Instrument 2016/72 allows the citation of a previous geological report in a current geologist's report included in the bidder's or target's statement, where:
- (a) the current geologist is a Member and/or Fellow of AusIMM or AIG with a minimum of five years' experience relevant to estimating, assessing and evaluating minerals of the kind that are the subject of the report;
 - (b) the statement is made in, or based on, a statement made in the previous geological report which is publicly available (with or without payment of a fee) at or from:
 - (i) a government department, authority or agency of the Commonwealth or an Australian state or territory; or
 - (ii) ASX;
 - (c) the previous geological report was not prepared in connection with the takeover bid;
 - (d) the previous geological report was not prepared or commissioned by the bidder or target (as the case may be), any of their associates, or a person with or from whom the bidder or target (as the case may be) or any of their associates has:
 - (i) shared an interest in a tenement that is the subject of the current geological report; or
 - (ii) purchased the tenement that is the subject of the current geological report; and
 - (e) the current geological report includes, close to the first occurring reference to the previous geological report, the name of the author of the previous report and a statement that the author of the previous report has not consented to its use in the current report.
- RG 9.320 With respect to trading data, ASIC Instrument 2016/72 allows the inclusion in the bidder's or target's statement of copies, extracts and accurate

representations or analysis of quantitative data on the price and volume of trading in financial products, provided that the bidder's or target's statement includes, close to the first occurring reference to trading data, the name of the person who prepared the trading data and a statement that the person who prepared the trading data has not consented to its use.

RG 9.321 Quantitative trading data is purely factual information. If the bidder or target adds commentary, analysis or deduction, the attribution to the market data provider should be clearly limited to the underlying trading data. The relief in [ASIC Instrument 2016/72](#) extends to a citation where the market data provider:

- (a) presents trading data in pictorial form (e.g. graphs, charts and diagrams); and
- (b) applies simple, objective formulas to trading data (e.g. volume weighted average market prices).

For our relief to apply, the information must not amount to subjective analysis or financial modelling and must retain its purely factual character.

Consent to use a lodged statement

RG 9.322 It may be difficult to obtain a person's consent to include in a bidder's or target's statement a public statement they have made in a lodged document—particularly in the context of a hostile takeover bid. For example, it may be difficult for a bidder to obtain the consent of the target's chairperson to include their statement in a bidder's statement.

ASIC modification: Using a lodged statement

RG 9.323 [ASIC Instrument 2023/683](#) modifies s636(3) and 638(5) so that the bidder's or target's statement may include a statement by a person without the person's consent, if the statement was made in a document that has been lodged with:

- (a) ASIC; or
- (b) the operator of a declared financial market (by a listed company, managed investment scheme or other listed body in compliance with the listing rules).

RG 9.324 For the relief to apply:

- (a) the bidder's or target's statement must:
 - (i) fairly represent the statement; or
 - (ii) include, or be accompanied by, a correct and fair copy of the document, or part of the document, that contains the statement; and

- (b) if the bidder's or target's statement is not accompanied by a copy of the document, or part of the document, that contains the statement:
 - (i) the bidder or target must provide the document, or part of the document, free of charge if requested to by a holder during the bid period; and
 - (ii) the bidder's or target's statement must:
 - (A) identify the document or part of the document; and
 - (B) inform holders of their right to obtain a copy of the document or part of the document.

RG 9.325 We have provided this modification because, if a person makes a statement in a document lodged with a securities exchange under the listing rules or with us, they do so in a regulated context for the purpose of informing holders and the market. The person who makes the statement should be mindful of potential liability. It is readily foreseeable that the statement may be quoted in the context of a takeover bid.

RG 9.326 Our relief does not protect a bidder or target from liability if it uses a statement and the statement is presented in a misleading or deceptive manner. It also applies only for the purposes of the consent requirement in s636(3) and 638(5) and does not affect any requirement under industry guidelines, standards and codes (e.g. the JORC Code) to include a consent or other statement by an author or other person whose work is referred to or relied on, or who is otherwise involved in making a statement.

I Supplementary statements

Key points

Although a bidder or target may not strictly be required to dispatch a supplementary statement to holders, we consider it may be appropriate to counteract a misleading or confusing statement made in the original statement.

We may refer a bidder or a target to the Takeovers Panel if its failure to dispatch a supplementary statement to holders appears to constitute unacceptable circumstances.

Supplementary statements when the target is listed

- RG 9.327 If the target is listed and the bid class securities are quoted, s647(3) requires any supplementary statements, prepared by either the bidder or the target, to be lodged with us and sent to the declared financial market as soon as practicable. It does not require the bidder to dispatch the supplementary document to target holders.
- RG 9.328 Supplementary statements should be lodged through the [ASIC Regulatory Portal](#). For more information, see [How you lodge fundraising and corporate finance documents](#) on the ASIC website.
- RG 9.329 Despite compliance with s647(3), in some circumstances, failure to send a supplementary statement to holders may give rise to unacceptable circumstances under s657A—for example, this failure may be contrary to the purposes of Ch 6 of ensuring that:
- (a) holders are given enough information to enable them to assess the merits of the proposal (s602(b)(iii)); and
 - (b) as far as practicable, holders all have reasonable and equal opportunities to participate in any benefits accruing to holders (s602(c)).
- RG 9.330 It may also be appropriate to send a supplementary statement to holders to counteract a misleading or confusing statement previously sent to holders during the offer period: see Section B of [RG 25](#).
- RG 9.331 In some circumstances, it may also be misleading to send a supplementary statement to a holder either before or with the original bidder's statement. We have provided relief enabling bidders to dispatch replacement documents: see RG 9.265–RG 9.275.

DRAFT

When we will consider referring a bidder or a target to the Takeovers Panel

- RG 9.332 If the target is listed and the bid class securities are quoted, we may refer a matter to the Takeovers Panel if the failure of a bidder or target to dispatch a supplementary statement to holders appears to constitute unacceptable circumstances. We may refer the matter to the Takeovers Panel in these circumstances despite their compliance with s647(3).
- RG 9.333 We may also refer a matter to the Takeovers Panel if the bidder lodges or dispatches a supplementary statement either before or with the original bidder's statement, if it has the tendency to mislead holders in the circumstances: see also RG 9.273.
- RG 9.334 In deciding whether to refer a matter to the Takeovers Panel, we will consider the content of, and the extent of the publicity given to, the supplementary statement.

DRAFT

J Variation of offers

Key points

A bidder may only vary the offers under an off-market bid in accordance with s650A–650D. The bidder may improve the consideration on offer or extend the offer period but must offer withdrawal rights in certain circumstances.

We have modified s624 so that, if the offer period is automatically extended, it ends at a certain time of day rather than midnight. We have also provided relief so that the formalities associated with approving a notice of variation are similar to those for the bidder's statement.

In appropriate cases, we may provide individual relief for variations:

- shortening the time for payment to avoid the need to offer withdrawal rights in connection with an extension of the offer period;
- providing for an increase of the consideration on offer that is conditional on the satisfaction of a minimum acceptance condition;
- improving the consideration on offer by adding fully paid securities; or
- altering the terms of the offers before dispatch.

Extending the offer period under an off-market bid

- RG 9.335 Section 650C permits a bidder to extend an unconditional bid at any time before the end of the offer period. However, where a bid is subject to a defeating condition, an extension of the offer period is only permitted after the bidder has given its s630(3) notice if certain events in relation to a competing offer occur: see s650C(2).

Extension of an unconditional bid that was previously conditional

- RG 9.336 Whether a variation extending the offer period is permitted under s650C(2) must be determined as at the time of variation. If, at the time of the variation, the bid is open and unconditional, the extension is lawful. It is not relevant to the lawfulness of the extension of a presently unconditional offer, that when originally made, the bid was subject to a defeating condition and the time for giving a s630(3) notice in relation to that condition has passed.
- RG 9.337 The suggestion that the time for determining the operation of s650C(2) is the time at which the offer was originally made is not supported by the actual wording of the provision, and there are no policy grounds for prohibiting the extension of an unconditional offer, which was originally conditional, after the s630(3) notice has been given.

DRAFT

Extension of a conditional bid on the s630(3) date

- RG 9.338 An off-market takeover offer that remains subject to a defeating condition may be extended on the day specified in s630(3) for giving the notice if:
- (a) the s630(3) notice has not already been given; and
 - (b) the extension is effected before the end of that day.
- RG 9.339 If the extension is effected before the end of the day on which the notice must be given, it does not contravene s650C(2). The extension of the offer before the end of the day has the effect that the s630(3) notice will no longer be due on that day. Once the extension is effected, the date for giving the s630(3) notice is postponed by a period equal to the extension: see s630(2)(a).

Extension of a conditional bid after the s630(3) date

- RG 9.340 Although the prohibition in s650C(2) is expressed to apply only after the notice under s630(3) is given, it would not be possible for a bidder to extend a conditional offer on a later day in reliance on its own failure to give the notice. We may decline to accept for lodgement a notice of variation submitted in these circumstances: see s1274(8).

Closing time for an automatically extended offer period

- RG 9.341 Under s624(2), an offer period is automatically extended if, during the final seven days of the offer period, the bidder's voting power in the target increases to more than 50%, or in an off-market bid, the offers under the bid are varied to improve the consideration offered. If either of these events occurs, s624(2) extends the offer period so that it ends 14 days after the triggering event.
- RG 9.342 While the term 'day' is not defined in the Corporations Act, the legal position is generally that a day comprises a full 24 hours, ending at midnight.
- RG 9.343 In contrast, the offer period for a takeover bid, as originally determined or subsequently extended by the bidder, may end at any time of day specified by the bidder, as long as it lasts for at least one month and not more than 12 months: see s624(1)(b).
- RG 9.344 If an off-market bid is automatically extended, it is likely that s624(2) requires the extended offer period to stay open until midnight on the 14th day after the triggering event. This may create confusion because a bidder will often have previously specified a closing time that is earlier than midnight.

- RG 9.345 While it is likely that the law technically applies in the same way to an automatically extended market bid, in practice, acceptances under a market bid can only be received up until the time the relevant financial market closes on the 14th day of the extension period.

ASIC modification: Automatic extensions in off-market bids

- RG 9.346 [ASIC Instrument 2023/683](#) provides relief from the requirement for an automatically extended offer period to remain open until midnight on the closing day.

- RG 9.347 ASIC Instrument 2023/683 provides that an automatically extended offer period for an off-market bid remains open on the 14th day of the extension period until the time of day that the offer period would have ended if it had not been extended.

Note: For example, if immediately before the automatic extension, the offers were due to close at 5 pm on the final day of the offer period, the automatically extended offer period would end at 5 pm on the final day of the extended period.

- RG 9.348 We have modified s624(2) to reduce the potential that confusion may arise as a result of the operation of this provision, and to ensure that the bid will still close at a time of day that is commercially suitable for the bidder.

- RG 9.349 Bidders should ensure that the closing time for the offer period is clearly stated in the notice of automatic extension given under s624(2).

ASIC modification: Automatic extensions in market bids

- RG 9.350 ASIC Instrument 2023/683 provides relief so that an automatically extended market bid is no longer required to remain open until midnight on the 14th day of the extended offer period. Given the practical constraints discussed in RG 9.345, ASIC Instrument 2023/683 requires that an automatically extended market bid remains open until the conclusion of ordinary trading on the relevant market on the 14th day of the extension period.

- RG 9.351 Bidders should state clearly, in the notice of automatic extension, that offers may be accepted until the close of trading on the relevant day.

Withdrawal rights

- RG 9.352 Under s650E, a holder who has accepted an offer under an off-market bid that is subject to a defeating condition has a right to withdraw their acceptance if the bidder varies the offer in a way that postpones for more than one month the time when the bidder has to meet their obligations under the bid.

- RG 9.353 This will most frequently occur when the offer period for a conditional takeover offer is extended. In such a case, the notice of variation to effect the extension must inform people about the right to withdraw: see s650D(1)(a)(ii).

DRAFT

- RG 9.354 Section 650E enables a target holder who has committed to sell their shares, and who may be dependent on the consideration for that sale arriving within a particular time period, to change their mind if that time period is unexpectedly extended.

Cumulative extensions

- RG 9.355 To determine whether s650E(1)(b) is triggered, the date from which the relevant postponement should be reckoned is the latest date for the provision of the consideration under the offers, as originally dispatched, no matter how short the extension.

Note: In a conditional bid, this date is generally referenced from the end of the offer period: see s620(2)(a).

- RG 9.356 The alternative reading—that the postponement is measured from the date for providing consideration under the offers, as previously extended, even if previous extensions have already had the effect of postponing the time for payment—is excluded by both the construction of the provisions and their underlying policy.
- RG 9.357 The following examples illustrate the application of s650E in practice.

Example 2: First extension of the close of the offer period to a date more than one month after the original close date

An off-market bid that is subject to defeating conditions has already been extended once by three weeks. The bidder wishes to extend the bid by a further three weeks.

The bidder must offer withdrawal rights in effecting the second three-week extension because the cumulative effect of the extension will be to postpone the bidder's obligations under the bid (in accordance with the terms of the bid, reflecting the requirement in s620(2)) for more than a month from the original date on which the bidder was to perform its obligations.

Example 3: Subsequent extensions

Following the circumstances referred to in Example 2, the bidder again wishes to extend the bid by a further three-week period. The bid is still subject to defeating conditions.

The bidder must again offer target holders the right to withdraw. Even though the new closing date for the offer will be less than a month after the most recent closing date, the extension gives rise to a postponement of over a month when reckoned by reference to the original date on which the bidder was to meet its obligations under the bid.

- RG 9.358 In both of the above examples, the bidder must offer withdrawal rights to target holders who accepted prior to the extension, even if those target holders accepted the offer at a time when the close of the offer period (and therefore the latest time for payment) was later than the date in the offers as originally dispatched. This is because, in ASIC's view, s650E(1)(b) operates by reference to the bidder's obligations under the terms of the bid generally. The bid terms are the same regardless of when particular target holders accept the offer.
- RG 9.359 This view is also consistent with the general policy discussed at RG 9.354. As demonstrated in the above examples, s650E operates such that target holders may have the right to withdraw on the basis of extensions of less than one month. In these circumstances, the delay caused by the extension is the same for all holders who have accepted, regardless of when they accepted or whether the relevant extension is for less than one month from the previous closing date. Each accepting holder will have the time for payment, which they may be dependent on, unexpectedly extended by the same period and accordingly should have equivalent rights to withdraw.
- RG 9.360 Moreover, target holders who have only recently accepted may have done so on the basis of the level of acceptances the bid has achieved. If withdrawal rights are only open to some accepting target holders and not others, the holders who cannot withdraw may be 'locked in' to the bid even though the level of acceptances subsequently falls as a result of the withdrawal of other holders.
- RG 9.361 As a result of the operation of s650E, target holders may be able to withdraw their acceptance after the offers under the bid have closed.

Automatic extensions

- RG 9.362 An off-market bid may be extended automatically under s624(2)(a) if, within the final seven days of the offer period, the bidder improves the consideration offered.

Note: Section 650B sets out the various ways in which a bidder may vary a bid to improve the consideration offered.

- RG 9.363 If a bidder improves its offer in a way that triggers an automatic 14-day extension under s624(2)(a), the bidder must offer withdrawal rights under s650E if, as a result of the variation, the time for the bidder to meet its obligations under the bid (reckoned by reference to the dates in the offers, as originally dispatched) is postponed for more than one month.
- RG 9.364 The notice of variation for the improvement in consideration must inform holders about their right to withdraw acceptances under s650E: see s650D(1)(a)(ii).
- RG 9.365 This does not apply to automatic extensions triggered by the operation of s651A, or as a result of the bidder's voting power increasing to more than 50%.

ASIC relief: Variations to shorten payment periods

- RG 9.366 Where offers have been declared unconditional, an extension of the offer period does not result in holders having a right to withdraw, because the time for provision of the consideration under contracts arising from acceptances is not postponed.
- RG 9.367 The same result can be achieved without declaring the offers unconditional, by varying them to abridge the time between their close and the date for payment by a period equal to the extension.
- RG 9.368 Because s650E(1)(b) refers to the time by which the bidder has to meet its obligations under the terms of the bid, to ensure that s650E is not triggered, despite any intention on the part of the bidder to abridge the time for payment, a bidder must formally vary the terms of the bid to reflect the abridgement. Because a variation of this type is not permitted under Pt 6.6, an ASIC modification under s655A will be required: see s650A(1).
- Note: Sections 650B–650D provide the sole method by which offers under off-market bids may be varied. Failure to comply with Pt 6.6 will result in an invalid variation, which may in turn void all acceptances and contracts under the bid.
- RG 9.369 We may grant individual relief to permit a bidder to vary its offers to accelerate payment terms for this purpose, if it appears to us that doing so is not contrary to the principles underlying Ch 6.

Variation to allow conditional increase in consideration

- RG 9.370 Section 650B sets out the various ways in which a bidder may vary the offers under an off-market bid to improve the consideration offered, including by increasing the amount of cash or the number of securities offered. Part 6.6 does not expressly provide for an increase in consideration that is conditional on a minimum acceptance condition.

ASIC relief: Conditional increases in off-market bids

- RG 9.371 We may grant individual relief to allow a bidder to send a notice of variation during an off-market bid, which provides for an increase in consideration that is subject to a minimum acceptance condition, if the bidder:
- (a) does not require that the minimum acceptance condition be met at a point in time before the close of the bid; and
 - (b) pays the increased consideration, even if the minimum acceptance condition is waived.
- RG 9.372 A bidder may, without seeking relief, announce that it will increase its off-market bid if it receives a certain level of acceptances. Our relief removes

any doubt by allowing a bidder to vary the terms of its offer to provide for the conditional increase in accordance with the requirements discussed in RG 9.371.

- RG 9.373 We may facilitate a variation of this kind because we consider that an increase in consideration during the bid period, which is conditional on a minimum acceptance condition, is not contrary to the principles in s602—provided that there is sufficient disclosure of the effect of the conditional increase.
- RG 9.374 We will not grant relief if the bidder proposes to require that the minimum acceptance condition be satisfied at a point in time before the close of the bid because we consider that this applies undue pressure on holders to accept the bid earlier than they otherwise would.

Time for payment of consideration

- RG 9.375 In connection with relief to conditionally increase the consideration payable under the bid, we may also give relief to delay the time for paying the part of the consideration representing the increase. We will generally give relief if the increase is still conditional at the time that the original consideration becomes payable. Under our relief, the time for paying the increase will be analogous with s620 and [ASIC Instrument 2023/683](#).
- RG 9.376 For example, if the bidder is given the necessary transfer documents with the acceptance and the increase is still conditional, the bidder must pay the increase by the end of whichever of the following periods ends earlier:
- (a) one month after the increase becomes unconditional; or
 - (b) 21 days after the end of the offer period (s620(2)(a)).

Adding scrip consideration to an off-market bid

- RG 9.377 Section 650B(1) does not expressly permit a bidder to improve the consideration under an off-market bid by adding (other than as an alternative) securities that were not previously on offer—for example, adding scrip to an all-cash offer as opposed to adding a scrip alternative to a cash offer. This is implied from the context in s650B(1)(a), (f) and (h) and from s650B(2), which requires an election to be made about the form of consideration to be taken.
- RG 9.378 Under s650B(1)(b), a bidder is able to improve the consideration by increasing the number of securities offered. This means that, if a certain security is already on offer, the bidder may increase the number of those securities offered as consideration. However, s650B(1)(b) does not permit a bidder to add a new kind of security to the consideration offered.

ASIC relief: Adding a new scrip component to existing consideration

- RG 9.379 We may give individual relief to allow a bidder to improve the consideration offered by adding fully paid securities to its off-market bid, if the bidder:
- (a) lodges with ASIC a supplementary bidder's statement that complies with the disclosure obligations in s636(1)(g) or (ga) and any other necessary disclosure obligations;
 - (b) sends a copy of the supplementary bidder's statement to each holder, to the target and to the declared financial market if the target is listed; and
 - (c) lodges with ASIC a copy of the notice of variation required under s650D and sends a copy to the target, to the declared financial market if the target is listed, and to holders (s650D(1)(c)(ii) as substituted by s7(c) of [ASIC Instrument 2023/683](#): see RG 9.399–RG 9.404).

Note: Where the offers are improved by adding securities to existing consideration, target holders who have already accepted may be entitled to make a fresh election as to consideration: see s650B(2) and (3).

- RG 9.380 Under an off-market bid, a bidder may offer a combination of cash and scrip as consideration under its bid from the commencement of the bid. We consider that a bidder should be able to improve an existing offer by adding a fully paid scrip component if the target board and holders have sufficient information and time to consider the significant changes to the terms of the offer involved.
- RG 9.381 If we grant this relief for securities that the bidder states or implies will be listed, we may also give consequential relief from s625(3)(c)(i).

Varying off-market offers before dispatch

- RG 9.382 Offers under an off-market takeover bid cannot be varied or freed from a defeating condition before they have been dispatched. Although Pt 6.6 enables a bidder to vary an off-market takeover offer, no offers have been made until offer documents have been dispatched to holders.
- RG 9.383 Part 6.6 does not refer to offers that are merely proposed, and the procedure it sets out for off-market offers is not appropriate to variations in the terms that are proposed to be offered. This is because the procedure:
- (a) requires copies of the notice of variation to be dispatched immediately to holders (who are not yet ascertained and who would, in any case, derive little benefit from a notice varying an offer they have not seen); and
 - (b) does not provide for the target to be given an opportunity to respond to the varied offer in its target's statement.

DRAFT

- RG 9.384 For similar reasons, offers may not be declared to be free of defeating conditions under s650F before they have been dispatched.
- RG 9.385 Further, item 6 of s633(1) requires that the offers that are dispatched to holders must be made on the terms set out in the bidder's statement and offer document lodged with ASIC under item 2 of s633(1). For this reason, it is also not possible to vary the proposed terms of an offer before dispatch by way of a supplementary bidder's statement or a replacement bidder's statement.

ASIC relief: Dispatch of amended documents

- RG 9.386 We will normally grant individual relief to allow offers to be dispatched on terms that vary from those contained in the original bidder's statement if:
- (a) the altered documents would have been accepted for lodgement;
 - (b) the target's opportunity to make a pertinent response in its target's statement is not prejudiced; and
 - (c) the proposed variation is not contrary to the purposes of Ch 6 set out in s602.
- RG 9.387 The circumstances that led to the alteration may call for explanation in the supplementary or replacement bidder's statement.

Approving notices of variation

- RG 9.388 Section 637 requires that the copy of the bidder's statement that is lodged with us must be approved:
- (a) for a bidder that is a body corporate:
 - (i) if the bid is an all-cash bid—by a resolution passed by the directors; or
 - (ii) otherwise—by a unanimous resolution passed by all the directors; or
 - (b) for a bidder that is an individual—by the bidder (s637(1)).
- RG 9.389 In contrast with s637, if the bidder is a body corporate, s650D(3) states that a notice of variation must be signed:
- (a) if the bidder has two or more directors—by at least two directors of the bidder who are authorised to do so by a resolution passed at a directors' meeting; or
 - (b) if the bidder has only one director—by that director.

ASIC modification: Approval of notice of variation

- RG 9.390 [ASIC Instrument 2023/683](#) modifies s650D so that a notice of variation need not be signed if it is approved in any of the ways that a bidder's statement can be approved under s637.
- RG 9.391 We have provided this relief because we consider that it is appropriate for a bidder to have the option of approving a notice of variation in the same way as a bidder's statement rather than signing the notice.

Lodging documents with ASIC

- RG 9.392 As with the bidder's statement, a notice of variation lodged with us must still comply with s351. Section 351(1) states that a director or secretary of the company must sign the notice. If it is a foreign company, the notice may be signed by:
- (a) its local agent; or
 - (b) if the local agent is a company—a director or secretary of the company (s351(1)).
- Note: A 'local agent' in relation to a foreign company is a person who is a local agent by virtue of s601CG(5): s9.
- RG 9.393 A notice of variation should be lodged through the [ASIC Regulatory Portal](#). For more information, see [How you lodge fundraising and corporate finance documents](#) of the ASIC website.
- RG 9.394 Our modification in ASIC Instrument 2023/683 does not affect the requirement in s351. We do not have a power to give relief from this requirement.
- RG 9.395 We note that, if the Corporations Act requires something to be signed, it can be signed by an individual using a power of attorney from the person required to sign: see s52A.

Dispatching notices of variation

- RG 9.396 To effect a variation of the offers under an off-market takeover bid (including an extension of the offer period), the bidder must prepare, lodge and dispatch a notice of variation in accordance with s650D.
- RG 9.397 Under s650D(1)(c)(ii), the notice of variation must be dispatched to everyone to whom offers were made under the bid. However, at the time of the variation, some persons to whom offers were made may no longer hold bid class securities because they have disposed of their holding to another person (e.g. by selling the securities on market). Holders who have disposed of their holdings in this way are unlikely to want to continue to receive notices relating to the bid.

ASIC modification: Persons to whom notices of variation are to be dispatched

- RG 9.398 [ASIC Instrument 2023/683](#) modifies s650D(1)(c) so that, where the bidder has obtained a copy of a register that relates to a class of target securities the holders of which the bidder sent offers under the bid, and that records the name and address of holders as at a time subsequent to the s633(2) record date, the bidder must send the notices of variation to:
- (a) those persons, shown on the most recent copy of the register obtained by the bidder, to whom the bidder would have had to send the bidder's statement and offers under item 6 of the table in s633(1) if the date set by the bidder under s633(2) had been the date at which the holdings are recorded on that copy of the register; and
 - (b) any other person who has accepted the offer under the bid.
- RG 9.399 The modification in s650D(1)(c) operates separately in relation to each class of target securities (the holders of which the bidder sent offers under the bid) and, if the bidder does not have access to an updated register in relation to a particular class, then the existing requirement to send to everyone to whom offers were made applies with respect to holders in that class.
- RG 9.400 For example, if the bidder has an updated register for one class (e.g. ordinary shares in the bid class) but not another (e.g. convertible securities—the holders of which the bidder sent the bidder's statement and offers because the bid extended under s617(2) to ordinary shares issued on exercise of the convertible securities) the bidder must:
- (a) in respect of the class for which the more recent register is available to the bidder—send notices of variation to the persons in the class recorded on the register; and
 - (b) in respect of the other class—send notices of variation to the persons in the class to whom offers were made under the bid.
- RG 9.401 Section 5(g) of ASIC Instrument 2023/683 also substitutes s624(2) (excluding the heading and the note) to align the persons to whom the bidder must dispatch a written notice that an automatic extension has occurred with the modified requirements in s650D(1)(c)—except to the extent that the bidder may be required to give the s624(2) notice to persons who have accepted the offer.
- RG 9.402 Our modification of s650D(1)(c) and s624(2) recognises that bidders will commonly obtain copies of the target register throughout the bid period for the purposes of communications and/or ongoing monitoring of the bid's progress. It seeks to ensure that the bidder's notices regarding variation of its offers are, as far as practicable, sent to persons for whom the variation is likely to be relevant, based on the most-up-to date information available to the bidder.

RG 9.403 Our modification of s650D(1)(c)(ii) expressly includes each person who has accepted the offer under the bid in order to ensure that persons who may not be on the more recent version of the register, because their securities have been acquired by the bidder under the bid, still receive notices relating to improvements in consideration which may affect them: see s650B(2).

Dispatch of notices extending an unconditional bid

RG 9.404 Under s650D(2), a notice of variation that merely extends the offer period at a time when the bid is not subject to any defeating conditions does not need to be sent to holders who have already accepted the offer. However, the notice must still be sent to other holders specified in s650D(1)(c)(ii) (as substituted by [ASIC Instrument 2023/683](#)).

RG 9.405 An extension of the offer period does not affect the rights of holders who have already accepted an unconditional bid because the time for providing consideration under a conditional takeover contract runs from the later of:

- (a) the holder's acceptance; and
- (b) the contract becoming unconditional.

RG 9.406 Section 650D(2) recognises that it is therefore unnecessary to send notices of variation to holders who have already accepted.

Note: This contrasts with the position where the variation affects terms of the offer other than the offer period. Holders who have already accepted the offer still need to be notified of the variation, even if the offer is unconditional, because the holder may be entitled to improved or alternative consideration under s650B(2).

K Acceptances

Key points

We have modified the Corporations Act so that, for the purposes of applying the requirements of Chs 6 and 6C only (other than s653A), if a bidder receives a completed acceptance form in respect of securities registered in a clearing and settlement facility such as the Clearing House Electronic Subregister System (CHES) the holder is taken to have accepted the offer under the bid in relation to the securities. Our modification does not affect the requirement to comply with the rules of the clearing and settlement facility.

We have also provided relief to ensure that a bidder does not acquire a relevant interest as a result of a target holder participating in an appropriately constituted acceptance facility.

We have also modified s624(2) to address issues that may arise when an acceptance facility is triggered near the close of a takeover offer.

Acceptances received by the bidder for securities registered in a clearing and settlement facility

- RG 9.407 Under s653A and reg 6.8.01 of the Corporations Regulations, an acceptance of a takeover offer for quoted securities to which the operating rules of a clearing and settlement facility apply is only effective if made in the way specified in those rules. For securities registered on the CHES system, the relevant rules are the ASX Settlement Operating Rules (Settlement Rules).
- RG 9.408 A target holder whose securities are CHES registered can instruct their broker directly to accept the bid through CHES. As an alternative, the offer terms will generally provide that the target holder can return an acceptance form to the bidder instructing the bidder (or its representative) that they accept the bid—which the bidder may then seek to effect by engaging the holder’s broker through CHES: Settlement Rule 14.14.7. For some target holders, returning a completed acceptance form may be a more familiar method of acceptance.
- Note: If the target holder’s securities are registered on an issuer-sponsored register rather than CHES, the only method by which the holder can generally accept the bid is to return a completed acceptance form to the bidder.
- RG 9.409 It has been held that, where a target holder whose securities are CHES registered returns an acceptance form, there is no acceptance of the bid until the acceptance is ‘processed’ by effecting it through the CHES system. This is because of the combined effect of s653A, regs 6.8.01 and 7.11.24 of the Corporations Regulations, and Settlement Rule 14.14.

DRAFT

ASIC modification: Treatment of paper acceptances for securities registered in a clearing and settlement facility

- RG 9.410 [ASIC Instrument 2023/683](#) modifies the Corporations Act so that, for the purposes of Chs 6 and 6C, an offer is taken to have been accepted in respect of securities registered in a clearing and settlement facility when:
- (a) the bidder has received a written instruction or authority (or both) from a holder entitled to accept the offer (or a person with a right to be registered as holder); and
 - (b) the instruction or authority is given for the purpose, and has the effect, of enabling the bidder to instruct another person through the relevant clearing and settlement facility to effect acceptance of the offer.
- RG 9.411 Our modification does not affect the requirements of s653A—including that the acceptances must be processed in accordance with the rules of the relevant clearing and settlement facility. It is also not designed to affect the common law position in relation to offer and acceptance, only the application of Chs 6 and 6C.
- RG 9.412 We have made this modification to improve certainty for bidders and holders by clarifying the application of Chs 6 and 6C where a holder seeks to accept a takeover offer for securities registered in a clearing and settlement facility such as CHESS by returning a completed acceptance form to the bidder or its representative. The modification seeks to align the operation of Ch 6 with the expectations of target holders that once they have delivered the bidder a completed acceptance form they have accepted the offer.
- RG 9.413 The modification in ASIC Instrument 2023/683 means that, in relation to acceptance forms received by the bidder or its representative in respect of securities registered in a clearing and settlement facility:
- (a) the bidder must take the acceptance into account when determining whether there has been a change in its voting power that may need to be disclosed in a substantial holding notice, even if the acceptance has yet to be processed;
 - (b) the relevant interest acquired by the bidder upon receiving the acceptance form will fall within the exception in item 1 of s611;
 - (c) even if the acceptance is not yet processed, it will be taken into account in determining whether the bidder has received acceptances that increase its voting power to over 50% in the final seven days of a bid (s624(2)(b)); and
 - (d) if the bidder varies the bid in a way that postpones the bidder's obligations under the bid for more than one month, the target holder may still be entitled to withdrawal rights under s650E even if the variation is effected before the acceptance is processed.

DRAFT

Acceptance facilities

RG 9.414 An acceptance facility is an arrangement allowing all or specified holders of target securities to indicate conditional support for a takeover bid. Under the facility, registered and beneficial holders provide a third-party ‘facility agent’ with completed acceptance documents or instructions to a custodian to accept a bid. The facility agent is authorised to release the acceptances or instructions to the bidder or custodian once a particular level of support has been achieved and the bidder has given the facility agent a notice confirming that the bid will be declared unconditional.

The purpose of acceptance facilities

RG 9.415 Acceptance facilities are designed to overcome the difficulties a bidder may have in achieving a requisite level of acceptances due to the conditionality of a bid. Target holders may be reluctant to provide a binding acceptance for fear of having their securities locked up in a conditional bid with no certainty of success or missing out if a higher rival offer emerges. Further, institutional investors may be prevented from accepting a conditional bid, or a bid achieving less than a certain level of acceptances, because of restrictions in their investment mandates.

RG 9.416 This can cause a problem for bidders because:

- (a) it will often not be possible to satisfy a minimum acceptance condition, or achieve the necessary level of acceptances, without certain holders (e.g. institutional holders) accepting; and
- (b) in some cases, these holders will not accept unless the condition is met or the necessary level of acceptances achieved.

RG 9.417 Acceptance facilities address this issue by providing a means for target holders to indicate a willingness to accept the bid if it becomes unconditional and/or attracts a specified level of support. This allows the bidder to declare the bid free of the conditions when it is assured that the requisite level of acceptances will be achieved.

RG 9.418 For administrative reasons, the terms of an acceptance facility may provide that only institutional, large or sophisticated holders of target securities may participate. Appropriately constituted, a facility of this kind may not offend the equality principle in s602(c), provided that smaller holders retain an equal and reasonable opportunity to accept the bid and facility participants do not receive a discriminatory benefit in using the facility.

Relevant interests arising from acceptance facilities

RG 9.419 A bidder generally establishes an acceptance facility by engaging a third party to administer the facility in accordance with specified terms. The facility agent, in turn, enters into a series of agreements with facility participants.

DRAFT

- RG 9.420 The facility agent will generally obtain power to control the disposal of the securities accepted into the facility because it is instructed and authorised to take action to effect acceptance once the preconditions to release under the terms of the facility have been met. However, it is common for a facility to be structured so that the agent may avail itself of the AFS licensee exception in s609(3A) and therefore does not acquire a relevant interest in the securities.
- RG 9.421 It is possible that a bidder may acquire a relevant interest in target securities at the time that a facility participant provides acceptance or custodial instructions to the facility agent under an acceptance facility. This is because the bidder and facility participants may be viewed as parties to an overall arrangement or understanding in relation to the securities, irrespective of whether they deal with each other directly: see also s52.
- Note: This view may be supported by the fact that one of the key objectives of an acceptance facility is to provide the bidder with a level of assurance that, in the circumstances, and on the conditions specified, it will be able to acquire the securities that are the subject of the facility.
- RG 9.422 An arrangement or understanding of this kind may be sufficient to constitute a ‘relevant agreement’ under the broad definition in s9. The bidder may therefore acquire a relevant interest in facility participants’ securities outside the takeover bid and in contravention of s606 by virtue of the accelerator provision in s608(8).
- RG 9.423 Section 609A provides that a bidder does not acquire a relevant interest merely because it has a power to control the disposal of securities as a result of a holder or beneficial owner tendering acceptance or custodial instructions in respect of the securities into an acceptance facility.

ASIC relief: Other acceptance facilities

- RG 9.424 In exceptional cases we may provide relevant interest relief for acceptance facilities that do not meet one or more conditions referred to in s609A. In doing so we will consider the underlying policy of the relevant conditions and the potential effect of any relief, having regard to the principles in s602.

Disclosure

- RG 9.425 The overall level of acceptances received by a bidder in relation to a bid is often a significant factor in a target holder’s decision about whether and when to accept a bid. Target holders may also be influenced by the decision of substantial holders to accept or reject the bid. Full and accurate disclosure about acceptances received throughout the course of a bid is therefore critical in ensuring that:
- (a) target holders and the market are not misled;
 - (b) the bid takes place in an efficient, competitive and informed market (s602(a)); and

- (c) target holders have enough information to enable them to assess the merits of the offer under the bid (s602(b)(iii)).

RG 9.426 Acceptance facilities provide a mechanism for participants to signify their willingness to accept a bid—subject to specified preconditions—by providing, in effect, an ‘indicative acceptance’. The level of indicative acceptances demonstrated through a facility may similarly factor in the decisions of target holders and the market. A bidder must therefore ensure that target holders are provided with an equivalent level of disclosure about the number of securities tendered into the facility throughout the course of the bid, together with sufficiently detailed and prominent information to understand the terms and operation of the facility and appreciate the distinction between actual acceptances and facility acceptances.

RG 9.427 A bidder establishing an acceptance facility should disclose in the bidder’s statement, or a supplementary bidder’s statement, full details of the facility including all relevant terms and the arrangements the bidder has entered into to enable the operation of the facility.

RG 9.428 Further, if bid class securities are listed on a declared financial market, the bidder should provide disclosure that is equivalent to the disclosure that would be required under the substantial holding provisions if the bidder’s voting power included securities tendered into the acceptance facility. The terms of the facility will need to provide for the facility agent to provide information about facility acceptances at the end of each day in sufficient time for the bidder to disclose every 1% movement in the aggregate level of actual voting power and facility acceptances by 9.30 am the following morning. The information should be provided in an announcement or a letter covering a relevant substantial holding notice, which:

- (a) clearly sets out:
 - (i) the aggregate number and percentage of bid class securities in which the bidder and its associates have a relevant interest, and which are the subject of facility acceptances; and
 - (ii) a breakdown between the two categories; and
- (b) contains a statement:
 - (i) setting out the preconditions to the facility agent releasing the facility acceptances; and
 - (ii) warning that the facility acceptances may be withdrawn by facility participants at any time until the preconditions are met.

Note: For an unlisted target, the bidder should make equivalent disclosures to those that would be made under s654C.

RG 9.429 The bidder, target and other market participants must ensure that they do not misrepresent facility acceptances as actual acceptances.

The operation of acceptance facilities near to the close of a conditional bid

- RG 9.430 Section 650F(1) prevents a bidder from freeing an offer from a defeating condition (other than a ‘prescribed occurrence’ condition) during the final seven days of the offer period. This prohibition, together with the prohibition on extending conditional bids, other than in the circumstances specified in s650C(2), provides target holders with an opportunity to consider the final terms of the bid.
- RG 9.431 Sections 650F(1) and 650C(2) may mean that a bidder is unable to give the facility agent a notice confirming that it will drop certain outstanding conditions during the final seven days of the offer period. However, in some cases, the bidder may still be able to give a notice if it is clear that doing so will necessarily result in an automatic extension under s624(2)(b). This is because:
- (a) if the bidder’s voting power is below 50% at the time that the notice is given to the facility agent and the aggregate of the bidder’s voting power and the facility acceptances is greater than 50%, once the actual acceptances resulting from the trigger of the facility are received, the offer period will be extended by 14 days under s624(2)(b); and
 - (b) following an extension, the bidder will be able to drop the conditions in accordance with its undertaking in the notice given to the facility agent because there will then be more than seven days until the end of the offer period.
- RG 9.432 However, a bidder seeking to rely on s624(2)(b) may face uncertainty if the aggregate of the bidder’s voting power and facility acceptances only reaches 50% near to the end of the offer period. This is because there may be a delay between the bidder giving its notice and the facility acceptances being realised as actual acceptances. In the case of instructions that are released by the facility agent to custodians, the timing of the actual acceptance by the custodian will depend on how quickly the custodian acts after receiving the instructions.
- RG 9.433 If the bidder does not receive enough of the facility acceptances in time to increase its voting power to above 50%, the bid may close, subject to unfulfilled defeating conditions, and all takeover contracts will be void: see s650G.

ASIC modification: Automatic extension of a bid

- RG 9.434 [ASIC Instrument 2023/683](#) modifies s624 so that, where a bidder has established an acceptance facility, it may elect, for the purposes of s624(2)(b) only, to be taken to obtain voting power in securities that are the subject of the facility as soon as it gives the notice triggering the release of the acceptances and instructions by the facility agent.

- RG 9.435 Our relief applies only if:
- (a) the bid is conditional (other than with respect to ‘prescribed occurrence’ conditions);
 - (b) the acceptance facility complies with the requirements of s609A, including the disclosure requirements discussed at RG 9.425–RG 9.428; and
 - (c) the bidder has included in its s630(3) notice a statement that it has elected to have s624(5) (inserted by [ASIC Instrument 2023/683](#)) apply and a description of the effect of that provision.
- RG 9.436 Our modification in ASIC Instrument 2023/683 ensures that, where a bidder satisfies the conditions for release of acceptances from an acceptance facility before the close of an offer, and its voting power in the target will increase to above 50% once the bidder receives actual acceptances for the securities tendered into the facility, the bid will be extended despite the potential delay in giving effect to the facility instructions. We consider that relief of this kind is appropriate because it improves the certainty associated with the operation of an acceptance facility.
- RG 9.437 The requirement that the bidder must have triggered the facility in order to rely on our relief is consistent with the rationale underlying s624(2)(b) of ensuring that target holders who might have declined the offer on the basis that they wished to remain with the existing management—but would accept if the bidder obtained a majority holding in the target—are given a further opportunity to consider the offers in light of the bidder acquiring majority control.

Unacceptable circumstances

- RG 9.438 Acceptance facilities can increase the complexity of a bid. It is therefore essential that:
- (a) target holders and the market are provided with clear and full disclosure about the nature and role of the facility and facility acceptances; and
 - (b) the facility is not operated or used in a way that undermines the purposes of Ch 6 set out in s602 or otherwise gives rise to a breach of the Corporations Act.
- RG 9.439 We will consider the statements made by, and the conduct of, the bidder, target, facility operator and users, and other market participants in relation to acceptance facilities to ensure that they are consistent with the underlying spirit of our relief and the principles in s602. For example, we may carefully examine the actions of facility participants who repeatedly tender into and withdraw from a facility, or who tender into a facility in contradiction of a previous statement: see also [RG 25](#) and s1041H.

- RG 9.440 We also expect that facility operators will have in place appropriate arrangements to verify that the acceptances and acceptance instructions they admit into, and continue to hold in, the facility are valid and relate to an identified parcel of bid class securities that the facility user has an immediate capacity to deliver. The tendering of acceptances and instructions in respect of securities that a person does not own, and the failure of systems designed to ensure the veracity of the information reported to the market regarding facility acceptances, may result in target holders and the market being misled, or the principles in s602 being undermined: see RG 9.425–RG 9.426.
- RG 9.441 Any person who abuses, or makes potentially misleading disclosures about, an acceptance facility risks further regulatory action—including an application to the Takeovers Panel for a declaration of unacceptable circumstances.

DRAFT

L Joint bids and schemes

Key points

Joint bid or scheme arrangements that result in the joint bidders or acquirers having voting power of over 20% will often be prohibited by s606. These arrangements may also discourage rival bids or scheme proposals and detract from an efficient and competitive market for control.

We may give individual relief to allow two or more bidders or acquirers to enter into joint arrangements. Our relief will be subject to conditions that are designed to address the concern that the joint arrangements might discourage an auction for control of the target, and that seek to ensure that the price offered is acceptable to a majority of disinterested target security holders.

Issues associated with joint bids and schemes

- RG 9.442 A joint bid or scheme involves two or more parties (joint bidders or acquirers) agreeing to seek control of a target entity by a takeover bid (joint bid) or a Pt 5.1 compromise or arrangement (joint scheme).
- RG 9.443 To facilitate the bid for joint control, the parties will generally agree to exercise power over the voting or disposal of securities jointly. Under s608(1), when the parties enter into these joint bid or scheme arrangements, they will be taken to each have a relevant interest in the other joint bidder or proponent's securities and to be associates of each other under s12.
- RG 9.444 Depending on the joint bidders or acquirers' pre-existing interests in voting shares in the target, each joint bidder or proponent's voting power in an entity may increase to a level prohibited by s606(1) as a result of entering into the joint arrangements. Without relief, the parties may therefore be prevented from entering into the joint arrangements.

Deterrent effect of joint bids and schemes

- RG 9.445 The entry into joint bid or scheme arrangements will give each joint bidder or proponent the voting power of the collective pre-bid stake (i.e. the sum of each joint bidder or proponent's voting power in the target). The existence of this higher pre-bid stake, or even the mere fact of the parties joining forces, may discourage rival bids or schemes and any ensuing auction for control of the target.

DRAFT

- RG 9.446 The deterrent effect of the joint bid or scheme arrangements may accordingly deprive non-associated security holders in the target of a fair and reasonable price for their securities.
- RG 9.447 Consequently, a joint bid or scheme arrangement that is not subject to appropriate conditions may detract from an efficient and competitive market for control of voting securities in the target.

Entering into joint arrangements

ASIC relief: Joint bids and schemes

- RG 9.448 We may give relief to facilitate joint bids or schemes where s606 may otherwise have prohibited the bidders or acquirers from coming together to make the bid or propose the scheme. Our relief will generally be subject to each of the conditions set out in Table 8.
- RG 9.449 The conditions of our relief are designed to address the concern that the joint bid or scheme arrangements might deter rival offers. They also seek to ensure that the price offered is acceptable to the majority of the holders of securities in which the joint bidders or acquirers and their associates do not have voting power.

Table 8: Conditions of relief for joint bids and schemes

Condition	Cross-references for further explanation
<p>1. Acceptance by non-associated shareholders</p> <p>In the case of a joint bid, the offers must be subject to a condition that there are acceptances for a minimum of 50.1% of the bid class securities in respect of which the joint bidders do not have voting power at the beginning of the offer period.</p> <p>The bidder's statement must state that the joint bidders will not waive this condition.</p> <p>In the case of a joint scheme, the joint acquirers and their associates must not vote in the same class as other target holders.</p>	<p>RG 9.450–RG 9.451</p>
<p>2. Acceptance into higher rival bid / No vote against higher rival scheme</p> <p>The joint bidders or acquirers and their associates:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • must accept a rival bid that is higher than their bid or scheme proposal unless they match the rival bid; and • if a higher rival scheme of arrangement is proposed, must not vote against the scheme. 	<p>RG 9.452–RG 9.458</p>

DRAFT

Condition	Cross-references for further explanation
<p>3. Expert reports</p> <p>The joint bidders or acquirers must use their best endeavours to have the target engage an independent expert to prepare a report on whether:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • in a joint bid—the bid is fair and reasonable to target holders who are not associates of the bidders; or • in a joint scheme—whether the scheme is in the best interests of the target holders. 	RG 9.459
<p>4. Termination of joint bid arrangements</p> <p>The joint bidders or acquirers must immediately terminate any relevant agreements or arrangements relating to the joint bid or scheme if the bid or scheme for which relief was obtained:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • does not proceed (including, in a scheme, because the scheme did not receive the necessary majority under s411(4)(a), or the court makes an order, which is final and not appealable, not to approve the scheme under s411(4)(b)); or • fails because of a defeating condition that has neither been satisfied nor waived. 	RG 9.460

Acceptance by non-associated shareholders

- RG 9.450 The non-waivable condition that non-associated holders representing at least 50.1% of the outstanding securities accept the bid, which we require when granting relief for a joint bid, is designed to address the concern that the increased voting power of the joint bidders may determine the outcome of the bid. It provides the non-associated shareholders with a collective power of veto over the terms of the offer.
- RG 9.451 In a joint scheme, even if relief is not required, interested parties should either not vote in favour of the resolution to approve the scheme, or should vote in a separate class: see the guidance on voting in Section E of Regulatory Guide 60 *Schemes of arrangement* ([RG 60](#)). Accordingly, class distinction, and the approval thresholds in a scheme, will generally afford a suitable proxy to the non-waivable condition imposed in a joint bid.

Higher rival bid or scheme

- RG 9.452 We require joint bidders and acquirers, and their associates, to accept or match a higher rival bid to address the risk that the increased stake held by the joint bidders or acquirers under the joint bid or scheme arrangements may deter a rival bidder from launching a higher bid and any ensuing auction for control. In the case of a higher rival scheme of arrangement, joint bidders or acquirers and their associates must not vote against the scheme.

Note: We will assess which associates of the bidder this requirement applies to when determining an application for relief. We will generally require that an associate be bound where it is reasonable for the bidders or acquirers to procure the associate to be bound by the condition.

DRAFT

RG 9.453 A higher rival bid or scheme, under this condition, is a bid or a scheme offering more than 105% of the value of the consideration offered by the joint bidders or acquirers. We will require joint bidders or acquirers to accept a higher rival takeover bid for their entire joint holding unless they increase their bid to match the rival bid within seven days after the start of the offer period of the rival bid. We will only require joint bidders to accept a higher rival bid after that bid has become unconditional except for ‘prescribed occurrence’ conditions.

RG 9.454 Given the differences between bids and schemes (including that a scheme requires court approval and a resolution of members passed by the majority specified in s411(4)(a)(ii)), we will require that the joint bidders and their associates not vote against a higher rival scheme even though the rival scheme will not be unconditional at the time of the vote.

How we will administer this condition

RG 9.455 Both the joint bidders or acquirers and the rival offerors may offer consideration in the form of cash, listed or unlisted scrip, or a combination of cash and listed or unlisted scrip. Where scrip, or a combination of cash and scrip, is on offer under either, we will consider whether to require the joint bidders or acquirers to accept the rival bid (or, in the case of a rival scheme, not vote against the scheme) on a case-by-case basis, taking into account factors such as the liquidity of the scrip and any expert report assessing the value of the scrip. We may consider what the net cash proceeds would be on a sale of the scrip by the joint bidders or acquirers within a reasonable period.

When we may not impose this condition

RG 9.456 We may not impose this condition if a bidder or proponent with a relevant interest in the target securities enters into the arrangements with a second bidder or proponent that has less than 3% voting power in the target securities—unless:

- (a) we are concerned that a rival offeror may still be deterred by the joint bid or scheme arrangements; or
- (b) the joint bidder or proponent came to have voting power of less than 3% as a result of transactions involving the other joint bidder or proponent or its associates.

Note: We may provide this relief where the exception in item 9 of s611 is not available to the joint bidders or acquirers.

RG 9.457 We may also not impose this condition if one of the joint bidders or acquirers already holds or controls over 50% of the target’s voting shares or interests. This is because that bidder or proponent’s interests and voting

power in the target would already itself be a significant deterrent to a rival bid. Accordingly, relative to the existing circumstances, the potential impact of the joint bid or scheme arrangements in preventing an auction for control may be less of a concern. As with our position discussed at RG 9.456(b), in deciding whether or not to impose this condition in these circumstances, we will similarly take into account any arrangements between the parties and their associates that appear to have been designed to ensure that one joint bidder or proponent acquires control of over 50% for the purpose of avoiding the imposition of the condition.

RG 9.458 If we do not impose a higher rival bid condition, we will normally impose obligations on the joint bidders or acquirers to:

- (a) consult with us in a timely manner if a bona fide third-party proposal arises; and
- (b) ensure that target holders are provided with all available material information about the third-party proposal and sufficient time to consider such information.

Note: For example, requirements designed to ensure that target holders are informed of any rival proposal could be included in any bid or merger implementation agreement that the joint bidders or acquirers negotiate.

Expert reports

RG 9.459 The requirement to commission an independent expert report as part of the joint bid or scheme is designed to help the non-associated security holders determine whether the price offered by the joint bidders is fair and reasonable. This is similar to the requirement in s640(1)(a) that a target's statement include an expert report about whether the offer is fair and reasonable when the bidder's voting power in the target is 30% or more.

Termination of joint arrangements

RG 9.460 The requirement to terminate joint bid or scheme arrangements when the bid or scheme falls away confines the relief to the particular joint bid or scheme that is proposed. Relief is not intended to facilitate joint bidders or acquirers retaining the increased voting power acquired under any agreements or arrangements relating to the joint bid or scheme, when they have not proceeded with the joint bid or scheme, or when a defeating condition of the bid has not been satisfied or waived.

Note: Our relief will also generally require that the joint bidders or acquirers disregard their temporarily elevated voting power when making acquisitions in purported reliance on the 3% creep exception in item 9 of s611.

DRAFT

Joint arrangements and s609(7)

- RG 9.461 Under s609(7), joint bid or scheme arrangements do not give rise to a relevant interest if they:
- (a) are conditional on:
 - (i) a resolution under item 7 of s611 being passed; or
 - (ii) ASIC exempting an acquisition under the arrangement from Ch 6 under s655A (ASIC exemption);
 - (b) do not confer any control over, or power to substantially influence, the exercise of a voting right attached to securities; and
 - (c) do not restrict disposal of securities for more than three months from the date when the agreement is entered into.

Note: A relevant interest will be acquired when the condition referred to in paragraph (a) is satisfied: see s609(7).

- RG 9.462 This temporary exception was introduced to address the difficulties arising because a person acquires a relevant interest through agreements that are conditional on item 7 approval or ASIC exemption before the approval or exemption is obtained (and therefore before the item 7 exception or ASIC exemption to the prohibition in s606 is available): see s608(8).

Note: For more information, see guidance on 'Pre-meeting arrangement: s609(7)' in Section E of Regulatory Guide 74 *Acquisitions approved by members* ([RG 74](#)).

- RG 9.463 Joint bidders or acquirers may seek to rely on s609(7) by making their joint bid or scheme arrangements subject to approval at a meeting of target holders in accordance with item 7 of s611. In doing so, the joint bidders or acquirers may be seeking to avoid the conditions that would otherwise generally apply to our relief.

- RG 9.464 However, the fact that joint bid or scheme arrangements are made subject to approval under item 7 by the joint bidders or acquirers does not, in practice, alter the potential deterrent effect that the joint bid or scheme arrangements may have in discouraging rival bids and any resulting auction for control of the target during the period in which the joint bidders or acquirers are relying on s609(7). It is this potential deterrent effect that the protections in ASIC's relief are designed to address, and that may otherwise mean that the joint bidders or acquirers' acquisition of control over the target does not take place in an efficient, competitive and informed market: see s602(a).

Note: For a general caution in relation to reliance on the exceptions in s611 in combination, see guidance on 'Related takeovers and other control transactions' in Section E of [RG 74](#).

- RG 9.465 We may seek a declaration of unacceptable circumstances from the Takeovers Panel, or take other regulatory action, where it is apparent that joint bidders or acquirers have sought to avoid the protections imposed under our relief by entering into joint bid or scheme arrangements in purported reliance on s609(7).

DRAFT

ASIC relief: Alternative form of relief for joint arrangements subject to holder approval

- RG 9.466 As an alternative to providing relief for joint bids or schemes by way of an exemption from the general prohibition in s606, we will consider requests for relief to extend the maximum three-month period during which s609(7) may apply with respect to joint bid or scheme arrangements that both:
- (a) meet the requirements of our policy (including the applicable conditions set out in Table 8); and
 - (b) are conditional on item 7 approval.
- RG 9.467 In the past, relief in this form has generally been sought by joint acquirers proceeding by way of a scheme of arrangement to address timing difficulties associated with putting the item 7 resolution to holders at the same time as the scheme proposal.
- RG 9.468 When granting relief in this form, we will apply the same considerations and conditions as if we were considering relief for arrangements that are not subject to item 7 approval. The relief must also be sought before the joint bid or scheme arrangements are entered into for the reasons discussed at RG 9.464–RG 9.465.

M Non-compliant bids

Key points

A bid carried out in contravention of certain provisions may be non-compliant for the purposes of items 1–4 of s611: see s612. In certain circumstances, we may give individual relief where a bidder has or may have contravened one of these provisions.

Our relief is considered exceptional and should be sought before making the takeover offers.

Issues arising from a non-compliant bid

- RG 9.469 The exceptions from the general prohibition in items 1–4 of s611 do not apply if a takeover bid is carried out in breach of certain provisions listed in s612. In effect, this elevates the seriousness of the breach of the provisions listed in s612 because offers or acquisitions under, or in connection with, the bid may result in an additional breach of s606.
- RG 9.470 The s612 provisions include the minimum bid price rule in s621 and the procedural steps in s633(1) and 635(1)—so a failure to dispatch offers in time may lead to a breach of s606 on account of the bid being non-compliant.

Addressing a non-compliant bid

- RG 9.471 Under s659B, a bidder is prevented, before the end of the bid period, from applying to the court in relation to the takeover bid. The object of this limitation is to make the Takeovers Panel the main forum for resolving disputes about a takeover bid until the bid period has ended: s659AA. However, it may also prevent the bidder seeking an order validating an inadvertent breach of Ch 6 under s1325D before the close of the bid.

Note: In some cases, it may still be possible to seek remedial relief from a court in relation to certain technical defects during the bid period or after a bid has come to an end due to the failure of a purported extension: see s1325A(2).

- RG 9.472 If a contravention of a provision listed in s612 occurs, the bidder may face uncertainty about whether its takeover bid will be unwound by a remedial order of the court following the bid. This is a particular issue where the contravention is elevated to a breach of s606. A court may perceive a breach of s606 as more serious than other breaches. A breach of s606 may also have a more serious impact on the reputation of the bidder.

DRAFT

ASIC relief: Removing the effect of s612

- RG 9.473 We may give individual relief to a bidder from the effect of s612 if the bidder has, or may have, breached the relevant provisions because of:
- (a) the bidder's inadvertence or mistake (provided that the bidder was not acting recklessly or without appropriate regard to its obligations);
 - (b) the bidder not having been aware of a relevant fact or occurrence; or
 - (c) circumstances beyond the control of the bidder.
- RG 9.474 As a condition of relief, we may require the bidder to take corrective action to address the effect of the contravention.
- RG 9.475 We are prepared to give this relief because, in some cases, if a bidder inadvertently breaches the takeover provisions, s612 should not automatically elevate the seriousness of that breach. Our relief seeks to reduce the uncertainty that the bidder may face about whether its takeover bid will be unwound by a remedial order of the court following the bid.
- RG 9.476 We expect that applications for this relief will be exceptional. We will normally consult with directors of the target before granting this relief.

Appendix

Table 9: Other guidance you may need to consider

Regulatory guide	How our guidance may assist
When formulating takeover offers	
RG 5 <i>Relevant interests and deemed economic interests</i>	We discuss the concepts of a 'relevant interest', 'deemed economic interest' and 'offsetting short position', explain disclosure requirements in relation to these concepts and relevant ASIC's modifications.
RG 10 <i>Compulsory acquisitions and buyouts</i>	We discuss the purposes underlying compulsory acquisition and buyout rights, how we administer the provisions of Ch 6A, exemptions and modifications we have made and our process for nominating persons to prepare an expert's report under s667AA.
RG 59 <i>Announcing and withdrawing takeover bids (s653 and s746)</i>	We explain our policy on when a bid should be announced and the circumstances that trigger the requirement to make a bid. We also discuss when we consider a breach has occurred, what defences may be available to a potential bidder, and when we may consent to a bidder withdrawing its offer.
RG 71 <i>Downstream acquisitions</i>	We outline our policy on item 14 of s611 and upstream acquisition relief, including when we may require a bid for the downstream company.
RG 74 <i>Acquisitions approved by members</i>	We discuss some of our concerns with bids made at or around the same time as acquisitions under item 7 of s611.
RG 161 <i>Share and interest sale facilities</i>	We discuss our relief for sale and related purchase facilities which are sometimes offered in connection with scrip bids.
When preparing a bidder's statement, target's statement or making other disclosures	
RG 25 <i>Takeovers: False and misleading statements</i>	<p>This guide explains our 'truth in takeovers' policy, which seeks to ensure that relevant parties in a bid do not mislead target holders and the market by acting inconsistently with the statements they make.</p> <p>It also discusses:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • when and how relevant persons should provide corrective or updated disclosure in a bid; and • the action we may take where a disclosure is misleading, ambiguous or confusing.
RG 55 <i>Statements in disclosure documents and PDSs: Consent to quote</i>	We discuss the requirement to obtain a person's consent for statements made in prospectuses and PDSs, which is similar to the requirements for bidder's and target's statements. The guide discusses the kinds of statements requiring consent, what to do to comply with the consent requirement, and the relief that is available (including individual relief). See also Section H of this guide.

DRAFT

Regulatory guide	How our guidance may assist
<p>RG 111 <i>Content of expert reports</i></p> <p>RG 112 <i>Independence of experts</i></p>	<p>An independent expert report on the offer may be required (s640) or commissioned voluntarily. Our guides discuss the approach to the analysis in the report, what should be included to ensure that recipients are fully informed and the requirement for an expert to be independent.</p>
<p>RG 170 <i>Prospective financial information</i></p>	<p>We outline our policy on issuers' obligations when disclosing prospective financial information, such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • when and how such information can or should be disclosed; and • what constitutes reasonable grounds for disclosing prospective financial information.
<p>RG 228 <i>Prospectuses: Effective disclosure for retail investors</i></p>	<p>This guide discusses how you can present disclosure documents, such as a bidder's statement or target's statement, in a clear, concise and effective manner to assist in ensuring they are not misleading: s670A.</p> <p>It also explains:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • what information investors generally require and expect to find in a s710 prospectus; and • the requirements of s711–712. <p>Note: This may be relevant to the bidder's disclosure in a scrip bid: s636(1)(g).</p> <p>See also Regulatory Guide 254 <i>Offering securities under a disclosure document</i> (RG 254) and Section C of Regulatory Guide 168 <i>Product Disclosure Statements: Disclosure and other obligations</i> (RG 168), which respectively discuss the requirements under s713 and 1013FA in relation to quoted scrip or options over quoted scrip. RG 168 also gives guidance on disclosure where managed investment products are offered: see s636(1)(ga).</p>
<p>RG 230 <i>Disclosing non-IFRS financial information</i></p>	<p>We discuss how you can use financial information in documents, such as a bidder's statement or target's statement, where that information is presented other than in accordance with accounting standards.</p>
<p>When applying to us for relief or approval</p>	
<p>RG 51 <i>Applications for relief</i></p>	<p>We explain how to make an application to ASIC under the Corporations Act (including applications under s619(3), 655A and 652B), outline some of the general considerations that we take into account and how we charge fees for such applications.</p>
<p>RG 108 <i>No-action letters</i></p>	<p>We explain how to apply for a no-action letter (including a class no-action position) and some of the general considerations that we take into account.</p>

Key terms

Term	Meaning in this document
acquirers	In relation to a joint scheme, two or more parties who are proposing to acquire a controlling interest in a target entity under the joint scheme
AFS licence	An Australian financial services licence under s913B of the Corporations Act that authorises a person who carries on a financial services business to provide financial services Note: This is a definition contained in s9.
AFS licensee	A person who holds an AFS licence under s913B of the Corporations Act Note: This is a definition contained in s9.
AIG	Australian Institute of Geoscientists
approved foreign market	Has the meaning given in notional s621(4B) as inserted by s5(c) of <i>ASIC Corporations (Definition of Approved Foreign Market) Instrument 2017/669</i>
ASIC	Australian Securities and Investments Commission
associate	Has the meaning given in s9 of the Corporations Act
ASX	The exchange market operated by ASX Limited
AusIMM	Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy
bid class	Has the meaning given in s9 of the Corporations Act
bidder	A bidder under a takeover bid as defined in s9 of the Corporations Act
bidder's statement	Has the meaning given in s9 of the Corporations Act
bid period	Has the meaning given in s9 of the Corporations Act
Ch 6 (for example)	A chapter of the Corporations Act (in this example numbered 6)
CHESS	Clearing House Electronic Subregister System
chosen valuation time	In relation to the minimum bid price rule, means the time a bidder may elect to value quoted securities it offers under a bid in accordance with s621(4A) of the Corporations Act as notionally inserted by ASIC Instrument 2015/1068
class	A class of securities

DRAFT

Term	Meaning in this document
consent requirement	The requirement for an issuer to obtain the consent of a person to include in a disclosure document or PDS a statement by the person, or a statement said in the disclosure document or PDS to be based on a statement by the person, under s716(2) or 1013K(1)
convertible securities	Has the meaning given in s9 of the Corporations Act
Corporations Act	<i>Corporations Act 2001</i> , including regulations made for the purposes of that Act
Corporations Regulations	<i>Corporations Regulations 2001</i>
declared financial market	Has the meaning given in s9D of the Corporations Act. Until the time the first declaration is made under s9D(2), a market that was prescribed as a prescribed financial market by former reg 1.0.02A of the Corporations Regulations is taken to be a declared financial market: see s1705F. Note: References to 'prescribed financial market' or 'prescribed financial markets' in any ASIC instrument (e.g. ASIC Instrument 2023/688) should apply as if the references are to a declared financial market or declared financial markets, respectively: see s1705G.
deemed economic interest	Has the meaning given by Div 2 of Pt 6C.1A of the Corporations Act
defeating condition	Has the meaning given in s9 of the Corporations Act
financial market	As defined in s767A of the Corporations Act, a facility through which offers to acquire or dispose of financial products are regularly made or accepted
foreign passport fund	A passport fund with a home economy other than Australia
foreign passport fund product	Has the meaning given in s9 of the Corporations Act
fully paid securities	Securities for which no amount remains unpaid
general prohibition	The prohibition on offers, invitations, acquisitions and transactions in s606 of the Corporations Act
GN 21 (for example)	Takeovers Panel guidance note (in this example numbered 21)
holder	A holder of securities
joint bid or scheme	A takeover bid or proposal for a Pt 5.1 compromise or arrangement under which two or more parties together seek to acquire control of a target entity

DRAFT

Term	Meaning in this document
JORC Code	Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves, prepared by the Joint Ore Reserves Committee of the AusIMM, AIG and Minerals Council of Australia (2012 edition)
minimum bid price rule	The rule in s621(3) of the Corporations Act
necessary transfer documents	Has the meaning given in s9 of the Corporations Act
notified foreign passport fund operator	A foreign passport fund operator of a fund that becomes a notified foreign passport fund under s1213C of the Corporations Act
notional s617(2)	A section of the Corporations Act, notionally inserted by legislative instrument (in this example numbered 617(2))
offsetting short position	Has the meaning given by Div 3 of Pt 6C.1A of the Corporations Act
option	A contractual arrangement under which one party has the right, but not the obligation, to buy or sell an underlying asset from the other at a set price and before a specified future time
pre-bid purchase	A purchase or agreement made within four months before the date of a bid in which a bidder provides, or agrees to provide, consideration for bid class securities
Product Disclosure Statement (PDS)	A document that must be given to a retail client in relation to the offer or issue of a financial product in accordance with Div 2 of Pt 7.9 of the Corporations Act Note: See s9 for the exact definition.
Pt 6.4 (for example)	A part of the Corporations Act (in this example numbered 6.4)
reg 6.8.01 (for example)	A regulation of the Corporations Regulations (in this example number 6.8.01)
registered scheme	A managed investment scheme that is registered under s601EB of the Corporations Act
relevant interest	Has the meaning given in s9 of the Corporations Act
RG 60 (for example)	An ASIC regulatory guide (in this example numbered 60)
s602 (for example)	A section of the Corporations Act (in this example numbered 602), unless otherwise specified
scrip bid	A bid under which the consideration offered is new or existing securities
securities	Has the meaning given to that term for the purposes of Chs6–6C in s92(3) of the Corporations Act

Term	Meaning in this document
Settlement Rules	ASX Settlement Operating Rules
small parcel	A parcel of securities that does not meet the definition of 'marketable parcel' or 'minimum parcel' in the relevant rules governing the operation of a financial market (or if there is no definition, a parcel of less than \$500 in value based on the daily closing price of the securities)
substantial holding	Has the meaning given in s9 of the Corporations Act
supplementary statement	A supplementary bidder's statement or supplementary target's statement of the kind referred to in Div 4 of Pt 6.5 of the Corporations Act
takeover bid	Has the meaning given in s9 of the Corporations Act
takeover provisions	The provisions of Ch 6 of the Corporations Act that regulate the acquisition of corporate control
target	Has the meaning given in s9 of the Corporations Act
target's statement	Has the meaning given in s9 of the Corporations Act
VALMIN Code	Australasian Code for the Public Reporting of Technical Assessments and Valuations of Mineral Assets, prepared by the VALMIN Committee (2015 edition)
voting power	Has the meaning given in s610 of the Corporations Act

DRAFT

Related information

Headnotes

acceptance facilities, anomalies, associates, bid class, bidder's statement, classes of securities, collateral benefits, consent, defeating conditions, foreign holders, joint bids, maximum acceptance condition, minimum bid price, notice of status of conditions, notice of variation, relevant interest, small parcels, supplementary statements, takeover bids, target's statement

Regulatory guides

[RG 5](#) *Relevant interests and deemed economic interests*

[RG 6](#) *Takeovers: Exceptions to the general prohibition*

[RG 10](#) *Compulsory acquisitions and buyouts*

[RG 25](#) *Takeovers: False and misleading statements*

[RG 51](#) *Applications for relief*

[RG 55](#) *Statements in disclosure documents and PDSs: Consent to quote*

[RG 60](#) *Schemes of arrangement*

[RG 71](#) *Downstream acquisitions*

[RG 72](#) *Foreign securities: Disclosure relief*

[RG 74](#) *Acquisitions approved by members*

[RG 111](#) *Content of expert reports*

[RG 112](#) *Independence of experts*

[RG 161](#) *Share and interest sale facilities*

[RG 168](#) *Product Disclosure Statements: Disclosure and other obligations*

[RG 170](#) *Prospective financial information*

[RG 228](#) *Prospectuses: Effective disclosure for retail investors*

[RG 230](#) *Disclosing non-IFRS financial information*

[RG 254](#) *Offering securities under a disclosure document*

Legislation

Corporations Act, Ch 6, s9, 12, 52A, 90, 92, 252B–252D, 252L, 254H, 351, 411, 601CG, 601FC, 601FM, 664B, 667C, 670A, 670B, 670C, 710–713, 716, 798G, 1013K, 1041E, 1041H, 1041I, 1274, 1308, 1324, 1325A, 1325D

Corporations Regulations, regs 6.8.01, 7.11.24

Legislative instruments

[ASIC Corporations \(Minimum Bid Price\) Instrument 2015/1068](#)

[ASIC Corporations \(Consents to Statements\) Instrument 2016/72](#)

[ASIC Market Integrity Rules \(Securities Markets\) 2017](#)

[ASIC Corporations \(Stub Equity in Control Transactions\) Instrument 2020/734](#)

[ASIC Corporations \(Takeover Bids\) Instrument 2023/683](#)

[ASIC Corporations \(On-Sale Disclosure Relief for Scrip Bids and Schemes of Arrangement\) Instrument 2023/686](#)

[ASIC Corporations \(Replacement Bidder's and Target's Statements\) Instrument 2023/688](#)

Takeovers Panel guidance notes

[GN 6 Minimum bid price](#)

[GN 14 Funding arrangements](#)

[GN 18 Takeover documents](#)

[GN 20 Equity derivatives](#)

[GN 21 Collateral benefits](#)

[GN 22 Recommendations and undervalue statements](#)

Other documents

ASX Limited, [ASX Operating Rules Procedures](#), 1 August 2010

DRAFT