



ASIC
Australian Securities &
Investments Commission

REGULATORY GUIDE 260

Communicating findings from audit files to directors, audit committees or senior managers

July 2022

About this guide

This guide is for directors, audit committees and senior managers of companies, responsible entities or disclosing entities, and for audit firms.

It explains which financial reporting and audit quality findings identified from our reviews of audit files we would communicate to directors, audit committees or senior managers. It also provides guidance on the process we will follow and the timing of our communication.

About ASIC regulatory documents

In administering legislation ASIC issues the following types of regulatory documents.

Consultation papers: seek feedback from stakeholders on matters ASIC is considering, such as proposed relief or proposed regulatory guidance.

Regulatory guides: give guidance to regulated entities by:

- explaining when and how ASIC will exercise specific powers under legislation (primarily the Corporations Act)
- explaining how ASIC interprets the law
- describing the principles underlying ASIC's approach
- giving practical guidance (e.g. describing the steps of a process such as applying for a licence or giving practical examples of how regulated entities may decide to meet their obligations).

Information sheets: provide concise guidance on a specific process or compliance issue or an overview of detailed guidance.

Reports: describe ASIC compliance or relief activity or the results of a research project.

Document history

This guide was issued in July 2022 and is based on legislation and regulations as at the date of issue.

Disclaimer

This guide does not constitute legal advice. We encourage you to seek your own professional advice to find out how the Corporations Act and other applicable laws apply to you, as it is your responsibility to determine your obligations.

Examples in this guide are purely for illustration; they are not exhaustive and are not intended to impose or imply particular rules or requirements.

Contents

A Overview	4
ASIC’s ability to communicate financial reporting and audit quality findings.....	4
Communicating financial reporting and audit findings.....	5
ASIC’s process and timing of communication	6
Advising directors of our routine audit inspections	6
B Communicating financial reporting and audit quality findings ..	7
Rationale for communicating findings.....	7
Focuses of our audit inspections and financial reporting surveillances	7
Criteria for communicating financial reporting findings.....	8
Criteria for communicating audit quality findings.....	8
Other concerns we may communicate	9
C Process and timing of communication	11
Confidentiality	11
Financial reporting matters	11
Audit quality matters	12
D Advising directors of routine file review	14
Rationale.....	14
Timing of communication	14
Key terms	15
Related information	16

A Overview

Key points

The *Australian Securities and Investments Commission Act 2001* (ASIC Act) allows ASIC to directly communicate specific financial reporting and audit quality findings (that we identify in our reviews of audit files) to directors, audit committees or senior managers of companies, responsible entities or disclosing entities.

This guide is intended to provide guidance on:

- our approach to communicating financial reporting and audit quality findings from our reviews of audit files (see Section B);
- the process we will follow (including for meeting our procedural fairness obligations) and the timing of communicating financial reporting and audit quality findings to directors, audit committees or senior managers (see Section C); and
- our rationale for advising directors of our routine audit file reviews and the timing of this communication (see Section D).

ASIC's ability to communicate financial reporting and audit quality findings

- RG 260.1 The ASIC Chairperson can communicate financial reporting and audit quality findings from our reviews of audit files directly to directors, audit committees or senior managers of a company, responsible entity or disclosing entity to assist the entity to properly manage its affairs: see s127(2D) of the ASIC Act.
- RG 260.2 We will communicate findings from our review of the audit file based on the criteria set out in Section B. We will afford procedural fairness by giving the auditor an opportunity to make submissions, and provide the auditor concerned with at least seven days notice before communicating the findings.
- RG 260.3 The information disclosed to the entity must be about:
- (a) how the audit of the entity was conducted by an Australian auditor; or
 - (b) the entity's compliance with:
 - (i) the requirements in Ch 2M of the *Corporations Act 2001* (Corporations Act) to prepare financial statements and reports; or
 - (ii) the continuous disclosure requirements of s674, 674A, 675 and 675A of the Corporations Act.

- RG 260.4 We do not always need to rely on s127(2D) of the ASIC Act to communicate information. This is the case if no confidential information is disclosed.
- RG 260.5 We will rely on s127(2D) to communicate findings from our reviews of audit files to directors, audit committees or senior managers.
- RG 260.6 [Information Sheet 196](#) *Audit quality—The role of directors and audit committees* (INFO 196) encourages audit committees to ask audit firms about the results of our reviews of audit files for an entity and how the firm has addressed the matters raised.
- RG 260.7 Our ability to report financial reporting findings, and to report audit quality findings to directors, audit committees or senior managers, under s127(2) is separate from our ability to issue an audit deficiency report under s50C. This guide does not apply to the publication of audit deficiency reports under s50C.
- RG 260.8 ‘Senior managers’ is a term used in s127(2D) and would include a chief executive officer and a chief financial officer.

Communicating financial reporting and audit findings

- RG 260.9 In Section B of this guide, we give guidance on which financial reporting findings, and which audit quality findings from our reviews of audit files, we would disclose to directors, audit committees or senior managers of the entities concerned.
- RG 260.10 We will generally communicate findings to the directors of an audited entity rather than the audit committee or senior managers. This is because the directors have statutory responsibilities in relation to the financial report. Companies may have an audit committee (being a board subcommittee comprising directors) that oversees the financial reporting process and deals with the auditor. The directors may ask the audit committee to deal with any audit findings communicated in the first instance.
- RG 260.11 We explain our criteria for communicating findings where we have significant concerns that the financial statements may be materially misstated based on information in the audit file.
- RG 260.12 This section also sets out the audit quality findings that we will generally communicate, including where the auditor has not, in our view, obtained reasonable assurance that the financial report is free of material misstatement.

ASIC's process and timing of communication

RG 260.13 We will communicate to directors, audit committees or senior managers about financial reporting and audit quality findings from the review of an audit file. Section C of this guide explains the process we will follow (including for meeting our procedural fairness obligations) and the timing of communication.

Advising directors of our routine audit inspections

RG 260.14 Section D of this guide provides guidance on our approach to communicating to the board of directors of an audited entity that we will be reviewing audit files relating to the entity as part of our routine audit firm inspections.

RG 260.15 We will notify the directors of our planned file review five business days after we have informed the audit firm. We encourage directors to seek information directly from their auditors about any issues or concerns that may be identified in our review.

B Communicating financial reporting and audit quality findings

Key points

This section provides guidance on our rationale and criteria for communicating financial reporting and audit quality findings from our review of audit files to directors of companies, responsible entities or disclosing entities.

Rationale for communicating findings

- RG 260.16 Directors have primary responsibility for the quality of financial reporting. The quality of financial reports is supported by the quality of the independent audit. Directors cannot simply rely on the work of the auditor and should ensure that an entity has adequate systems and processes to ensure quality financial reports. Directors should also support and promote high-quality independent audits in the interests of investor and market confidence.
- RG 260.17 Our rationale for communicating both financial reporting and audit quality findings that we identify in audit files is to help directors and audit committees:
- (a) take action to address deficiencies in an entity's financial report;
 - (b) improve systems and processes supporting financial reporting; and
 - (c) engage with the auditor about steps the auditor will take to improve audit quality.
- Note: See [INFO 196](#).
- RG 260.18 This rationale reflects the requirement in s127(2D)(c) that the relevant information disclosed should assist the entity to properly manage its affairs.
- RG 260.19 If an auditor does not address deficiencies in their audit, the directors may seek the resignation or removal of the auditor.

Focuses of our audit inspections and financial reporting surveillances

- RG 260.20 Our audit inspections and financial reporting surveillances:
- (a) predominantly focus on recognition and measurement issues involving potential material misstatements of profits and net assets—arising from accounting policy choices and estimates (e.g. asset values)—rather than disclosure issues;

- (b) exclude matters that, in our view, are within a range of reasonable judgement; and
- (c) exclude matters that, in our view, concern genuine issues about the interpretation of the accounting and auditing standards, which may be matters for the relevant international and local standards-setting body or interpretations committee.

Criteria for communicating financial reporting findings

Material misstatements in financial reports

- RG 260.21 We will communicate to directors of an entity when we have identified concerns in our review of an audit file that the entity's financial report is materially misstated. We do this to support quality financial reporting and confident and informed investors and markets. We will consider referring to specific information from the entity's records contained in the audit files that may assist in addressing the matter more efficiently and on a more timely basis.
- RG 260.22 Section C explains the process we will follow before communicating to directors any specific financial reporting findings from our reviews of audit files.

Criteria for communicating audit quality findings

Findings to be communicated

- RG 260.23 We will generally communicate audit quality findings and other matters identified from our reviews of audit files in our audit firm inspections to directors of the entities concerned where:
- (a) we have formed the view that an auditor has not obtained reasonable assurance that an entity's financial report is free of material misstatement;
 - (b) we have concerns that the auditor of an entity does not meet the independence requirements of the Corporations Act (including professional requirements), has not addressed the independence matter and/or has not adequately reported the matter in an auditor's independence declaration; or
 - (c) we consider any other matter should be drawn to the attention of the directors, audit committee or senior management of the audited entity.
- RG 260.24 Our criteria in RG 260.23 are based on the objective of an audit, as set out in the auditing standards having the force of law under the Corporations Act—

that is, to obtain reasonable assurance that a financial report is free of material misstatement. The auditing standards provide a framework to support the application of our criteria and are the basis we use for measuring audit quality. This basis for measuring audit quality is also used by the International Forum of Independent Audit Regulators and most other international audit oversight regulators.

RG 260.25 Information on ASIC's findings will enable the directors to ask the auditor about the steps they are taking to:

- (a) address any issues and concerns;
- (b) improve audit quality; and
- (c) support investor and market confidence in the quality of financial reports.

RG 260.26 Communicating audit independence matters may assist the directors and audit committees to consider the independence of the auditor, how the independence matter will be resolved and, if not resolved, whether the auditor should be replaced.

Note: See also [INFO 196](#).

RG 260.27 We may not communicate audit quality findings to the directors of an entity when those findings relate to audit work performed by a firm that is no longer the auditor of the entity. There is no ongoing relationship between the directors and the former auditor, and the findings may not be relevant to improving the quality of audits of the entity's future financial reports. Section 127(2D) of the ASIC Act only authorises disclosure of information if that information would assist the entity to properly manage its affairs.

Potential enforcement action

RG 260.28 While we may not disclose to the directors of the entity that we intend to take enforcement action against an entity's auditor, we will communicate our negative findings to the directors of the entity.

Other concerns we may communicate

RG 260.29 We may disclose information from audit files to directors, audit committees or senior managers when we have other concerns. For example, when:

- (a) the entity does not produce, under notice, information that we have seen on the audit files;
- (b) information available to the auditor and to the directors is inconsistent; or
- (c) the directors may not be aware of relevant information concerning an offshore subsidiary.

RG 260.30 We will undertake an appropriate process (including for meeting our procedural fairness obligations) before disclosing any information that is provided to us by the auditor: see Section C.

RG 260.31 We will consider whether other domestic and international regulators, such as the Australian Prudential Regulation Authority (APRA) or the Australian Taxation Office (ATO), should be advised of findings from our reviews of audit files.

C Process and timing of communication

Key points

This section explains our process for, and timing of, our communication to directors, audit committees or senior managers of financial reporting and/or audit quality findings that we identify in our reviews of audit files.

Confidentiality

- RG 260.32 We must take reasonable measures to prevent unauthorised use and disclosure of information we receive in confidence in connection with our statutory functions: see s127(1). Certain uses and disclosures are taken to be authorised: see s127(2)–(6). [Regulatory Guide 103](#) *Confidentiality and release of information* (RG 103) deals generally with disclosures by ASIC under s127 and how we carry out our procedural fairness obligations for such disclosures. This guide focuses on our disclosures under s127(2D) and should be read in conjunction with RG 103.

Financial reporting matters

- RG 260.33 In addition to routine audit inspections, we also carry out financial reporting surveillances. Sometimes we identify preliminary concerns through our financial reporting surveillance program that the financial report of an entity might be materially misstated. If we do so, we will seek any information or explanations directly from the relevant entity. We will generally provide a copy of our initial inquiry letter to the entity's auditor. If the letter to the entity contains confidential information, we will instead advise the auditor that such a letter has been sent to the entity.
- RG 260.34 If we have also reviewed the audit file, we may consider it necessary to include confidential information from the audit file in our letter to the entity. We will rely on s127(2D) to do so.
- RG 260.35 When we have concerns from our review of the audit files that an entity's financial report could be materially misstated, we will generally disclose these findings directly to the entity's directors so that appropriate actions can be taken immediately: see RG 260.21–RG 260.22.
- RG 260.36 While we will generally communicate directly with the directors of an entity, there may be instances where we communicate with the audit committee or senior managers. For example, we may communicate in the first instance with the directors but subsequently communicate further detailed

information to the audit committee or a senior manager to assist them in addressing a concern with the financial report.

- RG 260.37 When we communicate information only to a senior manager of the entity, we must provide a copy of the disclosure to the entity's directors and audit committee as soon as possible: see s127(2G).
- RG 260.38 When we consider that a matter should be communicated to the entity's directors, we will rely on s127(2D) to communicate directly with the entity. In these cases, we will give the auditor reasonable opportunity to make submissions about proposed communications with the entity's directors. If we are proposing to disclose information about how the audit was conducted, we will provide the auditor with at least seven days' notice: see s127(2F). If the auditor provides submissions, we will consider them when deciding whether to communicate the information to the directors of the entity.
- RG 260.39 In our communication to the directors of the entity, we will generally not refer to any confidential information in the audit work papers prepared by the auditor. However, we may include this information if it relates to matters covered under RG 260.23–RG 260.29 or would otherwise assist the entity to properly manage its affairs.
- RG 260.40 We will generally only refer to information on the audit file that has been provided by the entity to the auditor (e.g. impairment testing model and related assumptions) or information that the auditor has communicated to the company or directors (e.g. board audit committee report or management letters).
- RG 260.41 While some matters may be noted in the auditor's report to members, and as part of the auditor's communications to directors, this does not necessarily mean that such matters have been dealt with adequately.
- RG 260.42 If, in our view, there are significant and genuine disagreements about the interpretation of accounting standards, we may consult with the relevant international and local standards-setting bodies or interpretation committee rather than disclose a matter to the entity's directors.

Audit quality matters

- RG 260.43 We review audit files regularly throughout the year in our audit firm inspections. We communicate findings from each review to the firm in writing as we conduct our individual audit file reviews.
- RG 260.44 At the end of every 12-month period to 30 June, we also issue public reports on each of the largest six audit firms. These reports cover all findings from reviews substantially completed in that period.

- RG 260.45 We will communicate matters that we have identified from reviews of audit files about the quality of an audit to directors as each matter is finalised with the firm. We do not wait until we complete our individual public reports for each of the largest six firms.
- RG 260.46 We also conduct auditor surveillance activities on a case-by-case basis when, for example, we have intelligence about potential audit deficiencies or auditor misconduct.
- RG 260.47 Delaying communications with directors may not be in the interests of audit quality, particularly where a past audit should be remediated or a subsequent audit may be affected.
- RG 260.48 We will endeavour to give the auditor appropriate opportunities to respond to our findings.
- RG 260.49 Where we propose to disclose findings about how an audit was conducted, we will provide the auditor with at least seven days' notice: see s127(2F). The auditor may make a submission during this period about whether or not they believe we should make the proposed disclosure. If the auditor provides a submission, we will consider it when deciding whether to disclose the information.
- Note: See also [INFO 196](#) and [Regulatory Guide 92](#) *Procedural fairness to third parties* (RG 92).
- RG 260.50 If the auditor does not respond to our notice of proposed communication, we may communicate information to the entity's directors without taking into account the auditor's views about the communication. However, we will generally first try to find out whether there are reasons for a delayed response and consider those reasons.
- RG 260.51 The fact that an auditor disagrees with our findings is not a reason for us not to communicate a matter to the entity's directors.
- RG 260.52 When we decide to communicate matters to entities after considering any concerns raised by the auditor, we will include the auditor's response on the matter or a summary of that response in our communication to the entity.
- RG 260.53 If, in our view, there are significant and genuine differing views on the interpretation of auditing standards, we may consult with the relevant international and local standards-setting bodies and interpretation committees rather than communicate a matter to the company's directors.
- RG 260.54 It is not intended that the directors or audit committee seek to meet with ASIC to discuss the matters we communicate.

D Advising directors of routine file review

Key points

This section explains the rationale for, and timing of, our communication to directors that we will be reviewing audit files relating to the entity as part of our audit firm inspections.

Rationale

- RG 260.55 We will notify the directors of entities that we intend to review the audit files relating to that entity. At the conclusion of our review, we will either communicate matters as outlined in Section B or inform the directors that we had no matters to communicate.
- RG 260.56 Timely communication to the directors that ASIC will be reviewing audit files relating to their entity will enable the directors to seek information directly from their auditors about any issues and concerns from our review as they arise.
- RG 260.57 Our selection of audit files for review is risk based. However, the selection of an audit file for review should not be taken to suggest that the financial report or the work of the auditor is inadequate.

Timing of communication

- RG 260.58 We generally inform audit firms of our planned routine inspection of audit files at least 10 business days before we start our reviews. We will also inform the company of our planned review five business days after we have informed the audit firm.

Key terms

Term	Meaning in this document
accounting standards	Standards issued by the Australian Accounting Standards Board under s334 of the Corporations Act
ASIC	Australian Securities and Investments Commission
ASIC Act	<i>Australian Securities and Investments Commission Act 2001</i>
audit firm	A firm that consents to be appointed, or is appointed, as auditor of a company or registered managed investment scheme, and a firm that audits a disclosing entity
audit inspection program	ASIC's program of proactive reviews of audit files and audit firm quality controls for compliance with auditing requirements of the Corporations Act
auditing standards	Standards issued by the Auditing and Assurance Standards Board under s336 of the Corporations Act
auditor surveillance	Reactive reviews by ASIC of audit files based on intelligence and possible concerns about audit quality
Corporations Act	<i>Corporations Act 2001</i> , including regulations made for the purposes of the Act
financial report	A full-year or half-year financial report required under Pt 2M.3 of the Corporations Act
financial reporting surveillance program	ASIC's program of proactive reviews of financial reports of selected listed companies and other public interest entities for compliance with financial reporting requirements of the Corporations Act
INFO 196 (for example)	An ASIC information sheet (in this example numbered 196)
RG 92 (for example)	An ASIC regulatory guide (in this example numbered 92)
s127(2F) (for example)	A section of the ASIC Act (in this example numbered 127(2F)), unless otherwise specified
senior manager	Used in s127(2D) of the ASIC Act and would include a Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer

Related information

Headnotes

audit findings, audit firms, auditor, audits, communicating audit findings, financial reporting, inspection, material misstatement, process, surveillance, timing

Regulatory guides

[RG 92](#) *Procedural fairness to third parties*

[RG 103](#) *Confidentiality and release of information*

Information sheets

[INFO 196](#) *Audit quality—The role of directors and audit committees*

Consultation papers

[CP 352](#) *Communicating audit findings to directors, audit committees or senior managers*

Reports

[REP 730](#) *Response to submissions on CP 352 Communicating audit findings to directors, audit committees or senior managers*

Legislation

ASIC Act, s50C, 127, 127(1), 127(2)–(6), 127(2D), 127(2D)(c), 127(2F), 127(2G)

Corporations Act, Ch 2M, s674, 674A, 675, 675A