

AUSTRALIAN COLLECTORS & DEBT BUYERS ASSOCIATION

6 April 2018

Ms Clare McCarthy Behavioural Research & Policy Unit Australian Securities and Investments Commission

Email: policy.submissions@asic.gov.au

Dear Ms McCarthy

Consultation Paper 298 Oversight of the Australian Financial Complaints Authority: Update to RG 139

The Australian Collectors & Debt Buyers Association (ACDBA) is pleased to provide this Submission in response to the above Consultation Paper.

Pro	posal	I Our feedback				
B1	(a)	bropose to require that: the obligation to report will apply to serious contraventions by a financial firm, including a licensee, a representative or an employee; and		B1Q1	Do you agree with our proposed timeframe for AFCA to report serious contraventions or systemic issues? If not, why not? RESPONSE:	
	(b)		CA must make reports within a reasonable time, but later than 30 days, of:		Yes	
		(i)	becoming aware that a serious contravention has occurred or may have occurred; or			
		(ii)	identifying a systemic issue.			
	Aust	ralia	ring requirements, we will consult with APRA, the n Taxation Office (ATO) and AFCA, with a view to ing and streamlining reporting arrangements.			

Proposal			Our feedback		
B2	We propose to give guidance in draft RG 139 that:			Do you agree with our broad approach	
	(a)	a contravention will be 'serious' (and therefore reportable by AFCA to ASIC) if there are sufficient facts or information to found an objectively reasonable belief that it is serious. We consider that a reasonable belief will be formed if a reasonable person would expect AFCA to report the matter to ASIC, or if AFCA in good faith forms the view that a serious contravention of the law may have occurred;		to AFCA reporting? If not, why not? <u>RESPONSE:</u> Yes	
	(b)	the particulars of the contravention, for the purposes of s1052E, will include the identity of the financial firm, including the licensee, representative or employee; and			
	(c)	AFCA should consult with ASIC if they are unsure about whether they should refer a matter to ASIC.			
B3	We propose to clarify in our guidance that the primary role of the independent assessor is to:			Do you agree with our proposed guidance on the primary role of the	
	(a)	respond to complaints about how AFCA dealt with an individual complaint or series of complaints; and		independent assessor? If not, why not?	
	(b)	identify, address and report on issues affecting the AFCA's complaints handling operations and performance; and as appropriate, make recommendations about or provide remedies for identified issues in complaints handling operations and performance.		RESPONSE	
				Whilst agreeing with this proposed	
	(c)			guidance, we submit there is also a specific need for the independent assessor to look at and review the expediency of complaint handling by AFCA	
B4	We propose to clarify in our guidance that it is not the role of the independent assessor to:			Do you agree with our proposed guidance on what is outside the role of	
	(a)	undertake a merits review of an AFCA decision, including a jurisdictional decision; or		the independent assessor? If not, why not?	
	(b)	re-open a complaint or the outcome of a complaint.		RESPONSE	
				Although agreeing with this proposed guidance we submit there remains a very significant deficiency in the proposed processes of AFCA in the absence of any appeal process for errors in fact and law other than for superannuation complaints	

Proj	posal		Our feedback		
35	We also propose to require that that the independent assessor must:			Do you agree with our proposed requirements for the independent	
	(a)	be appointed by the AFCA Board, with its role and functions set out in the AFCA terms of reference;		assessor? If not, why not? <u>RESPONSE</u>	
	(b)	have sufficient powers and resources to perform its functions;		Yes	
	(c)	be independent, with appropriate qualifications and experience;			
	(d)	accept service complaints from all users of the scheme;			
	(e)	identify, address and report on issues affecting AFCA's complaints handling operations and performance;			
	(f)	make recommendations, as appropriate, to the Chief Ombudsman and to the AFCA Board;			
	(g)	identify any issues that may benefit from further review or analysis—for example, in an independent review;			
	(h)	make quarterly reports to the AFCA Board and ASIC; and			
	(i)	make annual public reports on:			
		(i) complaints received;			
		(ii) findings or recommendations made; and			
		(iii) outcomes achieved as a result of recommendations made.			
6	Our proposed expectations for financial firms are that, by commencement (no later than 1 November 2018):		B6Q1	Is this is a sufficient timeframe for financial firms to update all of their legal	
	(a)	any final response or written reasons financial firms give to a consumer about a dispute at IDR will refer to AFCA;		disclosures (as set out in paragraph 35) and other consumer communications? In not, why not? Please provide specific detail in your response.	
	(b)	financial firms will update online information and forms to refer to AFCA, as appropriate; and			
	(c)	personalised disclosures, including periodic and exit statements, will refer to AFCA.		RESPONSE This is a sufficient timeframe <u>provideo</u> the scheme starts on 1 November 2018. Any change to the proposed date of commencement of operations would reasonably warrant an appropriate adjustment to the timeframe for financial firms	
			B6Q2	Should we provide transitional relief from external dispute resolution disclosure obligations in the lead up to AFCA commencement?	
				<u>RESPONSE</u> No	

Any enquiries in respect to this Submission can be directed to the writer.

Yours sincerely, AUSTRALIAN COLLECTORS & DEBT BUYERS ASSOCIATION

Alan Harries CEO E: <u>akh@acdba.com</u>